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## ABSTRACT

Ch. 1 gives an overview of the Gurr-goni language, its typological characteristics, speakers and genetic relationships. It also covers phonological and morphological preliminaries.

Ch. 2 is concerned with the morphology and syntax of nominals. A distinction between nouns and adjectives is established. §2.2 deals with noun class: the parts of speech on which it is registered; the number of noun classes; the membership of each class, and possible categorisation principles; and patterns of agreement. Noun phrases are briefly discussed in §2.3. §2.4 deals with case as a property of the noun phrase, and presents the case forms and functions. Possessive constructions are dealt with in $\S 2.5$, and derivational morphology is briefly covered in §2.6.
Ch. 3 presents the pronouns, demonstratives, and interrogatives. We discuss the pronominal categories of person, number and gender, and consider the discourse functions of free pronouns. The distinctions encoded by the demonstrative stems are the subject of $\S 3.2$, and $\S 3.3$ illustrates the use of interrogative/indeterminate forms.
Ch. 4 deals with verb morphology. First, an overview of the structure of the verb complex is given. In $\S 4.3$, I examine the pronominal prefixes, identifying their component morphemes. For the transitive prefixes, I also justify the recognition of a singular/non-singular number distinction, and elucidate the principles that determine which pronominal participant is overtly marked. $\S 4.4$ describes the tense system, which exhibits the rare feature of having the fixed time reference of one tense interrupted by part of the fixed time reference of another. The category of status, often called mood, is discussed in $\S 4.5$, while $\S 4.6$ covers illocutionary mood (or force). In $\S 4.7$, I list the verbal conjugations, established on the basis of the tense/status allomorphs selected. The final sections of Chapter 4 discuss valence changing devices and directional prefixes.

Ch. 5 looks at the structure of clauses. In §5.1, I illustrate non-verbal clause types. In §5.2, we turn to simple verbal clauses. I look firstly at the realisation of core arguments ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{S}$ and O ), and briefly consider peripheral arguments. We then consider the evidence for assigning core or non-core status to other arguments. §5.3 deals with serial verb constructions, a pervasive feature of the Gurr-goni language. We distinguish two major types, one which encodes categories of associated motion and aspect, and one which has 'experiencer' and causative functions. In $\S 5.4$, I suggest that a parallel construction may exist, in which the first predicate is a nominal. Moving from simple and complex single clauses, we turn in $\S 5.5$ to co-ordination of clauses, and in $\S 5.6$ to subordination. Chapter 5 concludes with a discussion of negation (\$5.7) and questions (§5.8).
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| A | transitive subject |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abl | ablative case |
| Anaph | anaphoric demonstrative |
| AnEmph | emphatic anaphoric demonstrative |
| Aug | augmented number |
| Bro | brother |
| Card | cardinal pronoun |
| Cl . | noun class |
| Coll | collective |
| Comit | comitative case |
| Con | contemporary tense (realis status) ( $\mathrm{Con}_{1} ; \mathrm{Con}_{2}$ : see §4.4.2.1) |
| CP | completive tense/aspect |
| Da | daughter |
| Dat | dative |
| Der | derivational prefix |
| Dir | direct case |
| Emph | emphatic |
| extr | extreme degree of scope |
| F, f | feminine |
| Fa | father |
| Fut | future tense (realis status) ( $\mathrm{Fut}_{1} ; \mathrm{Fut}_{2}$ :see §4.4.2.2) |
| Inch | inchoative |
| imm | immediate |
| Imp | imperative |
| Intr | intransitivising suffix |
| Irr1 | irrealis status (precontemporary tense) |
| Irr2 | irrealis status (non-precontemporary tense) |
| 1 g | language |
| Loc | local case |
| M | man |
| Min | minimal number |
| Mo | mother |
| NEG | negative particle |
| Nf, nf | nonfeminine |
| Nom | nominaliser |
| non3 | other than 3rd person |
| nonloc | nonlocal (other than local case) |
| nonMin | nonminimal number |


| NP | noun phrase |
| :---: | :---: |
| ns | nonsingular |
| O | transitive object |
| Poss | possessive (glosses possessive marker, Set B possessive construction) |
| PossA | possessive agent (possessive pronouns indexing 3rd person As ) |
| Poss $^{\text {C }}$ | possessive case (used in Set C possessive construction) |
| Pre | precontemporary tense (realis status) |
| pron | pronominal |
| pu | see §1.4.2 |
| Redup | reduplicated |
| QU | tag question particle |
| S | intransitive subject |
| sp | species |
| Thmsr | thematiser |
| Trans | transitivising suffix |
| twds | towards |
| UA | unit-augmented number |
| unm | unmarked form of direct case pronoun |
| veg | vegetable |
| voc | vocative |
| W | woman |
| X | extended duration |
| XO | extra object |
| ya | see §1.4.3 |
| Zi | sister |
| I, II, III, IV | noun classes I-IV |
| 1 | first person |
| 2 | second person |
| 3 | third person |
| $1+2$ | one plus two person |
| \{\} | morphemic representation |
| // | phonemic representation |
| [] | phonetic representation |
| - | separates segmentable morphemes |
| + | in glosses, joins two meanings encoded by one form; in examples, joins the variable tense suffix and invariable suffix -mi which encode Irrealis 1 separates A and O in glosses of transitive pronominal prefixes |
| : | indicates preceding vowel is held for some time at a raised pitch |
| $=$ | separates difics from their host |



Map 1. Gurr-goni and Surrounding Languages.

## Chapter 1: Introduction.

1.1. Linguistic Type.

This thesis is a description of the Gurr-goni language of north central Arnhem Land, in the Northern Territory of Australia. Gurr-goni is a non-Pama-Nyungan language, one of the large block of languages in the north of the Northern Territory and Western Australia which are genetically classified as not being members of the language family (known as Pama-Nyungan) which covers nine-tenths of Australia. In Capell's (1940) typological classification, Gurr-goni is a multiple classifying, prefixing language. Like many of its non-Pama-Nyungan neighbours, Gurr-goni makes use of pronominal prefixes encoding person, number and noun class on verbs and nominals. There are four noun classes, membership of which appears to be largely, if not completely, determined by semantic criteria. The pronominal paradigm can best be described in terms of four person categories (the traditional 1 st, 2nd and 3rd persons, and a fourth category which I term $1+2$ person (following Harvey 1986 and Blake 1988), with a minimal, unitaugmented, augmented number system as described by McKay (1978) for Rembarrnga and Ndjébbana. Like many prefixing languages, Gurr-goni has few nominal cases: there is an ablative suffix, and a local (locative/ allative/ablative) form of the pronominal / noun class prefixes. Verbs, as well as taking pronominal prefixes indexing their arguments, may take directional prefixes, valence-affecting derivational suffixes, and tense/ status suffixes. Gurr-goni can thus be described as an agglutinating language, but unlike its immediate neighbours to the south and west, it is neither incorporating nor polysynthetic (following Comrie's 1989 definition of these structural types).

Gurr-goni, along with its immediate neighbours to the north and east, Ndjébbana, Nakkara and Burarra (the 'Maningrida languages'), also shares some features with the Yolngu languages to the east, which belong to the Pama-Nyungan language family. Both the Yolngu languages and the Maningrida languages have a small set of serialising verbs ${ }^{1}$, which have aspectual and/or associated motion functions. The four Maningrida languages also share with the most westerly Yolngu language, Djinang ${ }^{2}$, a highly distinctive tense system, whereby non-future time is divided into two tenses which both have discontinuous reference. Interestingly, too,

[^0]the speakers' own names for the languages of both subgroups are based on their distinctive forms of the demonstrative stem 'this' (or, in the case of Burarra dialects, 'that known to you'). This naming technique is not used by their other non-Pama-Nyungan neighbours. These grammatical features, and this means of identifying each language and dialect, suggest that the two linguistic groups have been in contact for some considerable period of time.

### 1.1.2. The Language Name, its Dialects, and Traditional Territory.

The name Gurr-goni, given to this language by its speakers, is based on the demonstrative stem -goni 'this', with an apparent prefix gurr- (see Ch.3, footnote 2). Many variant spellings have been recorded. Some, such as Gungorogone (Capell 1942 and Kyle-Little 1950) and Gungorragonni (Sweeney 1939) incorporate a noun class prefix gun'class IV', (the class to which 'language' belongs), which speakers of Gunbarlang and the Kunwinjkuan dialects attach to language names. The spelling -goro-, -gorra- may reflect the pronunciation used by speakers of these languages, but Gurr-goni is the pronunciation both Elwell (1977) and I have recorded from Gurr-goni speakers. The term Gu-djartabiyiø (3IVS-go fast-Con) 'it goes fast' is also used by Burarra speakers in referring to this language.

There are two dialects of Gurr-goni, associated with the two moieties to which Gurr-goni speaking clans belong. This study is based on the Yirrtjinga moiety dialect, identified as gun-dakangurrngu Gurrgoni, 'hard Gurr-goni'. The Djowunga moiety dialect is called gunnjalkitj 'soft'. It is not clear to me which aspects of the language these descriptions (also applied to dialects of Ndjébbana (McKay forthcoming)) refer to. The two dialects appear to differ principally in vocabulary, and one vocabulary item in particular is used as an alternative means of identifying the dialects ${ }^{3}$ :

## Borr-pu awurr-weki-ya gut-bin.ngarrwulu <br> 3Aug-Card 3AugS-speak-Con 3IV-little <br> They say gut-bin.ngarrwulu (for 'little')

[^1]arrapu ngaytjburru-ø gun-arpurr nguwurr-weki-ya and $\quad 1+2 A u g$-unm 3IV-little $\quad 1+2 A u g S$-speak-Con and we say gun-arpurr.

A list of the distinctive Djowunga dialect vocabulary items, with their Yirrtjinga equivalents, is given in Appendix B. Although I have made no extensive study of the Djowunga dialect, I noticed no differences other than in vocabulary in the speech of the Djowunga man from whom this vocabulary was elicited.

Gurr-goni speakers presently number about forty. There are three Gurr-goni speaking clans. Two, the Boburerre clan and the Andirrdjalaba clan, speak the Yirrtjinga dialect; between them, these clans have 32 members, including children. The Djowunga dialect is spoken by the Gulúmarrarra clan, with 6 or 7 members (one elderly man, and his adult children; his three sons have as yet no children to pass their language and clan affiliation on to). Two clans, one Djowunga (Atbinángi) and one Yirrtjinga (Marrarditj) have died out within living memory. Gurr-goni would thus have been slightly larger in the first half of this century than it is now. Sweeney's 1939 estimate of 60 "Gungorragoni" people may perhaps have been accurate, but is more likely to have been an estimate of the number of people in a camp comprising members of Gurr-goni clans with their in-laws from clans speaking other languages. No Gurr-goni people are currently married to people from other Gurr-goni clans, and the genealogies I obtained indicate that marriages with Rembarrnga, Kuninjku, Nakkara and Ndjébbana speaking clans have occurred throughout the last few generations. Gurr-goni is then one of the smaller of the Arnhem Land language groups: estimates for neighbouring language groups range from 135 for Nakkara (in 1985; Eather 1990:8) to 600 for Burarra (Glasgow 1988).

The traditional territory of the Gurr-goni speaking clans lies inland from and south of the settlement of Maningrida. Its western border lies along the Tomkinson River, where it meets Kuninjku (eastern Kunwinjku) speaking Dangkolo clan country, and Ndjébbana speaking Manengkererrbe clan country (this latter encompasses the junction of the Liverpool and Tomkinson Rivers, and both sides of the Tomkinson River for some distance inland). To the north, it is again bordered by Ndjébbana country, and also by Nakkara. In the east, it meets Burarra country just short of the Bitjuwu/Binyjawa swamp, and the Gurrgoni/Burarra (in fact, Gun-nartpa, Ngulinj clan) border runs south roughly in line with the Cadell River. To the south lie the lands of the

Kuninjku speaking Kardbam clan. This area encompasses the flood plains of the Tomkinson River, and the open eucalypt forests and paperbark swamps of the uplands.

### 1.1.3.Previous Study.

Gurr-goni is not only the smallest language in the region, but also, until this study, the least well described. Capell recorded some Gurr-goni data on his research trip through northern Australia, and published (Capell 1942:374-6)) a table of cardinal pronouns, the demonstrative 'this' with noun class prefixes, a possessive body part phrase, 20 items of vocabulary and 7 short sentences showing some transitive verb prefixes.

In 1977, during a fieldtrip to Maningrida to research multilingualism, Elwell elicited and recorded three and a half hours worth of Gurr-goni words, phrases and sentences, with a small amount of spontaneous monologue. Her transcriptions, and the sketch grammar based on them, are lodged at the AIATSIS library in Canberra.

Both of these small scale studies revealed the basic typological structure of Gurr-goni, but inevitably, given the limited contact with speakers, there are mistranscriptions and mistranslations, and many features of the language were overlooked.

### 1.1.4. Genetic Affiliations.

Capell (ibid) noted a close relationship between Gurr-goni and the Burarra dialects, and it was no doubt on the basis of his work that O'Grady, Voegelin and Voegelin (1966:31) grouped Gurr-goni and Burarra together in the "Bureran" family. The two languages share $48 \%$ of vocabulary (although when verbs alone are compared, this rises to $82 \%{ }^{4}$ ). There are many similarities in the morphology: the pronominal paradigms, both free and bound, show only minor differences, and proto-Burarra-Gurr-goni verbal tense/status suffixes are easily reconstructable, with few changes between the parent and daughter languages. There are at least three Burarra dialects, named from their respective forms of the demonstrative 'that known to you', Gun-narda, Gun-narta and Gunnartpa. Of these, Gun-nartpa is the closest geographically to Gurr-goni , and it also appears to be the closest linguistically. Although little material is available on Gun-nartpa, Glasgow and Glasgow's 1967 description of Burarra phonology, based on Gun-nartpa, shows that it has final vowels other than /a/ in the verb suffixes, verb roots and pronouns. In this it is

[^2]like Gurr-goni, and unlike Gun-narda on which Glasgow's later descriptions of Burarra are based. Gun-nartpa also appears to have shifted nasals to stops before stops, as has Gurr-goni (see §1.2.1.1.4 below); cf Gun-nartpa mitjpa, Gun-narda/Gun-narta minjpa like'.

O'Grady, Voegelin and Voegelin (1966:30) classified the languages Nakkara and Ndjébbana as the sole members of two separate language families. My own research (Green 1989 and forthcoming) shows, through a comparison of the verbal tense/status suffixes, that the four languages Burarra, Gurr-goni, Ndjébbana and Nakkara are in fact closely related, despite fairly low rates of shared vocabulary: $22 \%$ between Gurr-goni and Ndjébbana, $24 \%$ between Gurr-goni and Nakkara. (Comparing verbs alone, the scores are $33 \%$ and $56.5 \%$ respectively.) I also show, again on the basis of comparison of verbal suffixes, that this 'Maningrida' subgroup is part of the larger language family commonly termed Gunwinjguan.

### 1.1.5. Fieldwork and Descriptive Methodology.

This study is, as I have said, based on the Yirrtjinga dialect. A member of the An-dirrdjalaba clan, then secretary of the Maningrida Council, was instrumental in obtaining permission for me to go to Maningrida, and arranged with the family of Joe Inyamul, one of the two Boburerre clan families, that they would teach me their language. Thus I became a member of the Boburerre clan, and my description is based primarily on texts recorded by members of Joe Inyamul's family. This family divides their time between Maningrida settlement and Nangak outstation, a half hour's drive from Maningrida. When I first began my fieldwork, I camped with the family at Nangak for a week. During this week, Joe Inyamul's eldest daughter May Miorgar, who is fluent in English, took on the task of teaching me Gurr-goni, translating conversation for me and teaching me the words for many objects, activities, plants, animals, etc. She also patiently answered my questions "a-goni or dji-goni? (3I-this or 3II-this); he did it? she did it? they did it? they did it yesterday? they will do it tomorrow?" by which I obtained the class membership of nouns, and the pronominal prefixes and tense suffixes of the verbs. I then began recording texts, which were contributed by six of the adult members of this family, and by one member of the other Boburerre family (thus, by seven people altogether). Leila Nimbadja, the second daughter of Joe Inyamul's family, became my principal co-worker for the remainder of my time in the field (an initial period of ten months in 1988-89, followed by two months during the wet
season of 1990-91), and she helped me to transcribe and translate the stories I had recorded. Her mother, Kate Miwulga (Maka, father's mother, to me, and Nja-Bulanj in the Ndjébbana subsection system) recorded many stories, and took great delight in telling me about the old ways of hunting, fishing and preparing vegetable foods, and in remembering the words for tools and artefacts no longer in use. Although her own language is Ndjébbana (she learnt Gurr-goni from her father's mother (Djin-dirrdjalaba clan), and has spoken it daily since her marriage 35 odd years ago), Nja-Bulanj was the authority to whom her children and younger members of the An-dirrdjalaba clan who worked with me deferred. As I became more fluent in Gurr-goni, I was able to work with her directly in trying to determine the use (and existence) of verb suffixes, for instance, or of modal particles. While the middle generation of the family do speak English, many of the older generation (including Kate Miwulga and Joe Inyamul), and children who have not yet learnt it at school, do not. Gurr-goni is still used as an everyday medium of communication by the families I was in contact with, and their relatives by marriage. I was thus able to observe spontaneous conversational use of the language, and many examples in this thesis are short utterances noted in the course of conversation. All but a very few simple examples are taken either from texts or from conversations. The analysis also is based primarily on this corpus of material, and I have only used results gained from elicitation where they were supported by spontaneous speech.

In analysing and writing up this grammar, I have been greatly influenced by the work of my supervisor, R.M.W. Dixon, and former students of the ANU Department of Linguistics who followed in his descriptivist footsteps. In the words of Wilkins and of Evans, both shining lights in the department while I was still an undergraduate,
"Dixon's grammars, and those of the A.N.U. School generally .... are highly eclectic as far as their reliance upon, and use of, theory is concerned, and they have drawn freely upon a wide range of approaches to linguistic description." (Wilkins 1989:59)
"My many frustrating experiences trying to extract generalizations about a particular language from a grammar, or 'fragment' of a grammar, written in the ephemeral formalisms of a once-fashionable theory, have convinced me that grammars should be presented in straightforward language, and furnished with a generous set of naturally occurring example sentences. Only in this way can readers glean enough to reach their own conclusions about the internal consistency and
empirical accuracy of the description. And only when a relatively full informal account of a language's structure, and of the ways it encodes meaning, becomes available, can the next step - of more formal modelling - be taken." (Evans 1985:xii)

Having had similar experiences to those of Evans, and having on the contrary found the descriptive grammars of the ANU School to be a rich and accessible source of information on the languages concerned, I have attempted to follow in their footsteps. I use "straightforward" English, confining myself for the most part to linguistic terms in general currency among linguists of various theoretical persuasions. I hope that this will help to make some of the genius of the Gurr-goni language available to many generations of linguists.

### 1.2. Phonological Preliminaries.

### 1.2.1. Segmental Phonemes.

The phoneme inventory of Gurr-goni is typical of Arnhem Land languages: there are five places of articulation for stops and nasals, two laterals, two rhotics, two glides, and five vowels. The phonemes are shown in Table 1.1.

## Table 1.1.: The Phonemes of Gurr-goni.

Consonants.

| Peripheral |  | Apical |  | Laminal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilabial | Dorso- | Apico- | Sub-lamino- | Lamino |
|  | Velar | Alveolar Pre-palatal/ | Alotal |  |
|  |  |  | Pre-palatal |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Stop | b | g | d | d | J | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nasal | m | n | n | ก | ת |  |
| Lateral |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Rhotic |  |  | r | 」 |  |  |
| Glide |  |  |  |  | y |  |

Vowels.

|  | Front | Central | Back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | i |  | u |
| Mid | $\varepsilon$ |  | $\nu$ |
| Low |  | a |  |

### 1.2.1.1. Consonants.

1.2.1.1.1. "Apical" and "Laminal" Consonants.

The terms "apical" and "laminal" have been commonly used in describing Australian phonologies since at least 1964, with the publication of O'Grady's grammar of Nyangumata (a Pama-Nyungan language of north-west Western Australia). Dixon (1980:135) described the basis of this distinction thus: "[e]ach stop/nasal involves the movement of an active articulator into contact with a passive articulator. The active articulator can be the tip of the tongue ('apical' sounds), the blade of the tongue ('laminal' sounds), the back or dorsum of the tongue, or the lower lip. .......Apical sounds involve just the tip of the tongue touching the roof of the mouth." The Gurr-goni apico-alveolar stop and nasal are articulated very much as Dixon has described, with "the tongue tip ... brought up to touch the front of the alveolar ridge" (ibid), but the retroflex consonants, usually termed "apico-post-alveolar", are in fact articulated with the underside of the tongue in contact with the alveolar ridge or the postalveolar area. This manner of articulation, observed in slow and deliberate speech, has also been noted in Djingulu by Chadwick (1975) and in Gupapuyngu by Lowe (1975) (both quoted in Butcher, forthcoming). Butcher, summarising the results of palatograms made of speakers from six other languages (Warlpiri, Eastern Arrernte, Nyangumarta, Western Desert, Kuninjku and Murrinh-Patha) states "the active articulator is almost always the underside of the tongue blade. In fact, with one exception ..., every speaker has a sublaminal articulation for every POSTALVEOLAR allophone."5

The lamino-pre-palatal consonants, by contrast, involve contact of the upper part of the blade of the tongue with the post-alveolar or prepalatal areas (the same area with which the underside of the tongue makes contact for the retroflex consonants). The tongue tip is not raised, but touches the back of the lower teeth. "Apical" can still be seen as an appropriate term for the apico-alveolar and sub-laminal post-alveolar

[^3]consonants, then, in that the tongue tip is raised for both of these, and kept down for the lamino-pre-palatal consonants.

### 1.2.1.1.2. Glottal Stop.

The glottal stop has only marginal status in the Gurr-goni phoneme inventory, as it is found only in loan words from Kuninjku (for example, - Jo? $20 g$ 'same', bar?man 'saratoga', yen?yen?ganmi 'shake out and straighten pandanus fibres (after dyeing)').

### 1.2.1.1.3. Neutralisation of Place of Articulation Contrasts.

The five places of articulation contrast medially and finally; initially, there is no contrast between the two apical categories, for stops, nasals or laterals. In this position, only the retroflex series is found.

Both rhotics, the apico-alveolar trill/tap and the retroflex continuant, occur morpheme initially. When the apico-alveolar trill is in word initial position, however, a prothetic vowel is added (see below, §1.2.3.2); cf:
/nu-ra-ni /
1MinA.3MinO-spear-Pre
'I speared it'
/gi-rey/
Loc3IV-place of origin
at/to the place of origin
/gi-riri /
Loc3IV-teeth
with the teeth, 'by tooth'

```
\(\{\varnothing\)-ra- \(\varnothing\} \rightarrow\) /ara/
```

2MinA.3MinOImp-spear-Irr2 Spear it!
$\{$ rey $\} \rightarrow$ /arey / (nuyu )
place of origin $3 \mathrm{MinNf}_{+}$Poss $_{C}$ (his) place of origin

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\{\text { riri }\} \rightarrow \text { /iriri } / & (\text { a-jere }) \\
\text { teeth } & \text { 3I-Poss } \\
\text { (his) teeth } &
\end{array}
$$

### 1.2.1.1.4. Stop Contrasts.

Phonetically, there are two contrasting series of stops in wordmedial position in Gurr-goni. These have been studied by Butcher, who has made acoustic analyses of the stops from recordings of two speakers of Gurr-goni. Butcher (forthcoming) finds that as "[f]or all the languages of this central Top End area for which data is available, constriction duration turns out to be the one cue to the stop contrast which is consistently present for all subjects at all places of articulation." He gives the following figures for the mean duration of word medial intersonorant stops:

|  | bilabial |  |  | velar <br> apico- <br> alveolar | apico- <br> post-alveolar | lamino- <br> palatal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fortis | 178 | 153 | 168 | 160 | 172 | 166 |
| lenis | 90 | 86 | 45 | 30 | 84 | 73 |

Table 1.2: Mean duration of word medial stop constrictions as a function of place of articulation (values in ms ) (following Butcher forthcoming).

While length of closure is the most consistent distinction between the two series, there are other associated differences:
(i) In the length of the preceding vowel. Butcher (ibid) notes that "Gurr-goni seems to make use of [this] cue more than the other two languages for which I have data. Thus both Gurr-goni informants ... produce vowels before the two peripheral consonant types which are between one-and-one-half times and twice as long before LENIS stops as before FORTIS stops. At other places of articulation ... the differences are minimal."
(ii) In voicing: "Both subjects show consistent voicing differences for apicals (not surprising in view of massive differences in closure duration) and for labials (easiest place to maintain voicing), which could be characterised as lead vs short lag. [Speaker] JW also has consistent differences for laminals and velars but [speaker] MB does not."(Butcher nd).
(iii) Butcher also recorded aerodynamic data from a Gurr-goni speaker. His measurements show that the intervocalic stops differ in peak intraoral pressure, as well as in duration. The mean value for the peak intraoral pressure of short intervocalic bilabial stops is 5060 dynes $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, while for long intervocalic bilabial stops it is 7315 dynes $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.

In Gurr-goni, then, several phonetic parameters - "articulatory duration, glottal width (as evidenced by intraoral pressure), glottal timing (as evidenced by voicing)" (Butcher forthcoming), and duration of the preceding vowel, all contribute to differentiating the two phonetically contrasting stop series.

This contrast occurs only word-medially, following vowels, glides, laterals, rhotics (and, in the case of one suffix, nasals). Word initially and word finally, no contrast is found. Butcher's preliminary measurements of word initial stops shows that their peak intraoral pressure (3600 dynes $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ mean value for initial bilabial stops) is lower than that of word medial short/lenis stops, while the constriction duration (a mean of 250 ms ) is in the range for long/fortis medial stops. On my own observation, they may be partially voiced, voiceless and unaspirated, or
even strongly aspirated ${ }^{6}$. Word finally, stops are long, voiceless, and are often unreleased.

A similar contrast in word medial position is found in all the languages immediately surrounding Gurr-goni, and in others further afield. The phonetic basis and phonological status of the stop contrast have been discussed at some length by most of the linguists who have described these languages. Some have adopted a geminate phonological analysis; this is the case for all the Maningrida languages (Burarra (Glasgow and Glasgow 19677), Ndjébbana (McKay forthcoming) and Nakkara (Eather 1990)), as well as Kunwinjku (Carroll 1976) and Rembarrnga (McKay 1975 and 1980) to the west and south. Merlan (1983), however, prefers an analysis based on contrasting series of stops for Ngalakan. Wood (1978) finally adopts a segmental analysis for Gaalpu, and Eastern Yolngu language, after considering the advantages of both geminate and prosodic analyses, and Morphy (1983) and Waters (1980) also decided on a segmental approach for Djapu and Djinang, two other Yolngu languages. Wood (1978:107), however, considers that the contrast in Gaalpu may well have had a prosodic origin, with long stops developing after fortis/tense syllables. These are characterised by a syllable final glottal stop, and he suggests that "fortis syllables terminating in a continuant ... preceding stops ... are manifested by the feature value [tense] in the stop, rather than by the presence of a glottal" (ibid:104). McKay (1984) and Eather (1990) both present evidence that suggests that geminate stops in Ndjébbana and Nakkara may also have a prosodic origin, although here the gemination would probably have been a nondistinctive prosody signalling morpheme juncture. In Nakkara, long stops occur word medially at certain morpheme boundaries, alternating with short stops in word initial position. In Ndjébbana, gemination is linked to another prosodic feature, stress ${ }^{8}$. Stem initial stops in many nominal and verbal stems are short in word initial position, while in word medial position (following a vowel) they are geminated when the following vowel receives primary stress, and lenite to a glide when it does not.

Historically, there appear to be at least two sources for the long stops in Gurr-goni. Some derive from earlier homorganic nasal-stop

[^4]sequences; compare the following words found in Ndjébbana, Nakkara or Burarra, with the cognate Gurr-goni words. Phonetic length is signalled by [:].

| B wenga | 'speak' | B bunga 'fall' B benga 'come out' |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gg weg:u- | $"$ | Gg bug:u - " | Gg beg:u - |

B bonanguna 'thorny tree sp.' $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Ndj}$-nga, $-n j a$ Con tense suffixes Gg bonag :una 'ribcage' Gg -g:a -f:a

| B | gamba | 'roast' | Ndj nembo | 'wake' | wemba | wemaw water' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gg | gab:i | $"$ | Gg | geb:i | 'lift' | Gg web:u | $"$ |

B belambila 'wide, spacious' $B$ пunja '1. mimic; 2. be dark Gg belab:ili " Gg puy:a

B Binjuwa, Ndj Binjawa 'place name' B yinda 'do, say thus' Gg Biy:uwu Gg yid:a

The same correspondences can be seen between heterorganic nasalstop clusters in Burarra and Ndjébbana, and heterorganic stop clusters in Gurr-goni; cf. B. munba 'complete (v.tr)', Gg. mugbu "; B., Ndj. ŋanba 'perspiration', Gg. ŋadbu ".

At some stage of its history, Gurr-goni has clearly undergone a sound change, whereby nasals became stops when they occurred before a stop. Similar sound changes appear to have taken place in Old Norse, and in two Pama-Nyungan languages of Western Australia. In West Norse, and to varying degrees in East Norse dialects, a nasal stop cluster became a double (or geminate) consonant (Gordon 1957). In Burduna and Dhargari (Pama-Nyungan languages of the Kanyara and Mantharta families respectively), Austin (1981b:315) postulates "stopping of all nasals in preconsonantal position - in homorganic clusters this gives rise to geminate stops i.e. $m p>p p$ which later degeminate and are realized as phonemically VOICELESS." Single intervocalic stops became voiced, resulting in a phonemic contrast of voiced and voiceless stops intervocalically.

Gurr-goni also appears to have had some lengthening of stops conditioned by the environment in which they occur. This can be seen by comparing the phonetic realisations of some tense suffixes, a verbal derivational suffix, and some free pronoun stems, in different environments. Phonetic length is again indicated by a colon [:].

| -'na-j:i | see-Con | -'gana-ji | watch-Con |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -'wu-f:i | give-Con | -'bawu-ji | leave-Con |
| -'ra-j:i | spear-Con | -'mora-ji | not know-Con |
| -'me-g:a | scold-Con | -'jerji-ga | find-Con |
| -'je-g:a | get-Con |  |  |
| 'puy:uyu | $3 \mathrm{MinFem}+\mathrm{Poss}_{\mathrm{C}}$ |  | $3 \mathrm{MinF}+$ Card |
| 'ro-g:anmi-yi | be hot-Trans-Intr: be | jala-ganmi | torch-Trans: "torch it", |
|  | made hot, be heated |  | shine light on |
|  |  | 'bel-ganmi | ?-Trans: open eyes wide |

Here, a long stop occurs following a root-initial, stressed, CV syllable. A short stop occurs in the same morphemes where the stress does not immediately precede the stop in question (either because the root is di-syllabic, or because the stress is placed elsewhere), or where the stressed syllable has the shape CVC. Synchronically, in the case of the verbal suffixes, this is analysed as a morphophonemic alternation between a geminate and single stop. Diachronically, however, the possibility also exists that this was an allophonic variation between phonetically long and short realisations of single stop phonemes, with length conditioned by a preceding open stressed (perhaps only root initial) syllable. (Eather (1990) in fact chooses to analyse some long stops in Nakkara in this way. There, long stops at word medial morpheme boundaries alternate with short stops when the same morpheme occurs word initially; Eather analyses these long and short stops as allophonic variants of single stop phonemes, while morpheme medial long stops are analysed as homorganic stop clusters. As the environment in which the alternation occurs is morphologically conditioned in both languages (in Gurr-goni, it can be described simply in terms of open stressed syllables versus other environments, but the rule is valid only for pronouns ${ }^{9}$ and verb suffixes) it seems to me preferable to describe the varying realisation of the morphemes in a way that is consistent with the phonemic representation of long stops elsewhere in the language, and this is the approach I will take.)

How then do we analyse these long stops?
Eather (1990:25) based her decision to analyse the morpheme medial long stops in Nakkara as geminates largely on three arguments: "firstly, the distributional parallel between stop clusters and long stops;

[^5]the fact that neither stop clusters nor long stops occur word-initially. Secondly, there is a phonetic parallel: the fact that the duration of a long stop is equivalent to the duration of a stop cluster. Thirdly, the opportunity that these arguments offer for considerable phoneme economy by elimination of a whole series of stops from the phoneme inventory." Glasgow and Glasgow (1967) and McKay (1980 and forthcoming) based their analyses of long stops in Burarra, Rembarrnga, and Ndjébbana, respectively on very similar grounds. All of these arguments are valid for Gurr-goni also: we have already seen that the stop contrast is only found medially, and, as we shall see below (§1.2.4), no stop clusters occur word initially or finally either. The economy of phonemes offered by this analysis is self-evident, and only the basis of the second argument needs to be demonstrated in Gurr-goni. Butcher's preliminary measurements of heterorganic stop clusters show that the mean duration for the cluster as a whole is 176 ms , comparable to that of long stops (overall mean 166 ms , see Table 1.2 above). Furthermore, as McKay (1980:4) has noted for Rembarrnga, when two homorganic stops come together at a morpheme boundary, they are realised as a single long stop, as the following example illustrates:


Merlan (1983:2), however, does not consider this sufficient reason to interpret long stops elsewhere as clusters. She also notes that the geminate analysis has implications for the phonotactics, leading to "a high frequency of syllables ending in a stop, with the following stop beginning in a homorganic stop." This, also, is true for Gurr-goni, particularly for triconsonantal clusters. As we shall see below (§1.2.4.5), even without a geminate analysis there are a large number of diconsonantal clusters, including heteroganic stop clusters. The number of triconsonantal clusters is greatly increased under a geminate analysis, but they also exist independently of the analysis, and include clusters where a sonorant (glide, rhotic, lateral or nasal) precedes two heterorganic stops.

We conclude, therefore, that the possible disadvantages of a geminate analysis are outweighed by its advantages, and this is consequently the analysis we shall adopt, treating all phonetically long stops in word medial position as geminates, regardless of their historical origin.
1.2.1.1.5. Minimal pairs, showing critical contrasts.

Critical phonemic contrasts are demonstrated by the following minimal or subminimal pairs:

Apical Consonants.
_ \# r/a/d/d/1/1
lor hard (adv)
godggos ibis sp
gu'loddod bar shouldered dove
doddod swamp bloodwood
borol Acacia sp
-dolddol bald
V___V r/a/dd/dd / $1 / 1$
jara rather
basar kurrajong
Jadda carve (v tr)
jaddi hurry, go quickly (v intr)
jalagay torch
jalabbu make, fix (v tr)

Apical vs Laminal Contrasts.
Stops, V $\qquad$ V

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Jadda } & \text { carve (v tr) } \\
\text { Jaddi } & \text { hurry, go quickly (v intr) } \\
\text { JajJa } & \text { MoBro }
\end{array}
$$

Nasals, V $\qquad$ V

| nuna | white woman |
| :--- | :--- |
| muna | plant sp. |
| juna | yam sp. |

Nasals, $\qquad$ \#
galban leaf
mabban. boil ( n )
-man taste


### 1.2.1.2. Vowels.

The major allophones of the five vowel phonemes are as follows:
/i/ The main allophone is [ 1 ]; [i] occurs mainly before palatal /n/ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$, and in word final position, although it may occur as an infrequent variant in other positions also.
$/ \varepsilon /$ The main allophone is $/ \varepsilon /$, although a higher vowel $\left[\varepsilon^{\wedge}\right]$ or $\left[\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}\right]$ may be heard before palatal $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$. It is occasionally heard lowered to [ $\mathfrak{æ}^{2}$ ], particularly in the environment of peripheral consonants.
/a/ The main allophone is the low central unrounded vowel [ $\mathfrak{e}]$. $[\mathfrak{x}]$ is often heard following the palatal glide $/ \mathrm{y} /$.

10/ This is a low mid back rounded vowel [ 0 ] in all positions.
/u/ The main allophone is [ $\omega$ ].
/i/, /u/ and /a/ all frequently reduce to a central unrounded vowel [ə] in unstressed syllables, particularly in polysyllabic words.

The diphthongs $\left[\varepsilon^{1}\right],\left[\mathfrak{e}^{1}\right],\left[\nu^{1}\right],\left[\omega^{1}\right],\left[\rho^{u}\right]$ and $\left[\mathfrak{e}^{u}\right]$ are interpreted as sequences of a vowel followed by a glide; thus $/ \varepsilon y /$, /ay/, $/ \circ y /$, $/ \mathrm{ow} /$, and /aw/. No instances of /iw/ or/ $/ \mathrm{w} /$ are known.

Although /ay/ and / $\varepsilon y /$ clearly contrast, as in /gayi(bbu )/ 'I; 1st person minimal cardinal pronoun' and /घعупеуja / 'pant (v intr)'
there are some morphemes in which $/ a /$ is realised as $\left[\varepsilon^{\wedge_{1}}\right] \sim\left[e^{\nu_{1}}\right]$ before a palatal glide:

| \{a-\} | $\rightarrow$ [ e$] 3 \mathrm{r}$ | d person minimal, Class I S/O prefix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{\mathrm{a}-+-\mathrm{y}-\}$ | $\rightarrow\left[e^{\sim_{1}}\right]$ | 3rd person minimal Cl.I, S/O + away prefix |
| \{ar-\} | $\rightarrow$ [ er$]$ | $1+2$ person minimal S/O prefix |
| \{ay-\} | $\rightarrow\left[e^{\nu_{1}}\right]$ | $1+2$ person minimal A prefix |
| \{ba-\} | $\rightarrow$ [ $\mathrm{be}^{\text {] }}$ | 'eat' (before all tense suffixes) |
| (bay | $\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{be}^{\left.\nu_{1}\right]}\right.$ | 'eat' (unsuffixed, as in Irr 2, and in derived nominals) |

Sequences of a high front vowel - palatal glide - high front vowel (/iyi/) are often realised as a long high front vowel; for example:
/ni-gonagi-yi-n / $\rightarrow$ [nıgənagi:n] 'you'll bathe, bath yourself'
/gi-yina-ga/ $\rightarrow$ [gi:nəge] 'earlier today'
Other phonetically long high vowels have also been analysed as vowel - glide - vowel seqences. / $\mathrm{u} /$ and /uw/ contrast finally in /-gu/: [go] 'egg' and /-guw /: [g@:] 'whisper'.

The following minimal and subminimal pairs show the five vowels contrasting in stressed and unstressed position:

| 'bijga | 'tie, wrap' |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'bejJi | 'come out' |
| 'bajgiyi | 'fight' |
| bojja | 'spit out' |
| 'buya | 'fontanelle harden' |
| 'gadi' ${ }^{\text {chili }}$ | 'snail; chips (of yam, etc) |
| -'gazbel | 'deaf' |
| 'gabbal | 'flood plain' |
| 'dabbals | 'skink' |
| 'yangu1 | 'duck sp' |
| gi'nili | 'smoke' |
| jengele | 'Sand palm, Livistona sp.' |
| malala | 'mangrove sp.' |
| - 3 molo | 'frog' |
| bululu | 'fat (n)' |

### 1.2.2. Stress.

Stress in Gurr-goni is to a large degree grammatically predictable: it is placed on the first syllable of the root. (Primary stress is shown here by an acute accent ' placed before the stressed syllable.) Where the root takes prefixes, the first syllable of the prefix, or series of prefixes, takes secondary stress (shown here as "):
'garbas 'bright, light (as in moonlight)'
'nu-'warbas -ji-n '1MinS-bright, light-Thmsr-Fut: I'll be bright' 'and -gu-'warbad -ja '3MinCl.I-Nom-bright, light-Thmsr: white man'

In compound stems, formed of two or more roots, the first syllable of the first root may receive primary stress, while the first syllable of the second root takes secondary stress. This is so both for (many) reduplicated forms, and for other compounds:

| 'balay | 'far' |
| :--- | :--- |
| 'balay'b-alay | 'very far' |


| 'mibilu 'eye' | 'gegi $\quad$ 'put in' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'biy-'mibilu'gegi | -di |

3MinA.2MinO-eye-put in-Fut: 'she'll miss you (when you're gone)'
'-giri 'juice' 'jawa 'bleed, leak, seep'
g-'gir "Jawa-ga
1MinS-juice-seep, leak-Con 'my eyes are watering'
Often, however, compound forms (but not reduplicated forms), have the primary stress on the first syllable of the second root, and secondary stress on the first. For some stems, this may be optional (thus "biy-"mibilu-'gegi -di is also possible); for others, particularly derived verbs lexicalised with a particular meaning, stress placement appears to be fixed:
'gonda 'arm' -'bayggidi 'long, tall'
"and -" gonda'bayggidi 'lightning'
There are a large number ${ }^{10}$ of apparent exceptions to this rule of placing stress on the first syllable of the first root. Almost all of these probably contain a non-productive, unstressed, derivational or other

[^6]prefix preceding the primary root (or, in some cases, a still productive prefix before a root that does not otherwise occur). Thus, the syllable preceding the stressed syllable has the form bV-for 8 stems, gV - for 14 and mV - for 22; 3 have nu-, and one na-. These may all derive from the class or person prefixes of the same forms (bV-3rd person non-minimal, gV - class IV (and the current productive verb > noun derivational prefix), mV- class III (also Intensifier and Collective Plural), nu-/na- 3rd person minimal, non-feminine). Some stems have two unstressed syllables preceding the primary stress - in at least one of these, both syllables appear to have originally been productive prefixes; compare:
gin -mi-na -bami 'fresh water'
(where gin - is the Class IV prefix, unmarked case, and alternates with the Class IV Local case prefix yigi-) with the Nakkara form
wu-na-bbama 'fresh water'
where in Nakkara, -na- is the productive derivational prefix (and the 3rd person minimal, non-feminine prefix), and wu- the productive nominal 'Characteristic' prefix (Eather 1990:123-131).

In other cases, the stem is probably a compound. Thus the word "mada'jayjsiga 'snake' may be a compound of Burarra (and perhaps originally Gurr-goni also) mada 'tail', plus gayjgiga (meaning unknown, but it appears to be a verb of conjugation IIIa, ŋayjјi -ga '?Con', so the meaning of the compound would be 'tail X-es').

The fact that stress is not placed on the first syllable of the stem may indicate that the stem-internal morpheme boundaries are still recognised as such. The treatment of some loan words suggests that the opposite may be true: 'nawasan 'python sp.' and 'manberg 'uplands, high country', both loans from Kuninjku, contain the Kuninjku prefixes na- 3 rd person, class I, and man- 3rd person, class III. They appear not to be treated as prefixes, in that they do take stress, and they are invariable (manberg, for instance, does not inflect for case).

In the following circumstances, stress shifts from the first syllable of a monosyllabic root to a pronominal prefix. Where the monosyllablic root wu 'give' is preceded by a di- or polysyllabic prefix ending in -bu-, the primary stress is placed on this last syllable of the prefix, and wu itself is unstressed:

| thus | a'bu-wu-ni | They gave him (something). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ' Jiru 'bu-wu -ni | They gave us / you; we gave them (something). |
| but | gu-'wu -ni | I gave him/her (something). |
|  | 'biy-'wu -ni | $\mathrm{He} /$ she gave you (something) |

When the verb ni 'sit' is in either the Precontemporary or the Irrealis 2 tense, it takes no overt suffix. In this case, stress may shift to the final syllable of the pronominal prefix, particularly if the prefix ends in -rini( $\mathbf{n}$ )- 'UA feminine', or is itself monosyllabic. The augmented number and UA non-feminine prefixes tend to take stress on their initial syllable when affixed to $-n i-\varnothing$.

Thus,
but 'niwur -"ni-ø
'awuni -"ni-ø [bunıni] They two men sat.

### 1.2.3. Morphophonemics.

### 1.2.3.1. Vowel Assimilation at all Morpheme Boundaries.

A limited type of vowel harmony is found sporadically at all morpheme boundaries: between prefix and stem; between stem and suffix; between pronominal and directional prefixes; between derivational and inflectional suffixes; and between the morphemes that make up the pronominal prefixes, when person/number morphemes assimilate to the following number morphemes.

Unstressed high vowels in the last syllable of a morpheme may assimilate in frontness/backness to the first vowel of the morpheme following. Thus the front vowels /i/ and /e/ trigger assimilation in an unstressed $/ \mathrm{u} /$ in the preceding syllable, and the back vowels $/ \mathrm{u} /$ and $/ \mathrm{o} /$ trigger assimilation in a preceding /i/. The central vowel/a/ (both [-back] and [-front]) does not trigger assimilation.


A morpheme initial palatal consonant, particularly $/ \mathrm{y} /$, the most vocalic, will also cause an unstressed $/ \mathrm{u} /$ in the final syllable of the preceding morpheme to shift to $/ \mathrm{i} /$.

Rule 1b. V $\rightarrow$ [front] / _ (C*) +C


For example:
\{gun- $\}+\{$ mina'bami $\} \rightarrow \quad / \mathrm{gin}-\mathrm{mina}{ }^{\prime}$ bami/
3MinCl.IV fresh water fresh water

| \{gu-y(i)-'buggu-n $\}$ |
| :--- |
| 3MinCl.IVS-away-fall-Fut |$\rightarrow \quad \rightarrow \quad$ /gi-y(i)-'buggu-n /

it will fall away
\{a-'yibbu-yi-ø\} $\rightarrow \quad / \mathrm{a}-$ '́yibbi-yi $^{\prime}$
3MinCl.IS-split-Intr-Irr2 it might split

| \{ni'y ${ }^{\text {c-pu-ya }}$ \} | $\rightarrow$ | /ni'ye-pi-ya/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3MinNf-Card-? |  | it was him |
| \{mu-'bawu-ni \} | $\rightarrow$ | /m-'bawi-ni/11 |
| 3MinA.3IIIO-leave-Pre |  | he/she lef |

\{ji-wu-'negi-ri\}

3MinII-AugA-squash-Pre $\rightarrow \quad$| /Ju-wu-'negi-ri/ |
| :---: |
| they squashed her |

\{jin-'yu-gu\} $\rightarrow \quad /$ јun-'yu-gu/12
3MinCl.IIS-lie,sleep-Fut she'll sleep
\{gu-'rey\} $\rightarrow \quad / \mathrm{gi}-$ 'rey/
Loc3IV-place of origin at/to/from the place of origin

### 1.2.3.2. Morphophonemic Changes between Prefix and Stem.

1.2.3.2.1. Deretroflexion. Following the prefix-final alveolar nasal $/ \mathrm{n} /$ of the non-local nominal noun class prefixes (an-, jin -, mun-, gun-; see Table 2.2 below), a stem-initial postalveolar stop or lateral becomes an alveolar stop or lateral:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{C} & \rightarrow & \text { [alveolar] } & /
\end{array} \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{C} \\
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\text { apical } \\
\text { postalveolar } \\
\text { non-rhotic }
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

So, an- + -dolddol $\rightarrow$ an-dolddol
Cl.I bald

[^7]1.2.3.2.2. A stem-initial apical trill /r/ becomes an alveolar stop /d/ following a prefix ending in $/ \mathrm{n} /$ (ie, the four nominal noun class prefixes, and the verbal prefixes fin- 2nd person minimal S, A; zin - 3rd person Cl.II S, O; and all prefixes ending in -rinin - UA feminine ( S , A or O ) ). This process also applies after all transitive or intransitive prefixes ending in -ni-~-na- 'UA nonfeminine', -bu - 'Augmented A' and -rubu'nonsingular A and O'.


Thus stem-initial /r/ remains only after a zero prefix $\langle$ and after those minimal number pronominal prefixes which end in a vowel or a glide.

This process occurs after the allomorphs of the morphemes \{nin-\} '2nd person minimal' and \{jin-\} '3rd person minimal, Class II' are chosen; see §4.3.1.1.2 for the variants and their distribution.
So:

| a rimi! | Hold it! |
| :---: | :---: |
| gu-rimi-ya | I'm holding it |
| a-rimi-ya | $\mathrm{He} /$ she is holding it |
| jin-dimi-na | You are holding it. |
| fin-dimi-ga | $\mathrm{He} /$ she is holding her |
| awurinin-dimi-ga | The two females |
| abu-dimi-na | They all are hold |
| muwuni-dimi-ga | Two men are holdi |
| arubu-dimi-ya | We all are holding |
|  | us. |
| gu-rirgga | I am sharp. |
| an-dirgga | He/it is sharp |
| gun-dirgga | It (Cl.IV) is sharp. |

1.2.3.2.3. Apical Cluster Simplification. When two apical rhotics or two apical nasals come together at the boundary between prefix and stem, the second is deleted. For example:
\{лiwur-лэr戸ға-ø\} $\rightarrow \quad /$ niwur-эrృја/
1,2AugS-clear up-Irr2
We all/you all might clear up.
\{ar-rimi-ø \} $\rightarrow$ /ar-imi/
$3 \mathrm{MinA} .1+2 \mathrm{MinO}$-hold-Irr $2 \quad \mathrm{He}$ /she might hold us.
\{an-niyi-ø \} $\rightarrow \quad$ /an-iyi/
3I-3MinNf-unm
It's his/his Cl.I thing.
(Elsewhere in the thesis, the underlying form of the stem is shown, however, to avoid possible confusion over the shape of the morpheme.)

### 1.2.3.2.4.Vowel Deletion. When an open monosyllabic prefix beginning

 with a nasal attaches to a stem beginning with a stop homorganic with the nasal, the vowel is optionally (but usually) deleted:$$
\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \emptyset \quad / \# \underset{[\alpha \text { place }]}{ } \rightarrow+\underset{[\alpha \text { place }]}{ }
$$

For example,
\{mu-bawu-ni \} $\rightarrow \quad / \mathrm{m}$-bawi-ni $/$
3MinA.3IIIO-leave-Pre He/she left it.
\{ ju-gonda-ga \} $\quad \rightarrow \quad / n$-gonda-ga $/$
IMinA.3MinO-cut-Con I cut it.
1.2.3.2.5. $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{w} /$ Simplification. In several nominal stems, there is an alternation between zero following $/ \mathrm{n} /$ final prefixes, and an initial $/ \mathrm{w} /$ otherwise (ie, after all other prefixes, and when the stem takes no prefix):
$/ \mathrm{w} / \rightarrow \quad$ — / /n/ +
For example:

| yu-walijдi | ŋu-wasbbur | yad | awur-wudolu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IMin-name | IMin-little | mouth 3 Aug-narrow |  |
| my name | I'm little | their mouths are narrow |  |


| an-alifji | nin-asbbur | घad | jin-udolu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3I-name | 2Min-little | mouth 3 3II-narrow |  |
| his name | you're little | her mouth is narrow |  |

It must be noted, however, that there are a few nominals where this rule does not apply, for instance:
gu-woybug
l Min-true
I'm true
(ie, telling the truth)
gun-woybug an-woduwudu
$3 I V$-true
it's true
gu-woduwudu
1Min-shadow, spirit my shadow, spirit

3I-shadow, spirit
his shadow, spirit
gu-wadwad
IMin-lightweight I'm light (in weight)
an-wadwad
3I-lightweight
he's light (in weight)

### 1.2.3.3. Morphophonemic Changes between Stem and Suffix.

1.2.3.3 Final Vowel Alternation. In the free pronouns and demonstratives, a morpheme final $/ \varepsilon /$ becomes $/ \mathrm{i} /$ in word final position.

In pronouns and demonstratives: $/ \varepsilon / \rightarrow / \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{l}$ $\qquad$ \#

Thus: gu-gone-kuwa
3IV-this, here-Abl
gu-goni
3IV-this,here
ni ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{y} \varepsilon$-bbu
an-niyi
3MinNf-Card
3I-3MinNf
Compare:
a-jini-bbu
a-jini
3I-AnEmph-pu

3I-AnEmph

### 1.2.3.4. Elision of Vowels.

In normal speech, word final vowels are frequently deleted when the following word (usually in the same phrase or clause) takes a prefix beginning with $/ \mathrm{a} /$. All vowels may be affected by this elision, which has been noted between the verbs in a serial construction (1-1 and 1-4); between a noun and the possessive marker PREF-J\&re (1-2); between a noun and an adjective (1-3); between a noun and a verb, particularly when these form a fairly fixed collocation (1-4 and 1-5); between two verbs constituting two clauses, one the complement of the other (1-6); and between the negative particle galu and a following noun or verb (1-7). The elided vowels are shown in brackets.

## 1-1 awu-bu-n(i)

3AugA.3MinO-hit-Pre 3AugS-go along-Pre
They went on hitting it.
awur-ma-nay
,



| $1-2$ | bam(i) ar-jere |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | head $\quad 1+2$ Min-Poss |
|  | our head |

## 1-3 mibil(u) ad-gedewu <br> eye 3I-crooked <br> he's got a squint

| $1-4$ | wogg (u) | a-galm (a) | a-J $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}-\mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | arm | $3 I S$-get up+Con | $3 I S$-stand-Con |

He's standing waving.

## 1-5 budogolb (o) a-ne-re

beetle 3IS-sit-Con
Beetle sits (there) (place name).

1-6 gu-na-n(i)
1MinA.3MinO-see-Pre a-ji-ø.

I saw him standing.

## 1-7 gal(u) a-weృдi-ø

NEG 3I-speak-Irr2
He doesn't/can't speak.

### 1.2.4. Phonotactics.

The syllable types $V(C)$
C
$\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C}))$
are found in Gurr-goni. V and C occur word initially only; the remaining types may be found at any position within a word, which can consist of from one to at least eleven syllables.

### 1.2.4.1. The Syllable Type C.

There are only three examples of this syllable type; all occur word initially, and all are nasals occurring before stops at the same place of articulation. Two are optional morpho-phonemic variants of the prefixes gu- (1st person minimal, A or $S$ ) and $\mathbf{m u}$ - (3rd person minimal, class III, S or O ; also 'Collective Plural'). When these occur before a stem beginning with a stop at the same place of articulation, the vowel optionally, but usually, drops out, leaving a syllabic nasal as the prefix (see morphophonemic rule 1.2.3.2.7 above). For example:

\{mu-ba-na\} $\rightarrow \quad / \mathbf{m}-\mathrm{ba}-\mathrm{\eta a} / \quad$ 'he/she eats it (Cl.III)'

The third, always a syllabic nasal, occurs in the prefixes nji-na, лji-rinin- '2,3MinA.1UA (nonfem, fem) O '. $/ \mathrm{n} /$ here is undoubtedly an allomorph of \{ni-\} '1st person non-minimal', but the vowel has never been heard in this particular prefix.

### 1.2.4.2. The Syllable Type V(C).

Vowel initial syllables also occur only word initially, and are rare. They are found within the pronominal prefixes in the following 3rd person and $1+2$ person forms.
3rd person: Nominal

| an- | a- | abur- | awu- | awu-ni /rinin- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3MinCl.I | 3MinCl.I | 3Aug | 3AugA | 3UAnf/fA |
| Direct case | S,O | S | 3MinCl.IO | 3MinCl.IO |

$1+2$ person, Verbal

| aru- | ay- | arubu - | a-ni/rinin - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1+2 \mathrm{Min}$ | $1+2 \mathrm{MinA}$ | $1+2 \mathrm{nsA}, \mathrm{O}$ | $1+2 \mathrm{UAAnf} / \mathrm{f}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}$ | $(3 \mathrm{MinO})$ | $3 \mathrm{nsA}, \mathrm{O}$ | 3 MinO |

Apart from these prefixes, several interjections and two conjunctions begin with (or consist entirely of) a vowel:
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon y}$ ? 'what was that? what did you say?'
aya 'I see'
awa 'oh, really'
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad$ 'yes'
○ 'or'
arabbu 'and'
(Two nouns begin with /a/: ani'muggiri 'louse' and aja'goyjbidi
a-n $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$-r $\varepsilon$ 'penny lizard'. The placement of stress (see $\S 1.2 .2$ ) suggests that ani- and asa- were once prefixes, although they do not exist as such in present day Gurr-goni.)

As I describe below, the apical trill $/ \mathrm{r} /$ is not permitted word initially. When morphemes beginning with $/ \mathrm{r} /$ occur in this position, a prothetic vowel is added. In most cases, this is /a/; before two stems, however, it is a copy of the stressed, first vowel of the stem (o'roguru 'throat, voice', and i'riri 'tooth'). (Prothesis may be the source of the initial vowel in arabbu 'and', listed above, although in this word the stress tends to fall on the initial vowel, which it does not in other $/ \mathrm{r} /$ initial morphemes.)

There are thus no examples of initial $/ \mathrm{u} /$, and only one of $/ \mathrm{i} /$ and two each of $/ \sigma /$, and $/ \varepsilon /$.

### 1.2.4.3. Initial Consonants.

Word initially, all consonants occur except the apico-alveolar series: /d/, /1/, /n/ and /r/. As noted above, word initial /r/ is preceded by a prothetic vowel. The remaining alveolar consonants are not found at all in this position, as the alveolar/retroflex distinction is neutralised here, and only the retroflex consonants occur.

There are of course differences in the frequency with which the consonants occur in initial position. The table below displays these frequencies, as a percentage of the total number of consonant initial words, based on a lexicon of just under 1200 words. ${ }^{13}$ (The vowel-initial words were listed above ; they comprise $.8 \%$ of all words ${ }^{14}$. There is thus a difference of points of a percentage only, in considering the frequency of a particular consonant over all words, or over consonant initial words only.)

|  | bilabial |  | velar |  | palatal |  | alveolar |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b | 17.4 | g | 19.4 | J | 14.4 | d | 2.4 | 53.6 |
| nasal | m | 14.4 | ๆ | 6.4 | л | 1.2 | $\square$ | 2.1 | 24.1 |
| liquid |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | J | 1.8 | 6.8 |
|  | w 12 |  |  |  |  |  | (r | 3.7) |  |
| glide |  |  |  |  | y | 3.5 |  |  | 15.5 |
| Total |  | 31.8 |  | 25.8 |  | 19.1 |  | 11.3 |  |
|  |  | peripher | al:69. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In regard to the most and least frequent initial consonants, Gurrgoni is typical of Australian languages: the picture presented here is very

[^8]similar to that given by Dixon (1980:167-169), based on a sample of 40 other Australian languages.

In syllable initial position, word medially following a consonant, all consonants except $/ \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{/} / \mathrm{n} /$, and $/ \mathrm{l} /$ occur. However, while all the stops and the peripheral nasals and glide are common in this position, there is only one example each of $/ \mathrm{x} /, / \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{l} /$ (all of which are either reduplicated forms or compounds) and two each of $/ \mathrm{y} /$ and $/ \mathrm{n} /$ (two of which are reduplicated forms). The palatal and apical continuants are thus very rare, and would be excluded from an intra-morphemic count. (/a/does occur syllable initially as a result of contraction: in bamasa 'island' and Bobusere 'clan name', for example, the second vowel is often dropped, with the resulting pronunciations of bamıa and Bobıere.)

In syllable initial position, word medially following a vowel, all consonants occur. The apico-alveolar stop is however limited to steminitial position following certain verbal prefixes, where it is a morphophonemically conditioned variant of the apical trill $/ \mathrm{r} /$ (see $\S 1.2 .4 .2 .3$ below).

### 1.2.4.4. Final Consonants.

All consonants may occur as the single final consonant of a CVC syllable, whether it is word final or word medial.

Word finally, however, there are again differences in the frequency with which different phonemes occur. Of the total lexicon of 1200 words, 404 are verbs. All but 3 verb stems ${ }^{15}$ are vowel final. In some tense/mood categories, no suffix is added, so that the stem final vowel becomes word final. Otherwise, of the 16 distinct non-zero suffixes, 12 are vovel-final; 3 of the remaining 4 end in a glide, $/ \mathrm{y} /$, and one in $/ \mathrm{n} /$. In the tally of final phonemes, the verbs have been counted as vowel final. In all, $74 \%$ of words end in a vowel (all verbs, plus 476 words from other parts of speech).

Only $26 \%$ of words, then, end in a consonant; the frequency with which individual consonants are found in this position is shown in Table 1.4.

[^9]Table 1.4: Frequency of Word Final Consonants, as \% of total words.

| stop <br> nasal | bilabial |  | velar |  | alveolar |  | palatal |  | glottal |  | Total 9.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b | 0.2 | g | 5.5 | d, d | 1.8 | J | 1.4 | $?$ | 0.2 |  |
|  | m | 0.3 | 1 | 2.2 | n, n | 3.8 | n | 0.8 |  |  | 7.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,1 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| liquid |  |  |  |  | r | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 8.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| glide | w 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  | y | 1.8 |  |  | 2.0 |
| Total |  | 0.5 |  | 7.7 |  | 13.8 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |

Dixon (1980:169) notes that it "is quite unusual" for words in Australian languages to end in a stop. Gurr-goni, then, is unusual in permitting word final stops, and more so in that the velar stop is the most common consonant in this position (it occurs in $5.5 \%$ of all words!). The next most frequent final consonants are the apical laterals (together, $4.4 \%$ ), apical nasals ( $3.8 \%$ ) and the trilled rhotic (3\%), conforming to the general Australian pattern. The next most common consonant, / $\mathfrak{y} /$, with $2.2 \%$, again puts Gurr-goni in the class of "unusual" Australian languages: Dixon (ibid) says " peripheral nasals, $m$ and $\mathfrak{\eta} \ldots$ do occur at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ [ie, word finally] over limited geographical regions, and can be considered 'areal features'". (/m/ is rare word finally in Gurr-goni, as is $/ \mathrm{b} /$ : they account for $0.3 \%$ and $0.2 \%$, respectively, of all words.) All other phonemes occur finally in less than $2 \%$ of words; we can again note, however, that Gurr-goni is unusual in Australian terms (but normal for Arnhem Land) in allowing final $/ \mathrm{J} /$.

### 1.2.4.5. Consonant Clusters.

A consonant cluster is considered to be the coda of a syllable when it precedes another consonant or a word boundary. Where only two consonants occur word medially, they are analysed as the coda and onset, respectively, of two successive syllables; these intersyllabic clusters are discussed below. Intrasyllabic word final clusters are shown in Table 1.6, while Table 1.5 shows the attested word medial triconsonantal clusters.

Table 1.5: Triconsonantal Medial Clusters.

| $\mathrm{CC} / \mathrm{C}$ | b | g | j | d | d | m | n | 1 | d | w |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

b $\quad y b-b$
rb-b
ab-b
1b-b
1b-b
nb-b
nb-b

| g |  | wg-g | wg-f |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | yg-g |  |
|  | $\mathrm{rg}-\mathrm{b}$ | $\mathrm{rg}-\mathrm{g}$ | $\mathrm{rg}-\mathrm{J}$ |
|  | ag-b | Ig-g |  |
|  | $1 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{b}$ | $1 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{g}$ | $1 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{J}$ |
|  |  | $1 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{g}$ |  |
|  |  |  | ng-f |
| J | yJ-b |  | YJ-J |


|  | $\mathrm{rg}-\mathrm{n}$ | $\mathrm{rg}-1$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{xg}-\mathrm{m}$ |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{m}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(\mathrm{gg}-\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{x})$ |

d nd-g rd-d

1d-d

| gayjburu-bbu | $1,1+2$ Aug-Card 'we all' |
| :--- | :--- |
| gajbu | 'deprive of' |

There are also examples of the retroflex continuant $/ \mathrm{J} /$ preceding a peripheral nasal $/ \mathrm{m} /$ or $/ \mathrm{y} /$ syllable finally, and several syllable final homorganic nasal-stop clusters: / $\mathrm{gg}-\mathrm{J}, / \mathrm{mg}-\mathrm{J} / 16, / \mathrm{nd}-\mathrm{g} /$ and $/ \mathrm{nd}-\mathrm{J} /{ }^{17}$.

Table 1.6: Word Final Clusters.
$g \quad 0$

|  | wg |
| :---: | :---: |
| r | rg |
| $\downarrow$ | jg |
| 1 | 1 g |
| 1 | 19 |

We have seen that the velar stop is the most common word final consonant, and that word medially, most syllable final consonant clusters (other than those involving geminate stops) consist of a glide, lateral or rhotic plus a velar stop. It is not surprising, then, to find that clusters comprising a glide, lateral, or rhotic plus a velar stop may also occur word finally. Clusters of a liquid plus a velar nasal also occur here. (The attested combinations are shown in Table 1.6 above.) While /xy/ also occurs in syllable final, word medial position, (albeit in what is probably a loan word, geanboson 'lizard sp., probably the chameleon dragon, Chelosania brunnea '), $/ \mathrm{rg} /$ and $/ 1 \mathfrak{l} /$ do not. This may be a lacuna in the data, rather than in the language.

## Intersyllabic Consonant Clusters.

The following table shows the attested intersyllabic consonant clusters. Intra-morphemic clusters are enclosed within dotted lines; they are limited to the following combinations: bilabial stops preceded by all consonants except / w/ (again, this may be a lacuna in the data); velar stops preceded by most sonorants; palatal stops preceded by non-peripheral stops and a few sonorants; other homorganic nasal-stop clusters; peripheral nasals preceded by sonorants; /w/ preceded by liquids; and /ny/.

[^10]Table 1.7: Diconsonantal Medial Clusters.

|  | $\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{g}$ | d | d | J | m | 1 | л |  | $\pm$ | w | y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | bb bg |  |  | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{J}}$ | bm |  |  |  | (br) | bw |  |
| g | gb gg |  | gd | gI |  | gy | gn |  |  |  |  |
| d | db dg | dd |  | dJ | dm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d | $\mathrm{db} \quad \mathrm{dg}$ |  | dd | $\mathrm{dj}_{\mathrm{J}}$ | dm |  |  |  |  | dw |  |
| J | јb Jg |  |  | J |  |  |  |  |  | JW |  |
| m | mb mg |  |  | mJ |  |  |  |  | (m.I) |  |  |
| ๆ | $\mathfrak{y b} \quad \mathfrak{g}$ | nd |  | nf | $\mathfrak{n m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | nb ng | nd |  | nf | nm | ny |  | n1 |  | nw | ny |
| n | nb ng |  | ṇ | n. | ṇm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | nb ng |  |  | תJ | nm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1b 1 g |  |  | 15 | 1 m | 10 |  |  |  | 1w | 1 y |
| 1 | 1b 1 l |  | 1 d | 1 J | 1 m |  |  |  |  | 1w |  |
| r | $\mathrm{rb} \quad \mathrm{rg}$ | rd |  | rJ | rm | $\mathrm{r} \square$ | rn |  |  | rw |  |
| J | $\underline{\mathrm{b}}$ [1g |  | Jḍ | J | . m | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| w | wg |  | wd | wJ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| y | yb yg |  | yd | yf | ym | yn |  |  |  |  |  |
| $?$ |  |  |  | ? |  |  |  |  | 21 | ?w |  |

### 1.2.5. Orthography.

The orthography used in the remainder of this thesis is that chosen by a group of Gurr-goni men in consultation with the N.T. Dept of Education linguist, then working at Maningrida School, Ms Carolyn Coleman. The phoneme inventory of Gurr-goni is virtually identical to those of most of the surrounding languages, which already have orthographies. The main factor in deciding on the Gurr-goni orthography was that it should be different from all the surrounding orthographies; thus the geminate stop clusters are represented by voiceless stop symbols, and single stops by voiced stop symbols, as in Burarra, but the palatal series is represented by the digraphs dj , tj and nj , following Ndjébbana and Nakkara, rather than by j , ch and ny, as in Burarra.

| Peripheral |  |  | Apical |  | Laminal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bilabial | Dorso- | Apico- | Sub-lamino- | Lamino | Glottal |
|  | Velar | Alveolar | Pre-palatal/ <br> Post-Alveolar | Pre-palatal |  |

Vowels.

| $i$ | $u$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $o$ |

a

I have decided also to follow the Burarra practice of using the voiceless stop symbols word finally and syllable finally in heterorganic stop clusters, and the voiced stop symbols syllable and word initially. In these positions, length of closure is not contrastive, and these symbols are arbitrarily chosen to represent the single stops found in these positions.
To distinguish between the sequences [ng] and [ng] on the one hand, and $[\mathrm{n}]$, $[\mathrm{y}]$ on the other, a full stop is inserted before the velar stop in the first two sequences:
n.g (eg djen.gele 'sand palm') vs ng (eg rdengendak 'mistletoe')
rn.g (eg marn.gi 'knowledge') vs rng (eg gerngborong 'dragon $\mathrm{sp}^{\prime}$ )
To improve readability, clusters of apico-post-alveolar consonants have been simplified orthographically: rnrd is reduced to rnd, rlrt to rlt, etc. (Apico-alveolar and apico-post-alveolar consonants do not co-occur in heterorganic clusters ( $1-\mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{rn}-\mathrm{d}$, etc), so no loss of contrast is caused by this simplification.) Word initial apicals, neutralised to a usually retroflex pronunciation, are however written with the apico-alveolar symbols $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n}$ and l , except in reduplicated stems.

The Gurr-goni orthography, as it has been used in producing children's books, etc, also uses a hyphen to separate a prefix, or string of prefixes, from the stem (as is done in Burarra, but not in Ndjébbana or Nakkara). Suffixes are not separated from the stem. In this thesis,
however, I have separated all segmentable morphemes with a hyphen; fused morphemes are indicated by a + . In addition, primary stress is indicated by means of an acute symbol ' placed over the stressed vowel, in those cases where the stressed syllable is not already obvious (either by being the first syllable, or the first following a hyphen).

### 1.3. Morphological Preliminaries: Parts of Speech.

The following parts of speech can be identified in Gurr-goni: verbs, nominals (a macro class subsuming nouns, adjectives and pronouns), demonstratives and indeterminates, and particles (including interjections).
1.3.1. Verbs are a large open class of words which function as primary predicates in the clause. They take obligatory pronominal prefixes (sets Vi and Vii) indexing their arguments, optional directional prefixes, and obligatory tense/status suffixes. Each verb stem is either morphologically transitive or intransitive. Transitive stems take a set of pronominal prefixes (set Vii) indexing A and O arguments, while intransitive stems take a different set of prefixes, set Vi , which indexes one argument only, the $S$. These pronominal prefixes are tabulated and discussed in §4.3.

The morphological or formal transitivity of a verb stem, as determined by which set of pronominal prefixes it selects, is not always directly matched by its syntactic valency. Some verbs which select two arguments are formally transitive and some are formally intransitive; all syntactically ditransitive verbs are formally transitive. There is some discussion of syntactic frames in §5.2.

The system of tense/status suffixes is complicated, and verbs can also be divided into 8 major conjugation classes, all with subconjugations. These are tabulated and discussed in §4.7.
1.3.2. Nominals also constitute a large, open class of words, which may fill argument positions, both core and oblique, within a clause, and may also function as the predicate, the head of the clause itself.

There are some grounds for distinguishing between a subclass of nouns and a subclass of adjectives.

Adjectives can function as the head of an NP, and can also occur within an NP as modifier of the head noun. Nouns are restricted to NP head function; virtually the only exception to this is whole-part NPs, in which two nouns occur. Most adjectives, when functioning as a modifier
of a noun, as head of an NP, or as the primary predicate of a clause, take an obligatory pronominal prefix (set Ni or Nii ) encoding the person, number and noun class of their referent or subject. This prefix varies according to the pronominal/noun class categories of the referent or subject. Most nouns have inherent membership of one of the four noun classes. On some nouns this is overtly indicated by a noun class prefix which forms part of the lexeme. For many nouns, however, class membership is only shown by the 3rd person minimal noun class prefixes on verbs and adjectives, which must agree with the noun. Adjectives can also be derived from some nouns by the addition of a variable pronominal/noun class prefix directly to the stem, or to a derivational prefix. The grounds for distinguishing nouns and adjectives are further discussed in $\S 2.1 .1$, where it will be seen that kinship terms seem to constitute an intermediate category - syntactically, they behave like nouns, while morphologically, they are like adjectives.

Case is also a category for which adjectives and some nouns can be inflected: local case ('to', 'at', 'from') is encoded by a distinctive set of pronominal/noun class prefixes (set Nii), and ablative case by an ablative suffix, often used in conjunction with the local case prefix. As some nouns (particularly place names, and those referring to geographical features) cannot take the local case prefix, the ability to inflect for case cannot be taken as a defining criterion of nominals.

While any stem can readily be identified as nominal or verbal, verbs or clauses are often used as nominal lexemes without undergoing any derivational processes. Examples are the verbal kinship forms, such as:

## 1-8 weleng a-rrirrmi-rri-pu

then 3 MinA. $3 I O$-hold + Redup-Pre-pu ${ }^{18}$ he held him = father
gu-gupi-ni
djitbinibini
3MinA.3IVO-build-Pre traditional platform
Then his father (lit. he held him) built a platform. (V/62/24)
and the names of some fauna, including the blue tongue lizard:

| $1-9$ | djit-gorlungu | dji-ba-nga | a-ne-rre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Snail | 3MinA.3IIO-eat-Con | 3IS-be,sit-Con |

he eats snails $=$ blue tongue lizard

[^11]1.3.3. Pronouns distinguish three numbers (minimal, unitaugmented and augmented) and four persons (first, second, third and $1+2$ (speaker and hearer)). Three cases are distinguished in the pronominal paradigm: direct (covering A, S, O, vocative, and topics (which may be coreferential with dative pronouns)), possessive and dative. There is one set of non-minimal person/number stems, to which the gender, number and case suffixes are attached. The minimal number pronouns are largely distinct from the nonminimal ones, and many of the possessive stems are irregular. Dative pronouns are built on the possessive stems. The direct case pronouns take a set of suffixes (optional in most person/number categories) which encode pragmatic distinctions such as 'first', 'next' and 'contrastive/emphatic topic'. The forms and uses of the pronouns are described in §3.1.
1.3.4. Demonstratives can be distinguished as a separate part of speech on the grounds that, when modifying nouns or functioning as the head of an NP, they take verbal pronominal prefixes, rather than the nominal prefixes which occur with noun and adjectives. Three degrees of distance or relative accessibility are distinguished by the demonstrative adjectives and adverbs. The proximate adjective and adverbs have different stems, while the adjectives encoding the two further distances are formed from the respective adverbs by the addition of a pronominal prefix (set Vi ). In addition, there are two anaphoric demonstrative adjectives, and a number of adverbs encoding directional oppositions such as 'this side or way', 'that side or way', 'the opposite side or way'. The demonstratives are listed and exemplified in §3.2.
1.3.5. Indeterminates. The words which function as interrogative and indeterminate pronouns do not constitute a formally identifiable class, but form a coherent class syntactically and semantically. In interrogative clauses, they represent a constituent about which a speaker is requesting information; in positive declarative clauses, they refer to an entity whose precise identity the speaker does not know; in negative declarative clauses, they have a negative indefinite function. Indeterminates are discussed and exemplified at $\S 3.3$
1.3.6. Particles. This is a catch-all class for the remaining, uninflecting, parts of speech. It subsumes adverbs (of place, time and manner), conjunctions, both coordinating and subordinating (see $\S \S 5.5$
and 5.6.1); the negative and interrogative particles (see §§5.7 and 5.8 respectively), and interjections. Interjections have the distinguishing feature of being able to constitute a complete utterance.

The following interjections have been recorded:
burdak wait, hang on
guwa come here
awa oh, really
aya I see, right
mah OK
bayit it's all right
e yes
hi-hi yes!
ey what's that?
galu no
ngaw, nguwa yes
lay hey
worro what a pity
yula is that so, really
njonjonj how sweet, how cute
wardaw wow

### 1.4. Morphemes with Universal Distribution.

Three morphemes exist in Gurr-goni which are not specifically linked with any one part of speech, but rather co-occur with a wide range of word classes. They are therefore discussed here, before I proceed, in the following chapters, to an examination of each of the major word classes.

### 1.4.1. -X: 'extended duration'.

To express prolonged duration of an event, the final vowel of a word may be lengthened and held for some time at a pitch higher than that of the preceding stretch of discourse. This vowel lengthening, indicated by a colon and glossed $X$ for 'extended', occurs most often on verbs (as exemplified by 1-10), but has also been heard on nouns (also illustrated by $1-10$ ), adjectives (1-11), and even on loan words from English (1-12).

```
1-10 Wek a-djerre gu-garrapu dji-me-nji
place name 3IV-Anaph 3MinA.3IIO-get-Pre
dji-ma-na-:-y djongo-:-k.
3IIS-go along-Pre+X Mo-in-law +X
```

At that place Wek a-djerre djongok (your mother-in-law) went on and on getting (turtles).
(IX/30/10)

## 1-11 Wurru awurr-gabu awurr-mukdji-nji awurr-ni-ø but 3Aug-that 3AugS-die-Pre 3AugS-be-Pre an-mu-matjirri yerrtji, gut-gu-:-warr ${ }^{19}$. 3I-Coll-dead people mob 3IV-long ago+X

 But those people are dead, all the dead people, it was a long time ago.(IX/83/1)

## 1-12 Djona djin-malátja njiwu-marrpi-ni <br> name 3II-abandoned 1AugA.3MinO-look after-Pre njiwurr-ni-ø, milk njibu-wu-ni, 1AugS-be,sit-Pre milk IAugA.3MinO-give-Pre njibu-wu-ni njiwurr-ni-ø mi-:-lk. 1AugA.3MinO-give-Pre 1AugS-be,sit-Pre milk+X

 We were looking after the baby left behind (Djona), we gave her milk, we were giving her milk for such a long time. (VI/79/17)
### 1.4.2. Universal clitic -pu '?extreme degree', '?immediate'.

A clitic -pu may be optionally attached to almost any part of speech. Its function is not completely clear, and indeed, there may be a number of homophonous forms involved which have different functions. The following two examples will serve as a starting point in considering its function(s); here, informants were able to clearly express the meaning contributed in each case by the clitic.

| 1-13 | Mu-djartabiyi-ni | gatji, mu-djartabiyi-ni | gatji |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IIIS-rush-Pre | down,low 3IIIS-rush-Pre | down,low |  |
| mu-warrtji-nji | mu-djartabiyi-ni |  |  |
| 3IISS-climb-Pre | 3IIIS-rush-Pre |  |  |
| wurru gatji-pu | mu-djartabiyi-ni. |  |  |
| but down,low-pu | 3IISS-rush-Pre |  |  |
| It (a bomb/bombs) rushed down, it rushed down, it rose up fast, but |  |  |  |
| right down it rushed. |  |  |  |
| (IX/139/25) |  |  |  |

[^12]
## 1-14 Rdoyrdoy dji-na-ni yimúrtbitj <br> Wo'sCh 3MinA.3IIO-see-Pre fish sp <br> a-na-garlma-nay-pu a-warrtji-nji a-na-djarti, 3IS-twds-get up-Pre-X 3IS-climb-Pre 3IS-twds-hurry a-weki-ni, "Lay? Galu nguwurr-boy-ø ... 3IS-speak-Pre hey no $1+2$ AugS-go-Irr 2 <br> dji-goni yimúrtbitj ngu-me-n." <br> 3II-this fish sp 1MinA.3MinO-get-Fut <br> Your son saw the fish and got straight up and came quickly up, he said "Hey, no, let's go and I'll get this fish." (IX/43/12)

In 1-13, my informant translated gatji-pu low-pu as 'real low', and said that gatji 'low' alone would mean 'half low'. Gatji-pu could be said to mean 'an extreme degree of lowness'. The demonstrative adverb mutju-pu is often translated as 'right here', contrasting with mutju 'here'; again we could say that -pu indicates an extreme degree of closeness. In other instances, -pu has been translated 'all': the pronoun ngaytjburru-pu $1,1+2 A u g-p u$ is explained as 'all of us, the whole lot of us', while ngaytjburru-ø $1,1+2$ Aug-unm, the same form without -pu, is explained as 'a few of us'; and the difference between burr-ga-tji-pu and burr-ga-tji was explained as 'she(/he) took them all, none left behind' versus 'she took them -could be half left behind'. In all these instances it seems that -pu specifies an extreme degree of scope: with verbs, the scope of the action has the fullest possible extent; with adverbs which have a spatial range, the most extreme degree of that range is intended; with pronouns, the greatest possible reference.

The analysis is not so straightforward, however, when other pronouns are considered. -pu is obligatory on most minimal number direct case pronouns, but is optional on 1st person minimal and all unitaugmented number direct case pronouns. It is hard to see how a function of specifying 'extreme degree of scope' can apply here, as there is no possible variation in the range of reference. See §3.1.4.2.1.1 for further discussion.

A seemingly different function is illustrated in 1-14. In this case, the informant's translation was 'he got up real quick'; without -pu, it would simply mean 'he got up', with no indication of how quickly he did so. Another graphic example of this use of -pu, perhaps, is given in 1-15:

arrapu gakak a-garrapu a-djorrwi-rri
and MoMo 3I-Anaph 3IS-jump-Pre
awuni-garlma-nay-pu awuni-ngepi-rri.
3UAnfS-get up-Pre-pu 3UAA.3IO-lift-Pre
The wind threw him right off/?suddenly threw him, he sank down away, ... he jumped in straight away and went and grabbed him, this "grannie" (maternal great-uncle). Maka (paternal great-uncle) jumped in and that "grannie" jumped in, they both got up straight away and lifted him out.

In 1-14 and in the second two instances in 1-15, -pu appears to specify that the action described by the verb to which it is attached happens immediately after another action. In other cases, -pu appears to indicate that the action described by the following verb took place immediately after that of the verb with -pu; 1-16 exemplifies this. In both cases, however, -pu relates the timing of one action to that of another.

## 1-16 A-beki-ni-pu a-werdaguma-nay a-djartabiyi-ni. 3IS-arrive-Pre-imm 3IS-be frightened-Pre 3IS-rush-Pre

(VIII/14/6)
As soon as it (a truck) arrived he (a dog) rush off frightened.

Where the function of -pu is reasonably clear, it is glossed accordingly: -extr for 'extreme degree of scope', and -imm for 'immediate'. In all pronoun forms, however, it is glossed -Card for 'cardinal', as it is the most frequently occurring clitic or suffix in direct case, and its use appears to be grammaticalised to a large extent. Otherwise, where its function is obscure, -pu is simply glossed -pu.

### 1.4.3. -ya '?Emphatic predicator'.

The clitic -ya can optionally follow the clitic -pu on any forms to which the latter is optionally or obligatorily attached (direct case pronouns (see §3.1.4), demonstratives ( $\S 3.2$ and 3.2.2.3), kin terms such as -gu-marrpu-pu, 'spouse', where -pu is part of the stem (see below,
$\S \S 2.4 .2 .2-3$ ) and verbs). -ya does not occur independently of -pu, and we could in fact consider it to be a compound clitic -pi-ya ${ }^{20}$. I recorded few spontaneous uses of -pi-ya, and its function is even less clear to me than that of -pu. Usually, a word to which -pi-ya was cliticised was used alone as a complete, rather emphatic utterance; the following are two examples:
1-16 A-yiti-nji-pi-ya
3IS-do like this-Pre-pu-ya
That's what he was doing.
(VIII/80/20)
1-17 Ninjokoni gabi gut-djorlk a-dji-ø? Ngarrpi-ya! who Loc 3IV-creek 3IS-stand-Pre 2Min-Card-ya Who was down at the creek? It was you!

[^13]Chapter 2: Nominal Morphology and Syntax.
2.1.0. Nominals distinguished from other word classes.

On syntactic grounds, nominals can be identified in Gurr-goni as those words which can fill the position of core argument within a clause; they can also occur in outer core and peripheral roles (see §§5.2.2-5.2.4 for discussion of these roles), and as predicates, heads of the clause itself. Within the noun phrase, many nominals can be used both as heads and as modifiers.

When nominals are used in core argument positions, their noun class and the noun class registered on the predicate as part of the pronominal prefix must agree.

Nominals are also marked for the category of case. This however is not by itself a clear indicator of part of speech membership, for several reasons, namely, that the direct case (see below, §4.3.2) has zero marking; case marking is optional in some instances; and some nominals cannot inflect for some cases.

The distinctively nominal categories of class and case are discussed in sections 2.2 and 2.3 respectively, of this chapter. Nominals as predicates are discussed in $\S 5.1$. Before turning to these categories, I will firstly consider the question of whether, within the larger set of 'nominals', nouns and adjectives can be distinguished as parts of speech.

### 2.1.1. Nouns and Adjectives: Does Gurr-goni have Adjectives?

If, as is generally accepted, nouns are found as a distinct word class in all languages, and adjectives in most if not all languages, are there universal criteria according to which they can be identified? According to Dixon, there are: nouns can be identified on universal grounds as "[t]hat open class ..... which includes the semantic type OBJECTS (terms for flora and fauna, body parts, etc)" (Dixon 1984:583); the limits of this word class within any language must then be identified by "morphological and syntactic criteria particular to that language." (ibid). Dixon defines adjectives semantically as "describ[ing] some important but non-criterial property of an object" (Dixon 1982:56); typically these properties fall into the universal semantic types COLOUR, DIMENSION, AGE, VALUE, PHYSICAL PROPERTY, HUMAN PROPENSITY and SPEED (Dixon 1982:16, 56-57). Wierzbicka takes a similar, but stronger, stance, claiming that "nouns .. differ in meaning from adjectives, not just core nouns from core adjectives, but, probably, all nouns from all adjectives" (Wierzbicka 1988:466); the "crucial and interrelated semantic differences between nouns and adjectives" are that "nouns tend to designate 'kinds of things' endowed with certain properties; whereas adjectives designate properties as such ... a noun tends to suggest a rather large number of
properties .. an adjective, on the other hand, designates .. a single property" (ibid: 472).

Here, I will consider whether there are morphological and syntactic criteria distinguishing nominals referring to OBJECTS, or 'kinds of things', from those referring to single properties (COLOUR, DIMENSION, AGE, etc).

Morphologically, we can note that some nominals have inherent membership of one of the four noun classes, and others do not; some have overt marking of noun class, and others do not. Most nominals which do not have inherent class membership take variable noun class / pronominal prefixes (shown in Table 2.1 below) indexing the person, number and noun class of the nominal's referent or subject. Among these nominals we find many that fall into the semantic types proposed by Dixon as typically associated with the adjective word class:


Table 2.1: Nominal Pronominal Prefix Sets Ni (non-local) and Nii (local).


See $\S 1.2 .3$ for the morphophonemic variants of these forms, and $\$ 2.2 .1 .11$ for the morphophonemic and morphological variants of the noum chass prefixes (Brde person minimal).

Words referring to OBJECTS or 'kinds of things' do have inherent class membership; for most $\left(60-80 \%{ }^{1}\right)$, this is not marked overtly on the nominal itself, but only covertly, through agreement on associated words. For those where noun class is marked overtly, the noun class prefix cannot be varied without changing the meaning of the lexeme. Thus, while djit-baykirdi describes something in Cl. II as 'long', and gut-baykirdi describes something in Cl. IV as 'long', djin-minabami refers specifically to a kind of snake, and gin-minabami refers specifically to fresh water. The class prefix here is part of the lexeme, not a variable agreement marker.

The set of words identifying properties or qualities, to which those listed above belong, and the set of words denoting OBJECTS also show different syntactic behaviour. The 'property' words, with a pronominal / noun class prefix attached, can occur within an NP, modifying an OBJECT word:

| 2-1 | [gu-mornóngi wipa] njina-bo-gi+ni. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Loc3IV-big place lUAnfS-go-Pre |  |
| We two went to the big place (ie, the city). |  |

(VII/75/15)
${ }^{1}$ The proportion varies in different semantic domains, with many flora and fauna terms, for example, being lexicalised adjectives, or phrases, which do include an invariant class prefix, for instance:

| at-bolibeybigi | mut-gu-ngutju | at-gu-ngutju | gin-darri dji-guyu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3I-slippery | 3III-Nom-black | 3I-Nom-black | 3IV-ashes Loc3II-nose |
| lit. he's slippery, | lit. it's black | lit. he, it's black | lit. ashes on her nose |
| = dolphin | tree with black bark | =yam species | =black headed python | (Lophostemon grandiflorus)

Here, one feature is particularly salient in identifying the species (dolphins are slippery, that particular plant has dark bark, etc), but these lexemes now undoubtedly mean more than the adjectives from which they are derived. At-bolibeybigiyi as a species name identifies a kind of creature which has many properties: it lives in the sea, is slippery, eats such and such, is or is not good to eat, etc.

They can also occur as the head of an NP:

## 2-2 [Gun-mornóngi] ngu-wepi-ni. <br> 3IV-big $\quad 1$ MinA.3MinO-draw water-Pre <br> (I/5/19) <br> Lit. Big Cl.IV I drew water (ie, I drew a lot of water, Cl.IV).

and as predicates:

| 2-3 | a-nji | a-ba-rri | ngapala |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3I-what | 3MinA.3MinO-eat-Pre 1Min+Dat | baby |
| bami a-djerre | [an-mornóngi]. |  |  |
| head 3I-Poss 3I-big |  |  |  |

OBJECT nominals freely occur as the head of an NP, but do not co-occur within one NP except in possessive constructions; in other words, OBJECT nominals do not modify other OBJECT nominals.

Here, then, we have three grounds for distinguishing nouns and adjectives, two morphological and one syntactic. Adjectives, the 'property' words, do not have inherent noun class, take variable pronominal / noun class prefixes, and can modify nouns. Nouns have inherent noun class membership, do not take variable pronominal / noun class prefixes, and cannot modify other nouns.

There are a very few words which would be classed as adjectives on the grounds of having no inherent class membership, and being able to modify nouns, but which do not take pronominal/noun class prefixes. These are wana, another word meaning 'big', galatjarr 'deaf', and ngirrépu 'one'. (Two other quantifiers, -mukupu 'two' and -muka 'many', do qualify as adjectives on all three criteria).

There is also one word, getjigra 'something', which does not have inherent noun class, does not take any pronominal prefixes, and does not modify nouns. Here the last two factors suggest that it be classed as a noun (although comparing this word with the three just discussed, it seems that syntactic behaviour is criterial in determining word class here, and that morphological behaviour is largely, but not completely, predictable from this).

Both adjectives and nouns form large, open word classes: adjectives are productively formed by nominalising verb stems (and, in fact, some of the stems listed above are derived from verbs; -gungútju 'black, dark' derives from ngutju 'be black, dark, dirty' and -guwárrbardja 'white, bright' from warrbardja 'be white, bright').

A semantic type (or types) which has not yet been referred to is that of human classification - stages of life, kin and bereavement
relationships. While Dixon proposes a semantic type AGE, including 'young' and 'old', among typical adjectival concepts, Wierzbicka (1988:478) suggests that this could more accurately be called 'newness', as "for human beings age tends to be treated as a crucial determinant of KIND, rather than as one feature among many", and "[i]n Australian Aboriginal languages, the words for human age categories, such as 'young (initiated) man' or 'old person' tend to be ... more 'nouny' in their grammatical behaviour than words for 'unripe', 'fresh', 'new' or 'old' applied to plants or artefacts" (ibid:479). This may be the case in Gurrgoni, but it is not entirely clear. Many of the words referring to different stages of life or kin relations do not have inherent noun class, and do take variable pronominal/noun class prefixes: in 2-5 and 2-8, a 2 nd person prefix; in 2-4 a 3 rd person augmented prefix; and in 2-7 a Class I prefix. 2-6 illustrates the use of a bereavement term with reference to land, Cl.IV. It was spontaneously uttered after the death of a Boburerre man the country to which he had stood in the relationship of father was now bereaved. The construction type (unprefixed attributive stem plus copula ni 'be') is one in which many adjectives occur; it is described at $\$ 5.4$ below.
2-4 Awurr-ngorrumu awurr-bogi-ni.
3AugS-one whose parent(s) has/have died 3AugS-go-Pre
The fatherless children went.
(2/-ngorrumu)

## 2-5 Nji-ngorrumu <br> 2Min-one whose parent(s) has/have died

I'll leave you motherless.
ngiy-bawu-n
IMinA.2MinO-leave-Fut
(3/-ngorrumu)

2-7 At-bambay
3I-old person
the old man, he's an old man
(VI/77/1a)
You're getting to be an old lady (said, in fun, to a little girl).

In this they are like adjectives. However, they have not been found modifying other nominals ${ }^{2}$. Further research may clarify whether they are to be treated as a futher subclass of nominals, or as part of the adjective class.

### 2.2. Noun Classes

As a basic definition of noun class or gender we can take Hockett's statement: "Genders are classes of nouns reflected in the behavior of associated words." (1958:231, quoted in Corbett 1991:1). From this definition, it is clear that several points must be established in a discussion of noun class: which are those "associated words" which reflect the category of noun class; how they reflect it; and how many noun classes exist. In the section that follows, §2.2.1, I detail all those parts of speech which register the class of an associated noun, and show the class prefixes associated with each; the markers of noun class are then summarized in Table 2.2. In §2.2.2, I take up the question of the number of noun classes. $\S 2.2 .3$ is concerned with the principles which determine membership of the noun classes; in $\S 2.2 .4$, I look at variation in noun class agreement, and in $\S 2.2 .5$, I examine how the categories of person and number interact with noun class, and describe the use of those parts of speech which do not distinguish the total number of noun classes.

### 2.2.1. Parts of Speech Registering Noun Class.

All the word classes (other than nouns) which may appear in an NP (adjective, pronoun, demonstrative, indeterminate) show noun class agreement. In addition, intransitive and transitive verbs register the noun class of 3rd person S and O (when A is 3rd person), respectively.
2.2.1.1. Adjectives take noun class prefixes agreeing with the class of their head noun, referent or subject. These prefixes distinguish two cases, local and non-local (see §2.4), for each of the four noun classes. The examples below show the adjective -marrman 'good', with the non-local forms of the prefixes, modifying eight nouns (two from each noun class):

| Cl.I | worri $\quad$ an-marrman |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | man 3 3-good |
|  | good man |

mardangaytjiga an-marrman<br>snake 3I-good<br>good snake

[^14]| Cl.II | gami djin-marrman woman 3II-good good woman | ngalngi djin-marrman turtle 3II-good good turtle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl.III | mitja mun-marrman vegetable food 3III-good good food | wupunj mun-marrman canoe 3III-good good canoe |

## Cl.IV gin-minabami gun-marrman wipa <br> gun-marrman <br> fresh water 3IV-good place,country 3IV-good good freshwater <br> good country

2.2.1.2. Local case prefixes are illustrated below. In example 2-9, the Cl.I local prefix nu- on woku 'hand' encodes both local case and possession it agrees with the class of the possessor of the body part (see §2.4.1.5). Likewise, in 2-10, the Cl.II prefix on gotjila 'stomach' indicates location in that body part, and possession of the body part by a member of Cl.II (a woman, although this is not stated overtly). In 2-11 to 2-12, the prefix encodes only local case and class. In 2-11, it is added to a lexeme (wupunj 'canoe') which does not incorporate a class prefix in nonlocal case, while in 2-12, it replaces the nonlocal prefix which is part of the lexeme gin-minabami 'fresh water'. In 2-13, it is attached to an adjective -warraka 'other, different', modifying the noun wipa 'place'.

2-9 a-rrimi-rri nu-woku
3MinA.3IO-hold-Pre Loc3I-hand,foot
He held it in his hand.
(VII/41/23)

(VII/42/9)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2-11 } & \underline{\text { mu-wupunj }} & \text { gabi njiwu-gorrma-nay } & \text { djitjitja } \\ & \text { Loc3III-canoe Loc IAugA.3MinO-put-Pre } & \text { fish } \\ & \text { We put the fish in the canoe. } & \end{array}$
2-12 a-djorrwi-rri yigi-minabámi
3IS-jump-Pre Loc3IV-fresh water He jumped into the water.
(III/49/11)

## 2-13 gu-warraka wipa a-bogi-ni <br> Loc3IV-other place 3IS-go-Pre

He went to another place.
2.2.1.3. Demonstrative adjectives. The same eight nouns given in §2.2.1.1 are here shown modified by the demonstrative adjective -goni 'this'. As with common adjectives, four distinct prefixes appear : a-, dji-, mu-, gu- These are slightly different, it will be noted, from the nominal/ adjectival local and non-local prefixes above; all variants of the noun class markers are shown in Table 2.2 below.

## Cl.I a-goni worri <br> 3I-this man <br> this man

Cl.II dji-goni gami

3II-this woman
this woman
Cl.III mu-goni mitja

3III-this vegetable food this food
Cl.IV gu-goni gin-minabámi

3IV-this fresh water this water

## a-goni mardangaytjiga

3I-this snake this snake
dji-goni ngalngi
3II-this turtle this turtle
mu-goni wupunj
3III-this canoe this canoe
gu-goni wipa 3IV-this country, place this place
2.2.1.4. The indeterminate pronoun -nji 'what, which?' also distinguishes noun class; it takes the same prefixes as the demonstratives:

| 2-14 | Cl.I | A-nji $\quad$ balanda? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3I-what, which white man |
|  |  | Which white man? |

2-15 Cl.II Dji-nji djin-alitji?
3II-what,which 3II-name What is her name?

2-16 Cl.III Mi-nji mitja?
3III-what,which vegetable food Which food?

## 2-17 Cl.IV Gi-nji gun-alitji wipa? <br> 3IV-what,which 3IV-name place What is the name of the place?

A common use of -nji is in the phrase '-nji -goni?', literally 'what/which is this?' This is the more normal way of asking 'which person?' or 'who?', for instance:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 2-18 } & \text { Dji-nji dji-goni? } \\
\text { 3II-what, which 3II-this } \\
\text { Who is she? } \tag{I/27/7}
\end{array}
$$

## 2-19 Awuni-nji awuni-goni? <br> 3UAnf-what,which 3UAnf-this <br> Who are those two men?

When asking about individual human males, however, we find not the expected
*a-goni worri a-nji a-goni?
3I-this man 3I-what,which 3I-this
Who is this man?
but
2-20 a-goni worri ninjokoni?
Who is this man?
This form ninjokoni appears to be derived from *ni-nji a-goni, with a prefix ni- (the 2 nd person minimal form *nji-nji nji-goni has similarly coalesced to become njinjokoni). As well as being used with reference to human males, it is used in asking about indeterminate humans, where the sex is not known, and named male pets, such as dogs and buffaloes:

## 2-21 Awurr-gone-pu djungdjung awurr-walitji Gubulolo 3Aug-this-pu dog 3Aug-name name

Djinjdja Banda arrapu ninjokoni an-alitji, Murdurdu. Ginger Panda and who, what 3I-name crab These dogs names were Gubulolo, Ginger, Panda and what was his name, Crab.
(V/150/17)
The implications of this prefix ni- are discussed below at §2.2.2.
2.2.1.5. Possessive adjectives (see §3.1.4.3) agree in noun class with the possessed noun. Here four of the nouns used previously are shown
modified by -ngayi-pu, 'my', and ant-gu-marrpupu 'husband' (or 'male spouse') here exemplifies agreement with a human male. The prefixes, and the number of noun classes distinguished, are the same as with regular adjectives:

## Cl.I an-ngayi-pu mardangaytjiga <br> 3I-1Min-Card snake <br> my snake

## Cl.I an-ngayi-pu ant-gu-marrpu-pu

3I-1Min-Card 3I-Nom-wait,care for-pu
=spouse
my husband
Cl.II djin-ngayi-pu ngalngi

3II-1Min-Card turtle
my turtle

## Cl.III mun-ngayi-pu mitja <br> 3IV-1Min-Card veg.food my food

## Cl.IV gun-ngayi-pu wipa <br> 3IV-IMin-Card place, home, country <br> my home, my country

2.2.1.6. Body part nouns. Some nouns (mostly body parts) occur in a possessive construction (see §2.4.1.1) where, in non-local case, the possessed noun carries a prefix agreeing in noun class with that of the possessor:
Cl.I worri $\underset{\text { man }}{\text { andinaliyirri }} \frac{\text { mardangaytjiga }}{3 I \text {-skin }} \frac{\text { an-maliyirri }}{3 \text {-skin }}$
man 31-skin snake 31-skin
Lit. 'man his-skin': man's skin 'snake his-skin': snake's skin
Cl.II gami djin-maliyirri
woman 3II-skin
woman's skin

## Cl.III yakala mun-maliyirri <br> green plum 3III-skin <br> skin or peel of the green plum

## Cl.IV djulu <br> gun-maliyirri <br> matches (Cl.IV) 3IV-skin

Lit. skin of the matches, $=$ match box
2.2.1.7. Intransitive verbs with 3rd person minimal subjects take a pronominal prefix indexing the class of the noun in subject position:

| Cl.I worri a-yo-rri | mardangaytjiga $\quad$ a-yo-rri |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| man $\quad$ 3IS-lie-Con | snake | 3IS-lie-Con |
|  | (the) man lies/sleeps | (the) snake lies/sleeps. |

## Cl.II gami djinj-yo-rri <br> woman 3IIS-lie-Con

(the) woman lies/sleeps.
Cl.III $\begin{array}{ll}\text { mitja } & \text { mu-yo-rri } \\ & \text { veg.food } \\ & \text { 3IIIS-lie-Con }\end{array}$

Lit. Vegetable food lies (=There is vegetable food)

## Cl.IV Gin-minabámi gu-yo-rri

fresh water 3IVS-lie-Con
Lit. Fresh water lies (=There is fresh water)
2.2.1.8. Transitive verbs with 3rd person minimal objects and 3rd person agents show agreement in the pronominal prefix with the noun class of the object noun. In the examples which follow, there is no overt agent NP in the clause, nor does the agent receive any overt marking in the pronominal prefix. That the agent is 3 rd person minimal is clear from the absence of any agent number morphemes (see §4.3.1.3 on transitive pronominal prefixes).

## Cl.I worri / mardangaytjiga a-na-ni <br> man / snake 3MinA.3IO-see-Pre <br> $\mathrm{He} /$ she saw (the/a) man / snake.

## Cl.II gami dji-na-ni

woman 3MinA.3IIO-see-Pre
$\mathrm{He} /$ she saw (the/a) woman.

## Cl.III mitja mu-na-ni <br> veg.food 3MinA.3IIIO-see-Pre <br> He/she saw vegetable food.

## Cl.IV gin-minabámi gu-na-ni <br> fresh water 3MinA.3IVO-see-Pre <br> $\mathrm{He} /$ she saw fresh water.

2.2.1.9. Pronouns. 3rd person minimal pronouns are also specified for class, but here, instead of the four or five class oppositions we have previously seen, there are only two: ni- (in direct and dative cases) ~ nu(in possessive case) used for all members of Classes I, III and IV; and ngidji- (in direct case) ~ ngutju- (in possessive and dative cases), used with members of Cl.II. In other words, this is a feminine/non-feminine opposition. (Use of pronouns with members of Classes III and IV is discussed and exemplified in §2.2.5. Direct, dative and possessive case pronouns are shown in Table 3.1; direct case pronouns optionally take suffixes (such as -na 'emphatic', -pu 'cardinal') indicating their discourse function. The segment -yé- is discussed at §3.1.4.1.)

| 2-22 | Ni-yé-na | Paul | an-arpurr | a-ni-ф |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3MinNf-?-Emph | $\prime \prime$ | 3I-small | 3IS-be,sit-Pre |
|  | Paul, he was little. |  |  |  |
| (IX/10/15) |  |  |  |  |


| 2-23 | Weleng ngidji-yé-pu | dji-garrapu | gami |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | 3MinF-?-Card | 3II-Anaph woman (Cl.II) |  |
| dji-ni-ø wipa. |  |  |  |
| 3IIS-sit, stay-Pre home |  |  |  |
| Then the woman I'm talking about stayed home. (VII/42/17-25) |  |  |  |

2.2.1.10. All Unit-Augmented pronominals, both free pronouns and prefixes, distinguish the category of class, in all persons. As with the 3rd person minimal pronouns, there are only two class forms for each person and case category. As described in $\S 2.2 .5 .2$, on the interaction of noun class with number, non-minimal number is usually used only of humans and higher animates, and only Class I and Class II (into which all living creatures are classified) are found in UA number.

In the free pronouns, Class I UA is marked by a morpheme -ti-, and Class II UA by a morpheme -rritji-. In the bound pronominals the noun class morphemes are Cl.I UA -ni-~-na-, and Cl.II UA -rrinjin-. (The full paradigm of free pronouns is presented in Table 3.1, while the
intransitive and transitive prefixes are shown in Tables 4.1 and 4.3 respectively.)

### 2.2.1.11. Summary of Noun Class Markers.

The forms of all the variants of the noun class prefixes are shown for comparison in the following table:

## Table 2.2: Comparative Table of Noun Class Markers.

|  | Min | mal |  |  |  |  | Unit-Aug | mented |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -nji | dem. | noun | /adj | verb | pronoun | verb | pronoun |
|  |  | adj | nonloc | loc |  |  |  |  |
| Ia | ni- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ib | a- | a- | an- | nu- | a- | ni-~nu- | -ni-~-na- | -ti- |
| II | dji- | $\mathrm{dji}-$ | djin- | $\mathrm{dji}-*$ | djin- | ngidji- | -rrinjin- | -rritji- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sim$ ngutju |  |  |
| III | mu- | mu- | mun- | mu- | mu- |  |  |  |
| IV | gu- | gu- | gun- | gu-* |  | $=\mathrm{I}$ |  |  |

*In three cases, a disyllabic allomorph of the case prefix is found: djidji- replaces djin- in djin-murrka 'sun', yigi- replaces gin- in gin-minabami 'water' and yuwu- replaces gunin gun-ngak 'fire'.
The nominal nonlocal noun class prefixes have three major allomorphs. Before stems beginning with non-apical stops, the forms at-, djit-, mut- and gut- appear (ie, there is nasal to stop assimilation); before stems beginning with peripheral (especially velar) stops, these allomorphs are in free variation with the forms ant-, djint-, munt- and gunt(ie, a homorganic stop is inserted between the nasal and stop). The forms an-, djin-, mun- and gun- occur elsewhere.
Thus:

| \{an | + | baykirdi\} | $\rightarrow$ | at-baykird | ~ ant-baykirdi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl.I |  | tall, long |  |  |  |
| ¢ an | $+$ | gapurla) | $\rightarrow$ | at-gapurla | ~ ant-gapurla |
| Cl.I |  | blind |  |  |  |
| \{ an | $+$ | djeltji\} | $\rightarrow$ | at-djeltji |  |
| Cl.I |  | wet |  |  |  |

For other variants of these prefixes, see $\S 1.2 .3$ and $\S 4.3 .1 .1 .2$.

### 2.2.2. Number of Noun Classes.

Corbett (1991:45-46) proposes a useful distinction between gender (or noun class; I use the two terms interchangeably) as "the sets into which nouns are divided", which he terms "controller genders", and
gender as found in agreement forms, "target genders". The number of controller genders may be established "on the basis of all the agreement forms taken by particular nouns, both singular and plural." On examining the sets of agreement forms in Gurr-goni, it is clear that we must establish at least four noun classes. But what of the fifth agreement marker found in ninjokoni (in 2-20 above; see also §3.3.1 below)? Does the set of nouns which trigger this agreement marker constitute a fifth noun class, or a sub-class of one of the classes? Corbett (ibid:168) suggests that sub-classes can be characterised as "agreement classes ... separated by a distinction which is widely and consistently marked, but only for a small proportion of the morphosyntactic forms of the target." The distinction here can hardly be described as "widely and consistently marked", appearing as it does on one lexical item in one fixed expression only. On the contrary, this case exactly matches Corbett's definition of overdifferentiation: "for targets to be considered overdifferentiated, a specific gender agreement distinction must be restricted to a particular word class, and even within this word class it must be restricted to certain lexical items" (ibid:169).

On this analysis, then, Gurr-goni has four controller noun classes. Four target noun classes are distinguished in the 3rd person (minimal) category of the bound pronominals. Only two target classes are distinguished in unit-augmented number in both the free and bound pronominals, and in the 3 rd person minimal category of the free pronouns. Neither the free nor the bound pronominals make distinctions of noun class in the augmented number, nor in 1st, 2nd, and $1+2$ persons minimal. The matching of controller noun classes and target noun classes is discussed further in $\S 2.2 .5$.

### 2.2.3. Membership of the Noun Classes.

As there are many polysemous nouns in Gurr-goni, with their different meanings assigned to different noun classes, it is clear that phonological criteria are not the basis of noun class membership in Gurrgoni. In the sections that follow, I list the members of each noun class. A quick survey of these lists reveals that there are some general semantic principles of classification: animate creatures are in Classes I and II, with males in Cl.I and females in Cl.II, where sex is identified. Most plants are in Cl.III. Cl.IV could perhaps be described as a residue class, or as containing several (discrete?) semantic domains: liquids, fire and the natural environment. Further discussion of the principles of classification follows in §2.2.3.5; this discussion must however remain inconclusive at this stage, as it is clear that without further cultural and linguistic knowledge, it is not possible to present well-motivated criteria accounting
for all the data.

### 2.2.3.1. Class I

In class I are found:
-Human males: worri 'man', at-gu-galiyi 'male person'3, etc;
-Malevolent beings:
ghost/dead person, matji, which always takes Cl.I agreement even when it is the ghost of a woman; an-marlpa, the shooting star, a malevolent spirit; ragalk ${ }^{4}$ 'murderer';
-Celestial phenomena which are mythically male:
the moon, ngolkwarr, and lightning/thunder, ant-gonda-baykirdi, lit.
31-arm-long 'long-arm';
-Male kangaroos, wallabies, wallaroos:
Male and female macropods have distinct names, for example, garndayala 'male antilopine kangaroo, Macropus antilopinus', and garnday 'female $M$. antilopinus'; gornobolo or balkitj 'male M. agilis the agile wallaby', and merlpe 'female M. agilis'. Where reference is made to the species or a group, or the sex is not known, the male term is used;
-Dingoes: ant-gumúpurda for the species and individual males ${ }^{5}$;
-Male members of introduced animals species:
There is one name only for most species of introduced animals, thus, djarrang 'horse', djungdjung 'dog', bigibigi 'pig', nganaparru 'buffalo' (although batgaw, from 'fat cow', is also used for females). Agreement is determined by the sex of the referent, where this is known. Where it is not known, or a group is referred to, Cl.I agreement is used for buffaloes and dogs, and Cl.II agreement for pigs, cats, horses and goats;
-Most other animal species;
-Lizards (all but two);
-Crocodiles: mandunuyu (the species, and males; females have the same name but take Cl.II agreement);
-Frogs;
-Most birds (and the generic word for bird);
-Most fish (and the generic word for fish);
-Half the 16 snake names (and the generic word for snake);
-Some insects and related creatures;
-Six types of shells or shellfish;

[^15]-A number of plants:
gurtu, a poisonous plant, ?Diospyros sp.; two types of palm, girrgirr Ptychosperma bleeseri (a dreaming plant), and at-djondu, Carpentaria acuminata; at-bitja, the banyan tree; berper, mushrooms; and a number of edible lilies, yams and other roots (see the discussion below, §2.2.4.1);
-Some traditional manufactured items:
the shoulderbag, bulungun.ngun; the forked pole, ant-gelamu, and straight stick, at-bulóligiyin, used in building shelters; grinding stones, at-balpi; and clapsticks, at-gu-djapirdiyi; an-daparl, goose spear; wanarda, paddles; the traditional garments, mokoy, women's bark skirt, and bulnjin, men's pubic cover; and marradjiri, a ceremonial pole;
-Djuna 'red ochre' and moli 'antbed';
-Metal objects;
-Cars and trucks;

- Clothes and soft furnishings;
-Some body parts: the shoulder blade, ant-gurrúrlu \{ngarrku\}; the female reproductive organs ${ }^{6}$ (see §2.4.1.3 below); and maparn 'boil'.


### 2.2.3.2. Class II

The members of Class II are:
-Human females;
-Meteorological phenomena which are mythically female:
the sun, djin-murrka, the Milky Way, Bogolang, and stars, gata;
-Female kangaroos, wallabies, wallaroos and dingoes;
-Introduced animals of the female sex;
-Turtles:
The names for the two named turtle species, ngalngi, the long-necked turtle, and turtles in general, and ngart, the short-necked turtle, take Cl.II agreement. There are specific terms to refer to male and female long-necked turtles: bobulu at-belipili, lit. back 3I-wide or 'back he is wide', male turtle, and mutdjamulu ngutjuyu, lit. 3III-blunt 3 MinF + Poss $_{C}$, 'blunt Cl.III one to her'. Agreement is $\mathrm{Cl} . \mathrm{I}$ for the male. In the text in which these terms appeared, $\mathrm{Cl} . \mathrm{III}$ and Cl.II agreement was used in succession for the female; it is not clear if these are grammatical alternatives, or whether the speaker self-corrected to $\mathrm{Cl} . I I$;
-Two lizards:
galkalk 'small dragon' (Diporiphora bilineata, the two-lined dragon, and Ctenophorus candicinctus, the ring-tailed dragon), believed to grow up into an-

[^16]mabórrol the frill-necked lizard (or perhaps lizards thought of as young anmabórrols, including young frill-necked lizards); and djin-murrka, the snake-like lizards (Burton's snake lizard Delma borea, and the headed scaly foot) (this name is homonymous with 'sun');
-All other natural creatures not categorised as Class I: manamátjibobulu echidnas, gulirri marsupial mice, some birds, some fish, half the snakes, murdurdu crabs, bala lobsters and djin-maliyirri prawns, some insects and related creatures, some shellfish;
-A few plants:
all the grevilleas: djilwirdi, Grevillea pteridifolia, djint-gi-rrirri (Cl.II-Dertooth), G. decurrens and G. heliosperma; buwárta, G. ?mimosoides and $G$. ?parallela; a shrub, djin-dirrka (Cl.II-sharp) probably Lysiphyllum binatum; and ngal, the fruit of the pandanus;
-One man-made item: the ceremonial dillybag, associated with the female mythological being djarldjarl;
-A few body parts:
djit-bamu 'knee'; djin-marnmu 'kidney'; djin-walirrng 'placenta'; and probably djit-bini 'ball of foot' and djin-mibilu 'eyelashes and eyebrow'.

### 2.2.3.3. Class III

In Class III are found the following:
-Most living plants:
including golborr 'grass (generic)'; grass species; tree species; etc (but not djalmirri 'tree (generic); stick; wood', which belongs in Cl.IV);
-Vegetable foods (and solid consumables derived from plants, like tobacco);
-Most items made from plant materials:
including sticks fashioned for a particular use, such as barnaka 'digging stick', murlu 'walking stick'; the small woven fishing net munt-gu-mewiyi, and large fish trap djuyu; ngolurru, the traditional pandanus mat; mut-burdja 'didjeridoo';
-Worrga, the deliberately lit grass or bush fire;
-Most body parts; dead bodies; faeces (but body fluids and secretions are in Cl.IV);
-Corroboree and songs;
-Traditional canoes: wupunj (bark canoe) and mit-djalmirri (log canoe); also gapala 'boat, ship' and erriplen 'plane';
-Djurra 'paper', golku 'paper money, playing cards (and the paperbark tree); pictures, mun-worduwurdu;
-burdá: that which a witchdoctor (an-mú-burda '3III-Der-?power, the male one with ?power') has: 2-24 burdá mu-rrimi-nga a-bogi-ya
?power 3MinA.3IIIO-hold,have-Con 3IS-go-Con He goes holding ?power;
-Bombs (which older people remember falling over Arnhem Land during WWII);
-Direction or 'way'7, in expressions like:
2-25 mu-goni arr-ma-rdi
3III-this $\quad$ I+2MinS-go along-Fut
Let's you and I go this way;
-The way of doing something or reason why: this is expressed by a demonstrative stem taking a Cl.III prefix, followed by a 3 rd person minimal possessive pronoun, literally 'this/that Cl.III one of/to him':
2-26 galu njiwu-djurnitbi- $\sigma+$ rni, djorro njibu-gorrmi- $\varnothing+$ rni, NEG 1AugA.3MinO-bury-Irrl up,high IAugA.3MinO-put-IrrI
a-yo-rri+rni, djapu mu-garrapu nuyu.
3I-lie-Irr1 like 3III-Anaph 3MinNf+Poss $C_{C}$
We didn't bury him, we would put him up high, he would lie there, like that (is how we would do it).
(III/91-92)

### 2.2.3.4. Class IV

The following semantic domains belong in Class IV:
-The natural environment:
including wipa 'land', djel 'sand, ground', djilpirr 'mud', balpi 'stone', barlmarrk 'wind', bamungunmu 'clouds';
-Water, bodies of water and rain:
gin-minabámi and gukuk "fresh water', djalawarritj 'sea, salt water', gutdjardi 'rain', gutbu 'creek', gelupulu 'well, spring', gugóndu 'billabong';
-Other liquids (including wami 'wild (and now also shop-bought) honey');
-Fire and associated things:
gun-ngak 'camp fire, firewood', worrga 'flame of campfire or torch', gin-ili 'smoke', gin-darri 'ashes', djulu 'matches', djalagang 'torch';
-A few plants:
Djalmirri, the generic word for tree, which can also refer to a stick which has not been fashioned for any particular use; djalwurr 'branch'; one indigenous plant, yirrinji 'pandanus' (and berr, the dilly bag made from it); and an introduced tree common in Maningrida, the malyna;
-Structures and buildings:
including the traditional bark shelter, djitbinibini, and latarra, stretcher, and modern buildings such as the hospital, school and shop (tents vary between Cl.IV and Cl.I, where other things made from steel and cloth are found.);
-Time:
Time in general is referred to by Cl.IV prefixes:

[^17]2-27 gut-baykirdi nguwurr-ni-ngu
3IV-long $\quad 1+2$ AugS-sit,stay-Fut
We'll stay a long time.
(III/1/23)

```
2-28 Wednesday gu-ne-rre
3IVS-be,sit-Con
```

It was Wednesday.
Time is traditionally measured by nights (literally 'sleeps') ngorrungurru, Cl . IV, and by months (literally moons) ngolkwarr, Cl.I. 'Dawn' is a verb, which takes Cl.IV agreement: gu-na-gepiyi-n, 3IVS-twds-dawn-Fut, 'it will dawn, day will come'. Times of the day (e.g. djidjirru, daylight, mokol, nighttime, and modern hours) are all Cl.IV. Djin-murrka 'sun' (Cl.II) is also used as the name for clocks and watches, and reference to where the sun is standing is also used to signal times of the day. The English phrase "what's the time?" has been translated:

```
2-29 "dji-nji djin-murrka?"
    3II-what sun
```

Literally, 'what is the sun?", 'what is the clock?'
-Language/word/story, all wetji; also stretches of speech and word-forms (when spoken; written words are Cl.III);
-Custom, the traditional way:
2-30 gun-ngaytjburru-ø gu-yo-rri
3IV-1,I+2Aug-unm 3IVS-lie-Con
Our Cl.IV one which lies (or exists);
-Sicknesses;
-The following body parts:
anus and bottom; tooth; the upper and lower extremities (woku hand, wrist / foot; toes (and ?fingers) are woku gun-mornóngi, foot $3 I V-b i g$, and woku gun-arpurr, foot 3IV-small); guyu 'breast, breast milk', and gardi 'flesh';
-Abstract nouns:
for example, gut-gu-waligiyi, 3IV-Nom-play, 'play'; mari, 'trouble', 'war', used as a loan word, girdéy, 'something funny'; gut-djawarra, 'blame').

### 2.2.3.5. Categorisation Principles

Without a great deal more information on the identity of natural species, and their mythical and cultural associations, it is not possible to do more than speculate on the principles by which animate creatures are divided between Classes I and II. (My identification of the snake names, for instance, is very uncertain.) Nor is it clear why some yams, for instance, are found in Cl.I and some in Cl.III.

As far as manufactured items are concerned, the material they are made from seems to have some importance in their classification: metal
spears, crowbars and fish-hooks are in Cl.I, while wooden spears, wooden digging sticks and fish traps and fishing nets made of wood and vines are in Cl.III. Things made of metal belong (primarily) in Cl.I (although why they do is not obvious; perhaps it is because, being bright, they attract lightning (in Cl.IT). This does not apply to boats and planes, however: they are members of Cl.III (boats presumably because traditional bark and $\log$ canoes are in Cl.III, with many other things made from plants; and planes perhaps because the first to land at Maningrida were seaplanes, which floated on the water like boats). Cars and trucks are asssigned to Cl.I, but this may be more because they are (or were) perceived as animate, than because they are made of metal (tyres are woku a-djerre 'his feet', the top of a car is bami a-djerre 'his head', and when we unsuccessfully tried pushing a 4WD to make it start, this was described as galu a-wetji (NEG 3IS-speak+Irr2) 'he didn't speak').

While the varying classification of these metal objects can be understood, the basis for classifying wooden objects is less clear. Some are in Cl.I (the straight and forked sticks on which traditional bark shelters are raised, clapsticks, goose spears and paddles); some are in Cl.III (digging stick, walking stick, coolamon, wooden spears, fish nets and fish traps, didjeridoo, bark and dugout canoes); and some in Cl.IV (stretcher, and the shelter built on the straight and forked sticks classed as Cl.I).

The existence of a number of polysemous nouns has been mentioned above. Examining the way in which their different meanings are classified reveals only very basic semantic oppositions between the classes, such as animate in Cl.I or II versus plant in Cl.III; liquid in Cl.IV versus plant in Cl.III:

| Animate vs plant (Cl. I or II vs. Cl. III): |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| galban | Cl.I: sole, halibut fish |
|  | Cl.III: leaf |
| mint-girrbaykirdi | Cl.I: sea perch |
|  | Cl. III: bush sugar cane |
| djubularra | Cl.I: freshwater long-tom |
|  | Cl.III: Red Ash Tree, Alphitonia excelsa. |
| golópu | Cl.I: nits |
|  | Cl.III: a grass with seeds that look like nits. |
| ngarditji | Cl.I: crow |
|  | Cl.III: a plant, probably Grewia retusifolia. |
| yimúrtbitj | Cl.II: orange-coloured fish |
|  | Cl.III: pink apple, Syzygium eucalyptoides |

[^18]| djit-gorlungu | Cl.II: snail |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Cl.III: Quinine tree, Petalostigma pubescens. |

Liquid vs Plant:
wogirdi Cl.IV: urine
Cl.III: a plant, probably Abutilon indicum ssp. albescens.

### 2.2.4. Agreement Patterns.

As stated above, most nouns in Gurr-goni have no overt signal of noun class membership in their citation form; this can only be determined by reference to the class prefixes appearing on verbs and adjectives, and when specially applied to the noun to mark case oppositions. These class markers must 'agree' with the covert class of the noun. Evans (1990 and in press) terms this 'agreement class', distinguishing it from 'head class', where the noun class is overtly marked by a prefix on the noun. A minority of nouns in Gurr-goni do have 'head class' as well as 'agreement class'.

### 2.2.4.1. Quirky Agreement Patterns.

For many of the nouns recorded, the agreement class was clear from the context in which it appeared. For others, where no agreement markers appeared in the context in which the noun occurred, information on agreement was sought through elicitation. For most nouns, one agreement class was consistently used for each noun. Sometimes an agreement marker other than the expected one occurred; some instances of this were clearly mistakes, as the speaker would repeat the phrase with the correct noun class. In some cases, however, there was no selfcorrection, and while "re-classification" is sometimes a one-off matter (as when in telling a story about a shooting star (an-marlpa, Cl.I), the speaker uses Cl.I agreement for the aeroplane (Cl.III) they had at first thought the shooting star was), for some nouns variation between noun classes is frequent for all speakers.

Some nouns which display this quirky agreement are among those that are distinguished from the other nouns in their semantic domain by being assigned to a different noun class. For instance, the majority of plants and plant parts are found in $\mathrm{Cl} . \mathrm{III}$; a very small number are classified as Cl.I or Cl.II. Examples are: Cl.I: an-daka and djitjitj, waterlily seeds; at-bitja, the banyan tree; wartbirritji, a white yam, Merremia dissecta; at-gu-ngutju, another yam; gulpuru, Discorea bulbifera, a yellow yam; berper, mushrooms; and Cl.II: ngal, the fruit
of the pandanus; djint-girrirri, the pink grevillea, Grevillea decurrens; djilwirdi, the fern-leafed grevillea, G. pteridifolia. Particularly for those with Cl.I or Cl.II head-class (or overt marking of class in the lexeme), agreement tends to be Cl.I or Cl.II respectively, but Cl.III agreement has been noted for all these nouns ${ }^{8}$. In these instances, speakers may be emphasizing the use of these plants as food or as raw material for weaving, both semantic domains which are associated with Cl.III. As an illustration of this, consider the following example, an extract from a text about pandanus fruit in which a switch between noun classes occurs. In the first clause, the object noun ngal 'pandanus fruit' is crossreferenced on the verb with a $\mathrm{Cl} . I I$ prefix djin- (the agent receives zero crossreferencing in this 3 rd person minimal A, 3rd person minimal O combination). The subject of the second and following clauses is referred to only anaphorically through the class prefixes on the adjectives -nogubol 'ripe' and -gu-ngutju 'dark brown/black', and on the copula ni 'be (sitting)' and the verb -buki- 'fall', all of which have Cl.III prefixes. Their subject is clearly the pandanus fruit - 'when it was ripe, when it was dark, they said, "It must have fallen," - and yet the controller class of ngal, Cl.II, is not used. It seems that the semantic component of '(edible) plant/vegetable food' has at this point overridden for the speaker whatever criteria lead ngal to be ordinarily classified as Cl.II.

| 2-31 | Yirrinji | djin-dimi-rri | ngal, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pandanus | 3MinA.3IIO-have-Pre | pandanus fruit (Cl.II) |  |

(V/79/1)
The pandanus had fruit, when it was ripe, when it was dark, then they said, "We'll go and get it, it must have fallen."
Cl.II agreement can also be used in talking of the fruit as food:
2-32
ngal
djibu-me-nji
djibu-gorndu-ngu
pandanus fruit 3AugA.3IIO-get-Pre 3AugA.3IIO-cut-Pre They got pandanus fruit and cut it.
(V/79)

[^19]There are also a very few nouns which belong to Cl.IV, but which are frequently given a Cl.III Local case prefix. For two nouns (djilpirr 'mud' and yirrinji 'pandanus') of the three with which this behaviour has so far been noted, the Cl.III prefix can alternate with the regular Cl.IV prefix. The other noun, djalawarritj 'sea', has been recorded with only Cl.III Local case prefixes (but with Cl.IV agreement in all other contexts). For one example, where djilpirr 'mud' is given a Cl.III prefix, the speaker's translation may suggest an explanation for this variation:

## 2-33 Ngayi-pu mi-djilpirr bayin ${ }^{9}$ ngu-na-warrtji-nji 1Min-Card Loc3III-mud ? lMinS-twds-climb-Pre (I came up) "gabi (there) mud way" (informant's translation)

The Cl.III Local case prefix may here be indicating an orientation or direction (I came up by way of the mud flats); compare the use (illustrated above, §2.2.3.3) of demonstratives with Cl.III prefixes in describing a way to go, or to behave. Both Cl.III and Cl.IV agreement can, however, be used of stationary location, as in describing the habitat of various creatures:

## 2-34 djit-barrparr ngarna gu-djerre djinj-yo-rri <br> 3II-file snake inner thigh 3IV-Poss 3IIS-lie-Con = river bank

gi-djilpirr bayin
Loc3IV-mud ?
(V/3/9)
The file snake lies in the mud in the side of the (river) bank.

## 2-34 djorndjorn mu-djilpirr bayin a-yo-rri <br> mudskipper Loc3III-mud ? 3IS-lie-Con

The mudskipper lies in the mud.
(V/47/8)

The significance of the variation here is unknown.

### 2.2.4.2. Agreement Patterns on Indeterminates.

The class prefixes used on the indeterminates - $\mathbf{n j} \mathbf{j i}$ ('what/which/any thing') and -njatbu 'whatsitsname' (see §3.3.2) reveal more about the relative markedness of the noun classes. If the semantic

[^20]domain of the referent is known, the appropriate noun class prefix can be used; thus, in example $2-36$, the speaker is trying to remember a plant name, and so uses mu-njatbu with a Cl.III prefix:

2-36 Mint-djentgirdi, mint-djentgirdi galu, mu-njatbu
3III-cycad nut 3III-cycad nut NEG 3III-whatsit
muwu-me-nji awurr-ni-ø
3AugA.3IIIO-get-Pre 3AugS-be-Pre (III/6/22)
Cycad nuts, not cycad nuts, what's that Cl.III thing (vegetable food) they were getting.

In example 2-37, the speaker was specifying that no women went, and so Cl.II prefixes are used:

## 2-37 galu dji-nji dji-goni dji-bogi-ya+rni <br> NEG 3II-what 3II-this 3IIS-go-Irr1

No women went.

However, when the referent is not specifically female, the masculine human form, with Cl.I agreement, is used here:

| 2-38 galu ninjokoni | a-ne-rre+rni |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | NEG who, indeterminate human | 3IS-be,sit-Irrl |
|  | No-one was there. |  |

A Cl.I prefix is also commonly used where the referent is not known, as in asking:

| 2-39 | a-nji | njin-dimi-nga? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3I-what | 2MinA.3MinO-have-Con |
|  | What have you got? |  |
| or |  |  |
| 2-40 | a-nji | nji-na-ni? |
|  | 3I-what | 2MinA.3MinO-see-Pre |
|  | What did you see? |  |

Cl.I agreement can also be used with a known referent of a different class, as in 2-41, enumerating members of Cl.III, and in 2-42, referring to a Cl.II noun:

2-41 njiwu-ba-rri mitja, a-nji,
1AugA.3MinO-eat-Pre veg.food(III) 3I-what,which
djuka, dilip, niyé-pu
sugar(III), tealeaves(III) 3MinNf-Card
(IV/45/17)
We ate vegetable food, which one (kind), sugar, tealeaves, that one.

## 2-42 (ngalngi) galu a-nji djuwu-me-ka+rni awurr-ne-rre+rni (turtle) NEG 3I-what 3AugA.3IIO-get-Irrl 3AugS-be-Irrl They didn't get any Cl.II thing (turtle). <br> (V/38/21)

Here, where the existence of a specific entity is being queried or negated, Cl.I appears to be functioning as the unmarked class: with these two indeterminates, Cl.I can optionally be used in referring to members of any of the other noun classes. Use of the specific noun class prefix is also possible.

Evans (1990:4) has termed this choice "concordial superclassing", stating that "In concordial superclassing, there is a choice between two synonymous and equally grammatical possibilities: the class specific, and the superclassed." Concordial superclassing is highly restricted in scope in Gurr-goni, being possible only with these two indeterminates -nji and -njatbu; with the 3 rd person minimal pronoun used in the NP as determiner; and with the numeral 'two'. The choice of Cl.I as superclass and Classes II, III or IV as specific class does not apply to any other parts of speech ${ }^{10}$ (thus it would be incorrect, for example, to use a Cl.I prefix on an adjective modifying a Cl.III noun.). (In the Mayali dialects described by Evans (1990 and forthcoming), concordial superclassing appears to be restricted or most likely with certain demonstratives and quantifiers.)

### 2.2.4.3. All well; nothing.

There are several instances where Cl.IV is used as the superclass, rather than Cl.I, and this is where, rather than referring to a specific entity, the scope of reference is universal: everything or nothing. -marrman is an adjective meaning 'good, well'. Gun-marrman, 3IV-

[^21]good, is frequently used to mean 'everything is fine, all is well'. Compare the use of gun-marrman in the last clause of the following example with the use of nji-marrman earlier in the text, where an enquiry about her state is addressed to one particular person:

## 2-42 Awurr-ngidjiyé-pu school teacher

3Aug-3MinF-Card
djuwu-dimi-rri awurr-bogi-ni djuwu-matjbi-ni.
3AugA.3IIO-hold-Pre 3Aug-go-Pre 3AugA.3IIO-kiss-Pre
"Nji-marrman?" awurr-yiti-nji ....
2Min-good 3AugS-do thus-Pre
Djaluwu ring up awurr-nguna-ni- $\varnothing$,
again " " 3AugS-twds-be,sit-Pre
"gu-garrapu njiwurr-dji-ø, gun-marrman."
3IV-Anaph lAugS-stand-Pre 3IV-good
(IX/131/20)
Her school teachers went (to the hospital) and held her, they kissed her. "Are you all right?", they said. ... They rang me up again, "We went there, everything's fine."

Similarly, -yalang is an adjective meaning 'without anything' (thus of people, 'naked'; of bags, etc, 'empty'). Gun-yalang, $3 I \mathrm{~V}$ without anything, means 'nothing, not at all', and can be used to deny the possession or existence of something from any of the noun classes.

### 2.2.5. Controller Classes and Target Classes.

As we saw above, many parts of speech register noun class agreement, but not all of them distinguish the same number of classes. Here I will discuss the use of those parts of speech - or targets for noun class marking - which distinguish fewer than four classes. These are free pronouns in 3rd person minimal, and all unit-augmented pronominals. I will deal first with 3rd person minimal pronouns, and then discuss UA pronominals under the general question of how the categories of noun class and number interact. I also describe how the categories of noun class and person interact.

### 2.2.5.1. Noun Class in Free Third Person Pronouns.

The 3rd person minimal free pronouns are freely used with reference to members of all four controller noun classes, human, nonhuman and inanimate, but, as shown above, there are only two distinct forms. As the following examples show, that form which is used for human females is used only for members of controller Class II, while the
form used for human male reference is also used for nonhuman and inanimate members of Class I (see examples 2-44 and 2-45, and for members of Cl.III (example 2-46), and Cl.IV (as in 2-47), and even, on occasions, for some some members of Cl.II. (This use is confined to lower animates, such as turtles (as in 2-48) and centipedes. A Cl.II form can also be used in these cases, suggesting that this is another extension of Cl.I as a superclass.)

| 2-44 | dji-beki-ni $\quad$ ni-lorndo mardangaytjiga |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 3IIS-come out,to-Pre |
|  | Sit. She came to him snake: she came to a/the snake. (III/52/20) |

2-45 Mutika niyé-pu ant-gagurru a-dje-rre awuni-bogi-ya. car 3MinNf-Card 3I-bad 3IS-stand-Con 3UAnfS-go-Con 'Where the wrecked cars are.'

2-46 Arrapu mi-nji lay, mi-nji mu-garrapu moligiyi, and 3III-what hey 3III-what 3III-Anaph green plum moligiyi, yakala, niyé-pu mu-garrapu, green plum green plum 3MinNf-Card 3III-Anaph munt-gomómorrtji njiwu-ba-nga+rni red apple IAugA.3MinO-eat-Irrl mu-garrapu butj guwarr. 3III-Anaph bush long ago And which food hey, which (were) the ones I'm talking about, green plum (Buchanania obovata), green plum, green plum (Persoonia falcata), he's the one I'm talking about, red apple (Syzygium suborbiculare) we used to eat, those ones, long ago in the bush.
(III/89/4)

2-47 nguwurr-weku-n gu-goni wipa ni-lorndo
1+2AugS-speak-Fut 3IV-this land 3MinNf-Dat
(VII/75/16)
We will all speak about (lit. affecting him) this country.
2-48 Djaladjala njiwu-ba-rri, guwarr, arrapu a-nji waterlily root lAugA.3MinO-eat-Pre long ago and 3I-what lay, e ngalngi, niyé-pu njiwu-ba-rri. hey yes turtle(Cl.II) 3MinNf-Card 1AugA.3MinO-eat-Pre We ate waterlily roots, long ago, and what else hey, yes, turtle, we ate him.
2.2.5.2 The Interaction of Noun Class and Number.

Distinctions of number can be signalled at several levels in Gurrgoni. At phrase and clause level, one of a small set of quantifying words (such as ngirrépu 'one', awuni-mukupu 'two', -muka 'many', -murlpam 'crowd') can be chosen to specify either an exact number or an approximate amount; this option is available for any quantifiable noun.

Number is also an important inflectional category in Gurr-goni, and in the inflectional system (of nominal/adjectival prefixes, verbal intransitive prefixes, and transitive prefixes used for verbs and deverbal kin terms), five number categories must be recognised, in two separate systems: all word classes which inflect for number distinguish minimal, unit-augmented and augmented numbers (described in §3.1.1), and, intersecting with these categories, a distinction between singular and nonsingular number also appears in the transitive pronominal prefixes (this is discussed in $\S 4.3 .1 .3 .1$ ). Use of these inflectional number categories is highly influential in determining how noun class is marked, in that the non-minimal number categories (unit-augmented and augmented), and the nonsingular agent/nonsingular object combinations in the transitive verb prefixes are used, in the main, only of humans and higher animates ${ }^{11}$. Even where lower animates or inanimates are explicitly stated (through the use of a quantifier) to be of non-singular number, number agreement on the verb is rare: I have only one clear example from the entire body of texts, and this is referring to a white man's food, fish and chips!

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 2-49 Arrapu mu-garrapu ngardigili muwu-bu-ni } \\
& \text { and 3III-Anaph snail, chip 3AugA.3IIIO-hit-Pre } \\
& \text { mu-garrapu djapu nji-barrwu-nga mu-goni } \\
& \text { 3III-Anaph like 2MinA.3MinO-know-Con 3III-this } \\
& \text { awuni-bogi-ni mi-njatbu fish and chips djapu } \\
& \text { 3UAnfS-go-Pre 3III-whatsit " " like } \\
& \text { awuni-bogi-ni awuni-workiyi-ni mu-garrapu nuyu } \\
& \text { 3UAnfS-go-Pre 3UAnfS-do always-Pre 3III-Anaph 3MinNf }+ \text { Poss }_{C}  \tag{IX/68/25-69/1}\\
& \text { and they hit (ie cut) that vegetable (a yam) into chips, those ones } \\
& \text { like you know, they go together, what are they, fish and chips, } \\
& \text { like they two always go together, that's how. }
\end{align*}
$$

It is far more common to find the 3 rd person "minimal" class prefix used, as in the following example (and, indeed, as it is with the demonstratives and hesitation form in the previous example):

[^22]2-50 [baladji awuni-mukupu] yalang<br>bag 3UAnf-two empty<br>a-ga-tji<br>3MinA.3IO-take-Pre/Con<br>*?bidjina-ga-tji<br>3MinA.3UAnfO-take-Pre/Con<br>He came along bringing two bags empty.

For humans, the opposite tendency is found. With human referents, it is unusual for a "minimal number" class prefix to be used on a verb in agreement with an NP which specifies an exact number of individuals; thus we find 2-54a, with the object indexed as unitaugmented, rather than 2-54b, where it is indexed as Cl.I:

2-54 mburrklerrtji awuni-mukupu


The 3rd person "minimal" class prefixes can be used, in which case they have generic reference, for example to 'white man' in 2-51, and 'old time man' in 2-52:

## 2-51 Gu-goni balanda birdibirdi a-garnagarri-dji <br> 3IV-this white man recently 3IS-sit down-Con <br> White man only settled here recently. <br> (IX/66/5)

## 2-52 An-mu-matjirri guwarr a-bogi-ni mu-me-nji. <br> 3I-Coll-dead person long ago 3IS-go-Pre 3MinA.3IIIO-get-Pre Old time man went and got it long ago. (IX/84/5)

With the quantifier -muka 'many', both class and number agreement are found:

2-53 djin-muka / awurr-muka gami awurr-galeletji-nji
3II-many / 3Aug-many woman 3AugS-call "galelelele"-Pre Lots of women made the women's ritual call "galelelele". (III/22/25)

Use of the non-minimal number prefixes appears to focus on the
individuals making up the group of people; use of the class prefixes focusses more on the category of people making up the group (white people, old time people, old people, women, men, etc).

The only instance where class agreement, rather than number agreement, was found in conjunction with a numeral specifying an exact number of individuals was in reference to babies in utero, who are no doubt thought of less as individuals before they are born than afterwards:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 2-55 awuni-mukupu a-rrima-nga } & \text { burrkarl } \\
\text { 3UAnf-two 3MinA.3IO-have-Con baby } \\
\text { She's having twins. } \tag{I/62/1}
\end{array}
$$

Similar variation in number versus class agreement is found with large creatures, such as emus and buffaloes:

| 2-56 | wurrparn | ngu-rra-ni, | weleng awurr-goni, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | emu | IMinA.3MinO-shoot-Pre then | 3Aug-this |
|  | awuni-goni gatji | djorro | awuni-yurrburridji-nji. |
|  | 3UAnf-this low high | 3UAnfS-run-Pre |  |

Awuni-yurrburridji-nji-: djinj-yurrburridji-nji djapanji
3UAnfS-run-Pre-X 3IIS-run-Pre so much wurrparn.
ети
(IV/62)
I shot (an) emu, then they, these two ran all over the place. These two ran and ran, the emus ran so much.

As number marking is rarely used with inanimates, there is little need for the nonminimal numbers to show agreement with Classes III and IV (which contain only inanimates) ${ }^{12}$. A two-way distinction of class would therefore seem to be all that is needed, and this is exactly what is found in unit-augmented number:

| 2-56 awuni-mukupu worri awuni-ma-nay |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 3UAnf-two man $3 U A n f S$-go along-Pre |
|  | Two men went along. |

[^23]
## 2-57 awurrinj-mukupu gami awurrinj-ma-nay <br> 3UAf-two woman 3UAfS-go along-Pre

Two women went along.
In augmented number, however, there is no noun class agreement at all:
2-58 worri awurr-ma-nay / worri awurr-marrman
man $3 A u g S$-go along-Pre / man 3Aug-good
(the) men went along / (the) men are good

2-59 gami awurr-ma-nay / gami awurr-marrman
woman 3AugS-go along-Pre / woman 3Aug-good (the) women went along / (the) women are good

The Gurr-goni data is fully consistent with Greenberg's findings stated as Universal 37:
"A language never has more gender categories in nonsingular numbers than in the singular." (Greenberg 1963:112, quoted in Corbett 1991:156).

### 2.2.5.3. The Interaction of Noun Class and Person.

As we have just discussed, there are no noun class distinctions in Augmented number. Nor are there in Minimal number, except for 3rd persons: Table 2.1, of nominal prefixes, shows only one form for each of $1 \mathrm{st}, 2$ nd and $1+2$ persons minimal. It is these prefixes which are used on adjectives describing qualities one attributes to oneself as speaker, or to a second person as addressee; use of noun class prefixes in these circumstances is ungrammatical. Even if the speaker is a woman, she could not say:

## 2-60i* ngayi-pu djin-marrman <br> 1Min-Card 3II-good

meaning 'I am good', nor could a man say:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 2-60ii* } & \text { ngayi-pu } & \text { an-marrman } \\ & \text { IMin-Card } & 3 I \text {-good }\end{array}$
Both would use 1st person agreement on the adjective (and, in fact, the free pronoun is often omitted as being unnecessary):

## 2-60iii (ngayi-pu) ngu-marrman <br> IMin-Card lMin-good <br> I am good/well.

Corbett comments (1991:128) that "[t]his split between the 3rd person (with separate forms of the pronoun) and the other two [1st and 2nd persons] (without) is relatively common." He suggests a functional explanation for this: "Third person forms are the most likely to be referentially ambiguous. The first and second persons are defined in terms of speaker and addressee respectively, while the third person is neither of these. Hence it is the third person which is the one most in need of further means to ensure referential clarity, and gender can fulfil this role" (ibid:131-132). In Gurr-goni we find both free and bound pronouns following this pattern; and at least for pronominal agreement markers, this split is common in the classifying languages of Arnhem Land.

### 2.2.6 Conjoined NPs: Resolution of Noun Class.

Whether nouns belonging to different noun classes can be conjoined within an NP depends largely on two factors: whether they refer to humans or higher animates, or lower animates and inanimates, and if the latter, what syntactic function the NP plays within the clause. 2.2.6.1. Where the referents of both or all the nouns are human or higher animates, conjunction is possible, and the conjoined NP may occur in any syntactic function within a clause or phrase. Resolution of number operates first, and if the resulting number is unit-augmented, noun class resolution also operates. Where the result is augmented number, or a non-singular A , non-singular O combination in the transitive verbs, no resolution of noun class is necessary or possible, as noun class is not marked in these number categories.

The resolution of noun class in unit-augmented number can be described by the following statements:

In 3rd person, if at least one conjunct is female, then the feminine form (Cl.II) is used; otherwise the masculine form (Cl.I) is used; or, stated the other way around, if all conjuncts are male, then the masculine form (Cl.I) is used; otherwise the feminine form (Cl.II) is used.

Thus, it is the feminine form which is used in referring to a married couple, brother and sister or other mixed couples, as the following examples illustrate:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2-61 A-weki-ni } & \text { an-muburda, a-yiti-nji, "Wulek, } \\ & \text { 3IS-speak-Pre } & \text { 3I-witch doctor } & \text { 3IS-do,say thus-Pre finish }\end{array}$ nugórritjiyu-pu njirritj-berrku ngu-weku-n 2UAf-Card 2UAf-spouse IMinS-speak-Fut nugórritji-lorndo. Galu yandu nguwurr-yita-ø 2UAf-Dat NEG what do $1+2$ AugS-do thus-Irr2 wurru mornoburto a-djerre a-watjiyi-ni
but neck 3I-Poss 3IS-break-Pre a-bu-ni, ant-gondabaykirdi garpi a-ni-ø." 3MinA.3IO-hit-Pre 3I-lightning clever hunter 3IS-be-Pre Wulek awurritj-berrku awurritj-djeki-rri wipa..
finish 3UAf-spouse 3UAfS-return-Pre home The witch-doctor spoke, he said this, "Well, you two husband and wife, I will speak to you...We can't do anything, but his neck is broken, he hit him, the lightning hit the mark." So the husband and wife went home.
(V/62/3-10)

2-62 awurrinj-ngayi-pu awurrinj-mukupu mburrklertji
3UAnf-1Min-Card 3UAnf-two children
My two children (the children in question being a boy and a girl)

In other than 3 rd person, the sex of the 'other', the augment, determines the gender ${ }^{13}$ :
-if the 'other' is male, the masculine form (Cl.I) is used;
-if the 'other' is female, the feminine form (Cl.II) is used.

Thus for 1 st, 2 nd and $1+2$ pronominals, for the combinations of men and women listed below in $1(\mathrm{a}), 2(\mathrm{a})$ and $1+2(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d})$, the feminine form is chosen, while non-feminine is chosen for $1(b), 2(b)$ and $1+2(e-h)$ :

1st person:
(a) I (a man) and another person (a woman):
(b) I (a woman) and another person (a man):
feminine non-feminine

2nd person:
(a) you (a man) and another person (a woman):
feminine
(b) you ( a woman) and another person (a man): non-feminine

[^24](a) I (a woman) and you (a woman) and another (a woman): feminine
(b) I (a woman) and you (a man) and another (a woman):
feminine
(c) I (a man) and you (a woman) and another (a woman): feminine
(d) I (a man) and you (a man) and another (a woman): feminine
(e) I (a man) and you (a woman) and another (a man): non-feminine
(f) I (a woman) and you (a man) and another (a man): non-feminine
(g) I (a woman) and you (a woman) and another (a man): non-feminine
(h) I (a man) and you (a man) and another(a man): non-feminine

Example 2-63 illustrates the combination of a male addressee and female 'other', while 2-64 shows the opposite combination, of female addressee and male 'other'. $2-65$ is an example of a 1 st person nonfeminine form, used by a woman referring to herself and her husband (as the 1 st and 2 nd person non-minimal pronominal prefixes are identical, the prefixes in 2-64 and 2-65 are the same):

## 2-63 gakak birritj-boy-ø! <br> MoMo 2UAfSImp-go-Irr2

A woman to her son: Go with your grandma! (Lit you and her go.)

## 2-64 gengurdu njina-bo-go

FaFa 2UAnfS-go-Fut
(Said to the author:) Lit., Your father's father you two (nonfeminine) will go; i.e., Your grandpa will go with you.

## 2-65 Ngatiyu-narrapu njina-bo-gi+ni <br> IUAnf-Next IUAnfS-go-Pre <br> a-goni mawa ngayi-ø Darwin njina-bogi-ni. <br> 3I-this FaFa IMin-unm " IUAnfS-go-Pre

(IX/132/5,10)
We two went next, your grandpa here and I, we went to Darwin.
2.2.6.2. Where the referents of two or more nouns of different noun classes are inanimates or lower animates, conjunction is possible when they are the syntactic object of a clause in which the agent is other than 3rd person. With a non-3rd person agent, there is no overt marking of any features of a 3rd person object NP, and therefore no need to decide on an agreement class. In the following example, for instance, the subject is 1 st person augmented and the object a conjoined noun phrase comprising nouns from classes I, III and IV. The prefix which encodes
this combination of A and O is (underlyingly) njibu-, which is analysed in §4.3.1.3.1 as nji- '1st person nonminimal' plus -bu $\mathbf{2}^{-}$'Augmented agent'. This form is used only where the object is 3rd person minimal (or unspecified for number), but there is no overt marking of the person, number or class of the object at all.

| 2-66 | Nji-wu-ba-rri <br> lnonmin-AugA |
| :--- | :--- |
| $=1 A u g A .3 M i n O-e a t-P r e ~ d a m p e r(C l . I I I) ~ f i s h ~(C l . I) ~$ |  |
| arrapu di. |  |
| and tea (Cl.IV) |  |
|  | We ate damper, fish and tea. |

Conversely, with a 3 rd person agent and 3 rd person (minimal or unspecified) object, the verb must register noun class agreement with the object. Similarly, with intransitive verbs the verb agrees with or indexes the noun class of 3rd person (minimal or unspecified) subjects. Conjoined NPs, consisting of nouns belonging to different noun classes, tend not to be used in intransitive subject or transitive object position, thereby avoiding the agreement clash between noun classes. Instead, the verb is repeated as many times as there are noun classes involved. In example 2 69 we find the same verb, bay 'eat', as in 2-66 above; the object nouns are in the same classes as in 2-66 (Cl.I, III and IV), but the agent in this example is 3rd person: the class of the 3rd person object must therefore be marked on the verb, and so the verb is repeated.

## 2-69 Njirrubu-wu-tji borr-lorndo djarldjarl, InsA.3nsO-give-Con 3Aug-Dat game, animals djunja muwu-ba-nga, wukali awu-ba-nga, long yam 3AugA.3IIIO-eat-Con goanna 3AugA.3IO-eat-Con wami guwu-ba-nga muburrklerrtji djapanji. <br> honey 3AugA.3IVO-eat-Con children so much <br> (V/137/9-21)

We gave them animals for themselves, they ate long yam, they ate goanna, they ate honey, the children (did), so much.

Occasionally, conjoined NPs are found in positions where noun class or number resolution is necessary. As discussed above, number resolution is largely avoided where inanimates are involved, but in one instance (example 2-49 above, repeated here as 2-67) the conjoined nouns 'fish and chips', which individually would be accorded Cl.I and Cl.III agreement respectively, were indexed on the verb (as intransitive subject)
by a unit-augmented non-feminine prefix.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { 2-67 Arrapu } & \text { mu-garrapu } & \text { ngardigili } & \text { muwu-bu-ni } \\
\text { and } & \text { 3III-Anaph } & \text { Snail, chips } & \text { 3AugA.3IIIO-hit-Pre } \\
\text { mu-garrapu } & \text { djapu } & \text { nji-barrwu-nga } & \text { mu-goni } \\
\text { 3III-Anaph } & \text { like } & \text { 2MinA.3MinO-know-Con } & \text { 3III-this } \\
\text { awuni-bogi-ni } & \text { mi-njatbu fish } & \text { and chips } & \text { djapu } \\
\text { 3UAnfS-go-Pre } & \text { 3III-whatsit " } & " & \text { like } \\
\text { awuni-bogi-ni } & \text { awuni-workiyi-ni } & \text { mu-garrapu nuyu } \\
\text { 3UAnfS-go-Pre } & \text { 3UAnfS-do always-Pre } & \text { 3III-Anaph } & \text { 3MinNf+Poss }
\end{array}
$$

and they hit (ie cut) that vegetable (a yam) into chips, those ones like you know, they go together, what are they, fish and chips, like they always go together, that's how.

Where number resolution is not applied, and the verb is not repeated, the verb agrees with the class of the noun closest to the verb:

| 2-70 | nganaparru a-goni di | bupurru | m-ba-rri |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| buffalo | 3I-this | tea | flour porridge | 3MinA.3IIIO-eat-Pre |

This buffalo ate tea and porridge.
(VI/14/21)
Adjectives also show noun class, and must agree in noun class with their head noun; it is therefore not usual to find a single adjective with scope over nouns from more than one noun class. Instead, the adjective is repeated as many times as there are noun classes involved, as in the following example:

## 2-68 Wulek njiwurr-garlma <br> Finish lAugS-get up + Con <br> (wukali) an-nogubol njiwu-ga-tji <br> goanna (Cl.I) 3I-cooked IAugA.3MinO-take-Pre/Con <br> djunja wartbirritji mun-nogubol njiwu-ga-tji <br> long yam white yam 3III-cooked 1AugA.3MinO-take-Pre both Cl.III

Then we set off, we took cooked Cl.I thing (goanna), we took cooked long yam and white yam.
(V/36/1-9)

### 2.3. Noun Phrases.

Noun phrases in Gurr-goni may contain demonstratives (§3.2), pronouns ( $\S 3.1$ ), adjectives and nouns. Any of these may occur alone as
the head of an NP. Where two or more of these word classes co-occur within an NP, the order of elements is not fixed, but some tendencies can be observed.

Demonstratives and pronouns are usually placed before the noun:
[ DEM N ]
[A-garrapu an-marlpa] a-meme.
3I-Anaph 3I-shooting star 3IS-go along+Irr2
That shooting star might go along.
(V/50/18)
2-70

|  | [ PRON | N ] |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Yama | [nugóytjburru-pu | mburrklerrtji] |
| how about | 2Aug-Card | children |
| burr-boy-ø | wipa. |  |
| 2AugSImp-go-Irr2 home |  |  |
| (informant's translation:) "How about youse kids go home." |  |  |

The opposite orders have also been observed, however.
Where a demonstrative and a pronoun co-occur within an NP, the pronoun always precedes the demonstrative ${ }^{14}$ and the noun, if one is present. The demonstrative may precede or follow the noun:


[^25]| $2-73$ |  | $[$ PRON | N | DEM ] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Wotjbil dji-bogi-ni, | [ngidjiyé-pu maka | dji-goni]. |  |  |
| hospital 3IIS-go-Pre | 3MinF-Card | FaMo | 3II-this |  |
| She went to hospital, this Grandma here. | (IV/75/1) |  |  |  |

Adjectives occur before or after a noun with approximately equal frequency:

```
2-74 Wulek gu-garrapu geno a-ba-rdi
    finish 3IV-Anaph time 3MinA.3IO-eat-Fut
    [ N ADJ ]
    [djarldjarl an-mornóngi] arrapu
    game 3I-big and
    [ ADJ N ]
    [an-arpurr ant-gardi] a-ba-rdi.
    3I-little 3I-flesh 3MinA.3IO-eat-Fut (VII/100-101)
```

    Then that's the time she'll eat large game and she'll eat small meat.
    Occasionally, a pronoun, demonstrative and an adjective are all found modifying a noun within a single NP:

| 2-75 | Ngu-me-nji ngu-bamiyi-ni |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 MinA.3MinO-get-Pre 1MinS-carry on head-Pre |  |  |  |
|  | [ PRON | DEM | ADJ | $\mathrm{N}]$ |
|  | [niyé-pu | a-garrapu | an-arpurr | nganaparru]. |
|  | 3MinF-Card | 3I-Anaph | 3I-little | buffalo |
|  | I got him and | I carried that | little buffalo | (VI/11/21 |

In coordinate NPs, conjoined nouns or pronouns may be simply juxtaposed, as in 2-76, or linked by the conjunction arrapu 'and, too' (see §5.5.1), as in 2-77:

2-76 Mutika awu-me-nji, [gika, borr-pu, bapa, car 3MinA.3IO-get-Pre mother 3Aug-Card father mburrklerrtji] mutika awu-me-nji, awurr-bogi-ni. children car 3AugA.3IO-get-Pre 3AugS-go-Pre They got a car, mother, them, dad, the children, they got a car and went.
(III/4/4)

## 2-77 [Awuni-goni djerritet arrapu bobulu at-bararr] 3UAnf-this nightjar and northern quoll awuni-yiti-nji. 3UAnf-say this-Pre <br> These two nightjar and northern quoll said this.

Possessive NPs are discussed in $\S 2.5$ below. Relative clauses may also be embedded within NPs, but the position of the clause in relation to the head nominal is not fully clear; see $\S 5.6 .3$.

### 2.4. Case.

Case-marking is a strategy whereby "the syntactic function of the NP is indicated by a morphological marker on the NP. This marker may take the form of an inflectional ending, or be a morphologically autonomous element, in which case it is often called a 'particle', a preposition .. or a postposition..." (Andrews 1985:72). The position within the NP where inflectional case marking occurs may vary also; Dixon (1979:65) noted that "the inflection can occur just on the head word, or just on the last word, or on every word".

An NP in Gurr-goni may contain a noun, an adjective, a demonstrative adjective and/or a pronoun. These different parts of speech have different case marking possibilities; compare the following clauses:


## 2-79 A-beki-ni

3IS-come to,out-Pre
[ngutju-lorndo wana djit-bolupu gornabola] ${ }_{\text {NP:DAT }}$
3MinF-Dat big 3II-mother, biggest wallaby
He came to a very big wallaby.
(III/48/11)

## 2-80 [Ngidjiyé-pu djit-bolupu] $]_{\text {NP:DIR }}$ dji-bamirritbi-yi-ni 3MinF-Card 3II-mother,biggest 3IIS-throw to ground-Intr-Pre The mother threw herself to the ground. <br> (V/60/11)

In 2-78, the NPs borr-lorndo mburrklerrtji 'for the children' and borr-pu mburrklerrtji 'the children' both contain a noun and a
pronoun; the noun is identical in both, while the pronoun is in dative case in the first NP and in direct case in the second. Similarly in 2-79, the NP contains a pronoun, an uninflecting adjective wana, an inflecting adjectival/kin stem (-bolupu ~-bobulupu means both 'biggest' in general, and 'mother, mother's brother' in particular), and a noun. In 280 the same adjectival/kin stem -bolupu appears, again with a pronoun. Again, it is only the pronoun that shows a difference in case. "[S]ince on the traditional view, case is a category belonging to NPs, not to nouns" (Goddard 1982:172), we must consider the whole NP to be in dative or direct case, with overt case marking only on the pronoun. Dixon (1994:40) has recently revised his earlier statement (quoted above) to include the possibility of inflection occurring "just on a word of a certain word class", after I drew these facts about Gurr-goni case marking to his attention.

In the table below, the major parts of speech occurring within NPs (noun, adjective and pronoun) ${ }^{15}$ are set out, showing the case forms distinguished by each. It will be seen that, although only three overt distinctions of case are made on the pronouns, and four on nouns and adjectives, the three pronominal case forms co-occur with a single case form of the nouns and adjectives. As Goddard (ibid:176) notes, "the existence of pronoun-noun and pronoun-adjective NP constructions provides an argument to assign a case value even to unmarked nouns", and we therefore recognise 6 cases in total.

|  | Pronoun | Adjective | Noun prefix- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct (A,S,O) | niyé-pu | \{an\}-ADJ-ø | $\varnothing-\{$ an $\}-\mathrm{N}-\varnothing$ |
| Dative | ni-lorndo | \{an\}-ADJ-ø | $\phi-/\{\mathrm{an}\}-\mathrm{N}-\varnothing$ |
| Possessive $_{C}$ | nuyu | \{an\}-ADJ-ø | $\varnothing-/\{\mathrm{an}\}-\mathrm{N}-\varnothing$ |
| Local |  | \{nu\}-ADJ-ø (gabi) | $\{\mathrm{nu}\}-/ \varnothing-\mathrm{N}-\varnothing$ (gabi) |
| Ablative |  | \{nu\}-ADJ-kuwa | \{nu\}-/ø-N-kuwa |
| Comitative | niyé-pu waypu | burr-ADJ-ø (waypu) | burr-N-ø (waypu) |
| Table 23: Case Marking within the NP. |  |  |  |

Pronouns are an optional component of NPs, which function within discourse primarily to focus on previously introduced participants, often contrasting one with another (see below, §3.1.4.2.1-2). When no pronoun is present, there is no overt marking of direct, dative and possessive cases. Thus in example 2-81, the speaker is referring to a time

[^26]when the subject of the clause was pregnant with a specific, known individual, and so uses a dative pronoun, as well as the child's name. In 282 , the referent is simply said to be pregnant ('with child'); who she was pregnant with is not important, and no dative pronoun appears.

## 2-81 dji-borldji-nji [ni-lorndo Kirby] ${ }_{\text {NP:DAT }}$ <br> 3IIS-be full-Pre 3MinNf-Dat name

She was pregnant (lit. full) with Kirby.
2-82 dji-borldji-nji [burrkarl] ${ }_{\text {NP:DAT }}$
3IIS-be full-Pre child
She was pregnant (lit. full with child).

### 2.4.1. The Direct Case.

In the direct case ${ }^{16}$, adjectives take a prefix from set Ni , as do nouns which incorporate a case-inflecting class prefix within the lexeme ${ }^{17}$. The direct case form of the pronouns (see Table 3.1 below) is used; these optionally take suffixes (such as -pu Cardinal) indicating discourse function.

| Set Ni (non-local) | Noun Class prefixes (3rd person minimal) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 3I } & \text { 3II } & \text { 3III } & \text { 3IV } \\ \text { an- } & \text { djin- } & \text { mun- } & \text { gun- }\end{array}$ |  |

This case covers the core syntactic functions $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{S}$ and O . Examples of direct case NPs in S function appear above in 2-78 and 2-80; direct case NPs in A function (2-83) and O function (2-84) are illustrated below:

| 2-83 | [Niyé-pu | a-garrapu | burrkarl $]_{\text {NP:DIR(A) }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | gu-na-ni

[^27]| 2-84 | [Niyé-pu an-marlpa $]_{\text {NP:DIR }(0)}$ | njiwu-na-ni |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3MinNf-Card 3I-shooting star | IAugA.3MinO-see-Pre |
|  | a-djarti a-ma-nay |  |

3IS-hurry 3IS-go along-Pre (VIII/58/17)
We watched the shooting star going quickly along.
These functions ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{S}$ and O ) are distinguished only through the verbal pronominal prefixes (although often only one argument is overtly represented in the prefix; see §4.3.1.3.2 for analysis and discussion).

In addition, direct case is used in address, as a vocative:

| 2-85 | [Nugórritjiyu-pu njirritj-berrku $]_{\text {NP:DIR(VOC) }}$ ngu-weku-n |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2UAf-Card | 2UAf-spouse | 1MinS-speak-Fut |
|  | nugórritji-lorndo |  |
|  | 2UAf-Dat | (V/62/6) |

You two husband and wife, I'll talk (I want to talk) to you.
Topic and afterthought NPs also take direct case, even when coreferential with an NP in another case. In example 2-86, ngarr-pu 'you' introduces the topic of the second clause 'we cried for you':

| 2-86 | Djapu | nji-barrwa-nga |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | like | 2MinA.3MinO-know-Con |  |
|  | ngarr-pu | njina-duwdjiyi-ni | nguku-lorndo |
|  | 2Min-Card | 1UAnfS-cry-Pre | 2Min-Dat |

Like you know, you, we cried for you.
(X/72/21)
In 2-93 below, ngarr-pu 'you' (direct case) may be functioning either as an address form or as a topic.

### 2.4.2. The Dative Case

As with the direct case, the non-local form of nominal prefixes (set Ni , Table 2.1 above) appears on nouns and adjectives in dative case. Distinctive case-marking is found only on the free pronouns (shown in Table 3.1 below), where a suffix -lorndo appears on all pronouns in non-minimal number, as well as 2 nd and 3 rd persons in minimal number. The 1 st and $1+2$ minimal forms appear to contain a suffix -la $\sim$-lu (see §3.1.4.1 for discussion).

The dative case has been defined as that "case used to designate the recipient in sentences of GIVING in Indo-European languages such as

Latin, Russian or German" (Wierzbicka 1988: 391-92); its name in fact derives from dare 'to give' in Latin. In Gurr-goni, the recipient of the verb 'to give' is not in fact designated by what I am calling the dative case (instead, it is indexed on the verb as the O element of the pronominal prefix), but many of the other semantic roles designated by the dative case in languages discussed by Wierzbicka are covered by this case in Gurr-goni: beneficiary, maleficiary (Wierzbicka's 'dative of misfortune' (ibid:278)), addressee, goal, source, purpose, cause and target of emotion, etc. The following examples show the range of its use.

Addressee:

```
2-87 Yandu gi-yinmi-rdi-pu njirrinjinj-djeki-rdi niyi
    do what 3IVS-do thus-Fut-pu 2UAfS-return-Fut alright
        \(=\) when(ever)
```

    ngu-weku-n [nugórritji-lorndo njirritj-bego] yuwa.
    1MinS-speak-Fut 2UAf-Dat 2UAf-Fa,FaZi and child OK
    When you two come back alright I'll talk to you and your auntie,
    OK.
    (III/10/20)

2-88 Weleng dji-gogidji-nji | borritji-lorndo, "Goooy!" |
| :--- |
| then |
| 3IIS-call-Pre |

Then she called to those two girls, "Cooee!"
Listened to:
\(\left.\begin{array}{llll}2-89 \& Weleng niyé-pu \& a-garrapu burrkarl <br>

then \& 3MinNf-Card \& 3I-Anaph child\end{array}\right]\)| galu a-galiyi- $\boldsymbol{\theta}+\mathbf{r n i}$ | [ngutju-lorndo djit-bolupu]. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Neg 3IS-listen-Irrl | 3MinF-Dat | 3II-mother, biggest |
| Then that child didn't listen to the mother. |  |  |
| (VII/41/21) |  |  |

Beneficiary:
2-90 At-bitja awu-me-nji awurr-workiyi-ni
banyan tree $3 A u g A .3 I O$-get-Pre 3AugS-do always-Pre
awu-djarlapi-ni borr-lorndo mokoy.
3AugA.3IO-make-Pre 3Aug-Dat bark skirt
They used to get banyan (bark) and make it into bark skirts for them.
(V/72/14)

Maleficiary:
2-91 Djin-murrka nguna-bawu-ni, 3II-sun 3MinA.1MinO-leave-Pre already nguna-bawu-ni dji-bupiyi-ni dji-ma-nay, 3MinA.IMinO-leave-Pre 3IIS-go down-Pre 3IIS-go along-Pre mokol gu-ne-rre+rni ngapala.
night 3IVS-be,sit-Irrl 1Min+Dat
The sun already left me, she was going down leaving me, it would be night on me.
(III/38/18)
2-92 Gerard a-buki-ni. "Waa, a-buki-ni ngapala," (name) 3IS-fall-Pre alas 3IS-fall-Pre IMin+Dat ngu-yiti-nji, "Waa, a-buki-ni ngapala!" IMinS-do,say thus-Pre alas 3IS-fall-Pre 1Min+Dat
Ngu-djarti ngu-me-nji ngu-ngepi-rri. IMinS-hurry IMinA.3MinO-get-Pre 1MinA.3MinO-lift-Pre (IV/38/12)
Gerard fell down. "Alas, he's fallen down on me," I said, "Alas, he's fallen down on me!" I hurried and got him, I picked him up.

## 2-93 Ngarr-pu gu-gatji-nji nguku-lorndo gutbu? <br> 2Min-Card 3MinA.3IVO-dry up-Pre 2Min-Dat <br> creek <br> Has the creek dried up on you? <br> (III/38/12)

Goal:
2-94 Ngu-wa-rdi [ngutju-lorndo gika].
1MinA.3MinO-throw-Fut 3MinF-Dat mother
I'll send it (lit. throw it) to your mother.
(IV/75/21)
Purpose:

| 2-95 | Ngaytjburru-ø | gu-goni | buwu-dimi-nga |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lAug-unm | 3IV-here,this | IAugA.2MinO-hold-Con |  |
|  | njiwurr-ne-rre | [wetji | ni-lorndo $].$ |

We now are keeping you for language.

### 2.4.3. The Possessive ${ }_{C}$ Case.

As with direct and dative cases, overt marking of this case appears only in the pronouns; see Table 3.1 for the forms of the possessive pronouns.

Possessive case has several functions. The primary function synchronically is to mark possession of a certain set of nouns - some body
parts, some abstract concepts, and many kin terms. This possessive construction is discussed and illustrated in detail below (as the Body Parts Set C possessive construction (see §2.4), hence Possessive ${ }_{C}$ ); a single example will suffice here:

| 2-96 Galu njiwu-barrwa-ø | yandu arrey ngutjuyu |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Neg IAugA.3MinO-know-Irr2 | where place of $3 M i n F+$ Poss $_{C}$ |  |
|  |  | origin |

We didn't know where she was from.
(I/87/4)
A second function is to designate some of the clausal participants which are not crossreferenced on the verb. In many cases, the same semantic roles may also be designated by NPs in dative case, and the distinction between the two cases is not clear. In 2-97, the possessive pronoun designates an addressee, in 2-98 a maleficiary, and in 2-99 a beneficiary; there seems to be little difference between the use of possessive case here, and the use of dative case, illustrated for the same semantic roles above.

> 2-9 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ng-gogidji-nji } \\ & \text { IMinS-call-Pre } \\ & \text { I called to them. }\end{aligned}$. IAug + Poss $_{C}$

2-98 Mut-burdja ng-ga-tji ngu-ma-nay
3III-gun IMinA.3MinO-take-Pre/Con IMinS-go along-Pre
Gurtal min-niyi,
(name) 3III-3MinNf
halfway ngu-bawu-ni=nuyu.
1 MinA .3 MinO -leave-Pre $=3 \mathrm{MinNf}+$ Poss $_{A}$
(V/30/5)
I took along a gun, it was Gurtal's, I left it halfway on him.

| 2-99 | Di ngi-djinji-rri | gi-dji-ø | ngatiyu. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | tea IMinA.3MinO-cook-Pre | 3IVS-stand-Pre | 1UAnf + Poss $_{C}$ |
|  | I made tea, it stood there for us. | (I/113/23) |  |

Use of possessive pronouns sometimes has obscene connotations; it is felt to be swearing, and is therefore avoided in speech situations where people in a taboo relationship are present. The corresponding dative pronouns have no such connotations. This distinction emerged as I attempted to discover the difference between the dative and possessive cases when used for peripheral arguments. Informants varied in their
judgements of which verbs and which person categories in the pronoun these connotations arose with; 1st person and 3rd person feminine minimal possessive pronouns were most often rejected for polite use, and 2 nd and $1+2$ persons minimal were commonly grouped with them. Nonminimal number pronouns did not trigger this reaction. This association may perhaps derive from the primary use of the possessive case, as the genitals are among the nouns which appear in possessive construction $C$.*

Thirdly, the 3rd person minimal possessive pronouns nuyu 'nonfeminine' and ngutjuyu 'feminine' are optionally ${ }^{18}$ cliticised to a transitive verb where the agent is third person minimal and the object is other than 3 rd person ${ }^{19}$. Use of these pronouns unambiguously identifies the agent as third person where this is not clear from the prefix, for example when the object is 1 st person minimal. Compare 2-100 and 2101: in both the prefix on the transitive verbs is nguna-, ambiguous between a 2 nd and a 3 rd person agent. Use of nuyu in 2-101 disambiguates the person of the agent (as does using a serial verb in 2 100). (Following McKay (forthcoming) I will gloss these clitic forms as PossA, combining a formal identification (as possessive) with a functional one (A).)

2-100 Nguna-wirrpi-ga
2,3MinA.1MinO-spray,wet-Con
You're wetting me.
nji-ne-rre.
2MinS-be,sit-Con
(VII/65/3)

2-101 Doctor nguna-na-ni=nuyu,
" 2,3MinA.1MinO-see-Pre=3MinNf + PossA
nguna-bitji-nji=nuyu.
2,3MinA. 1 MinO - tie -Pre $=3 \mathrm{MinNf}+$ PossA
The doctor saw me, he tied me up (in a bandage) (VII/84/5)

In addition, use of the possessive pronoun identifies the agent as nonfeminine or feminine, and this would appear to be its primary function where the object is 2 nd or $1+2$ person, as here the person of the agent is clear whether or not a possessive pronoun is attached:

> 2-102 Biy-djinji-rdi=nuyu.
> 3MinA.2MinO-burn-Fut $=3 M \operatorname{inNf}+\operatorname{Poss} A$
> It (hot food) will burn you.
(III/76/2)

[^28]It seems that, for speakers who do use these cliticised pronouns, their use is becoming grammaticalised, as the information they provide about person and gender of the agent is often quite redundant. In 2-103, for example, the agent is named, the prefix encodes only one combination of A and O ( 3 rd person minimal A and 2 nd person minimal O ), and the prefix on the intransitive serial verb identifies the subject as 3 rd person, Cl.I.
2-103 Daryl biy-bambidji-nji=nuyu
" 3MinA. 2 MinO-be -Pre $=3$ MinNf + PossA upset with

## a-na-ma-nay.

3IS-twds-go along-Pre

Daryl came along upset with you.
(VII/79/10)

### 2.4.4. The Local/Instrumental Case.

NPs in local case may be marked as such by the use of inflections or adpositions. Inflecting adjectives take the local case form of the nominal prefixes (set Nii).

Set Nii (Local Case) Noun Class Prefixes (3rd person minimal)
3I 3II 3III 3IV nu- dji- (/djidji-) mu- gu- (/yigi-, yuwu-)

Compare 2-104, where the NP (in O function) is in direct case, and the adjective has an Ni prefix gun-:

## 2-104 Ngu-barrwi-dji [burrkburrk gut-bulun-pu.] $]_{\text {NP:DIRECT }}$ <br> 1MinA.3MinO-know-Cp bad sickness 3IV-old-pu <br> "I know that same (lit. old) sickness that they had." (VII/99/5)

with 2-105, where the NP (referring to a goal) is in local case, and the adjective has an Nii prefix gu-:

## 2-105 Njiwurr-nguna-djeka-rri [gu-bulun-pu.] $]_{\text {NP:LOCAL }}$ 1AugS-towards-go back-Pre Loc3IV-old-pu <br> We came back to the old place.

Many nouns also inflect for local case in this way. In the case of nouns which incorporate a case inflecting prefix into the lexeme, an Ni prefix appears when the noun is in a non-local case, and an Nii prefix appears when the noun is in Local case:

## 2-106 Djin-murrka nguna-bawu-ni. <br> 3II-sun 3MinA.1MinO-leave-Pre

The sun left me.

| 2-107 | Djop awu-bu-ni | an-maliyirri |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | soap 3AugA.3IO-hit-Pre | 3I-skin |
|  | weleng djidji-murrka | awu-da-ni. |
|  | then Loc3II-sun | 3AugA.3IO-spear |

They cleaned (lit. hit) the skin with soap, then they dried it (lit. speared it) in the sun.

Many nouns which do not have a class prefix in their citation form or in direct case, as in 2-108, take an Nii prefix when in local case, as in 2-109:

2-108 Guyu nji-djerre biy-rra-ni.
nose 2Min-Poss 3MinA.2MinO-spear, poke-Pre
She poked you in the nose (lit. she poked you your nose).

## 2-109 Gelepu nji-guyu gu-galtja-ø <br> otherwise Loc2Min-nose 3IVS-enter-Irr2

Otherwise it (smoke, Cl.IV) might go in your nose.
An adposition gabi may be used, in addition to or instead of the case inflection. A number of words (many of which are place names or refer to geographical features, others of which incorporate an uninflecting prefix into the lexeme) cannot inflect for case, and the only choice available for overtly marking local case is the use of gabi. This word is identical to the demonstrative adverb gabi 'there not far', but as 2-111 shows, when used as an adposition, it carries no distinctions of distance.
2-110 "Djaluwu guwu-na-ni $\quad$ djalmirri." ..
again 3AugA.3MinO-see-Pre stick,splinter
"Arrgiyitawu?" "Galu, gabi mun-molmu."
where in the body no $\quad$ Loc 3III-heart (VII/97/25)
"They saw another splinter." "Where?" "In the heart."

| 2-111 | Ngu-bo-go gapu | ngu-yu-ngu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lMinS-go-Fut there far | IMinS-sleep, stay-Fut | Loc place name |
|  | Lobangak. |  |
| I'll go and stay way out there at Nangak. | (I/3/21) |  |

Younger speakers show a tendency to treat some nouns as uninflecting, which older speakers prefer to inflect. For instance, in translating a children's story, the initial translation (from a Burarra original which had many case/class prefixes) given by younger speakers was:

## 2-112 Awurrinj-mukupu mburrklerrtji

3UAf-two children
awurrinj-waligiyi-ni gabi gut-goy.
3UAf-play-Pre Loc 3IV-road
Two children played on the road.
When this was checked with older speakers, they corrected it to:

## 2-113 ... awurrinj-waligiyi-ni gu-goy. 3UAf-play-Pre Loc3IV-road

As can be seen from these examples, the local case has a generalised spatial meaning, and can be translated as 'in', 'on', 'at', 'to', and also as 'from' or 'through'.

Nouns referring to or naming living beings (both human and nonhuman) do not inflect for Local case. Rather, a part-whole NP, indicating the affected part of the creature's body, is used, and the noun referring to the body part takes the Local case prefix. In such a construction, the case prefix agrees with the class or person/number of the possessor, not with the noun class of the possessed body part (see §§2.4.1.5-620). Thus for example:

## 2-114 nganaparru nu-bobulu <br> buffalo Loc3I-back <br> 'on the buffalo's back'

### 2.4.4.1. Case Forms

For three of the classes, the Local case forms differ from the nonlocal forms in lacking a final -n-; or, stated the other way around, the non-local forms differ from the Local forms only in the addition of a final -n-. Heath (1987:233) examines several prefixing languages with class/case prefixes, and hypothesizes that "some medium-depth protolanguages of [the prefixing languages in and around Arnhem Land had] an opposition between $* \mathrm{CV}$ - and $* \mathrm{CV}-\mathrm{n}$ - forms of noun

[^29]class......prefixes.....*V-n- may have been used for intrans. subject and trans. object (i.e. Absolutive) vs. ${ }^{*}$ CV- in Ergative-Instrumental and various "oblique" cases (including spatial ones)." He suggests identification of this $-n$ - with the Accusative case suffix appearing as $-n$, -na, -nya, -nha in Pama-Nyungan languages (Dixon's (1980:315) pA pronominal accusative -nya). Heath's reconstructions are based on languages shown to be related to the Maningrida languages (Green forthcoming) and if within an ancestor of Gurrgoni/Burarra this opposition between Ergative/Instrumental/spatial cases and Absolutive ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}$ ) noun class prefixes existed, it would suggest that at some stage this was reanalysed or shifted to one between core arguments ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}$ and A ) and peripheral (including Instrument)).

### 2.4.5. The Ablative Case: (\{nu-\}) -kuwa.

The Ablative case specifies the source of motion. This source may be a specific place, as in 2-115 or a relative distance, as in 2-116 and 2117. The derived noun gu-gu-warlpu Lo 3IV-Nom-hunt 'hunting', or a noun referring to the object of hunting or gathering, such as an-diwirri 'mussels' in 2-118, may also be inflected for ablative case and be identified as a source from which the hunter/gatherer moves. Ablative case is marked by a suffix -kuwa, which co-occurs with the Local prefix; thus the generalised spatial concept of the Local case (which includes that of source of motion, as illustrated by the first NP in 2-115) can be made specifically ablative by the addition of this suffix, as in the second NP in 2-115:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { 2-115 } & \text { Gi-djel } & \text { arr-ga-tji, } \\
& \text { Loc3IV-ground } & \text { 3MinA. } 1+2 M i n, \text { AugO-take-Pre/Con } \\
\text { gi-djel-kuwa } & \text { arr-beki-ni } & \text { ngarritjbu } \\
\text { Loc3IV-ground-Abl } & \text { I }+2 \text { MinS-come out-Pre } & \text { l+2Min+Card } \\
\text { He (God) took us from the ground, you and I came out of the } \\
\text { ground. } & & & \text { (VIII/52/1) }
\end{array}
$$

-kuwa can be also be suffixed to words which cannot take the Local prefix, such as place names; to the interrogative yandu 'where?'; to adverbs such as balay, 'far', and djorro 'high up', and it is also frequently used with demonstratives, which as they take verbal pronominal/class prefixes, do not distinguish the Local and non-local cases.

# 2-116 Gu-gabu-kuwa nji-na-bogi-ni balaypalay mern gabi 3IV-there-Abl 2MinS-twds-go-Pre far+Redup cold Loc You came from there far away in the cold. (I/109/1) 

## 2-117 Dji-weku-n ngaytjbu-lorndo balay-kuwa. 3IIS-speak-Fut lAug-Dat far-Abl She'll talk to us from far away. <br> (III/7/25)

## 2-118 An-diwirri-kuwa njiwurr-nguna-warrtji-ga <br> 3I-mussel-Abl lAugS-twds-go up-Con <br> njirr-rra-tji=nuyu mun-muka

3MinA.1AugO-spear,stab-Pre/Con=3MinNf+PossA 3III-a lot (As) we came back up from mussels (ie from getting mussels) it (the sharp rocks) stabbed us a lot.

### 2.4.6. Comitative Case: burr-N/Adj (waypu).

The comitative case is marked on nouns and adjectives by a prefix burr-, which replaces other prefixes. An adposition waypu 'with' may occur with the inflected nominal, and is the only means of signalling comitative case with pronouns (it co-occurs with the direct case pronouns). Waypu is also sometimes used alone with nominals.

The functions of the comitative resemble those of the 'having'/'with' affix in many other Australian languages (compare the list given by Dixon (1976:306-307) with the functions illustrated below), and the name comitative has been chosen on this basis, and as representing some of its functions in Gurr-goni. It is probably not to be considered a derivational affix in Gurr-goni, however, as comitative nominals do not take further inflections. They do not modify nouns within an NP, and (like NPs in local case) do not occur as predicates in non-verbal clauses. It could perhaps be treated as a derivational affix creating adverbs from nouns and adjectives; this is the analysis Merlan (1994:86) accords the suffix -barra ~-warra (similar in form and function) in Wardaman. Although comitative NPs certainly do function adverbially, I treat it here as a case inflection which, with the adposition waypu, signals comitative case (just as local case may be signalled by the case prefix, the adposition gabi, or both).

The functions of the comitative are:
(a) indicating means of transport: burr- occurs freely on nouns referring to vehicles, such as wupunj 'canoe', mutika 'car, truck'. It optionally co-occurs with the adposition waypu:

# 2-119 burr-wupunj (waypu) awurr-bogi-ni <br> Comit-canoe (with) 3AugS-go-Pre <br> They all went by canoe. 

## 2-120 burr-mutika burr-ga-tji <br> Comit-car 3MinA.3AugO-take-Pre/Con <br> He took them by car.

(b) motion with other objects: In 2-121 and 2-122, the comitative NP designates things which the subjects of the clauses took with them as they went (food, gear). In 2-123 and 2-124, the object of the clause was taken complete with the parts marked by comitative case (the turtle's shell, the plants' roots):

## 2-121 burr-mitja (waypu) awurr-bogi-ni <br> Comit-veg.food (with) 3AugS-go-Pre <br> They went with a lot of food.

## 2-122 Burr-gorlk arr-djeki-rdi ngutju-pu nguburr-yu-ngu <br> Comit-gear 1+2MinS-return-Fut here-extr 1+2AugS-sleep,stay-Fut (IX/48/21)

We'll return with all our gear, we'll all stay right here.

| 2-123 | (Ngalngi) | njirritj-gewi-rri | djin-mumu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (turtle) | IAugA.3MinO-get from ashes-Pre | 3II-bone |  |
| njirrinj-wa-rri | rowk, njirritj-bitji-nji | rowk. |  |
| 1AugA.3MinO-throw-Pre | all | IAugA.3MinO-tie-Pre | all |

Djin-arraka djin-mumu, burr-mumu waypu
3II-other 3II-bone Comit-bone with
njirritj-ga-tji.
1AugA.3MinO-take-Pre/Con
We got it (the turtle) out of the ashes, her shell we threw away, we tied it all up. We took other ones with her shell, complete with the shell.
(VIII/148/13)
2-124 Wulek wurru njibu-gegi-nga-: mu-gabi
finish but IAugA.3MinO-put in-Con-X 3III-that
burr-woku, arrapu njibu-gegi-nga mu-gabi
Comit-foot,root and 1AugA.3MinO-put in-Con 3III-that
woku mi-djerre njibu-gornda-ga
foot,root 3III-Poss 1AugA.3MinO-cut-Con
Finish but we put them in the bag, that one with roots and all, and
we put that one in the bag, we cut off the roots. (VIII/117b/5)
(c) Motion with a group of animate beings: A "human agent moving ... in the company of human(s)" is one of the possible uses of the 'having' affix identified by Dixon (1976:203). A similar function exists in Gurr-goni for the comitative prefix but, as with its instrumental meaning, it can be used only of a group of people (not individuals) accompanying someone, or performing an action together. The following examples illustrate this:

## 2-125 Burr-gami ngu-bogi-ni <br> Comit-woman IMinS-go-Pre

I went with the woman mob (could be said by a boy joining in with a whole lot of women)

| 2-126 | burr-gu-ngutju burr-gu-warrbardja git-gegu school |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Comit-Nom-black Comit-Nom-white |
| guwu-gupi-ni | $3 I V-n e w ~ " ~$ |


| 2-127 | Burr-bobulupu | burr-djarnurrupu | dunuyu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Comit-Mo,MoBro burr-djurr-gaki | Comit-W's, M'sZ's child dji a-na | crocodile <br> na-ma |
|  | 3MinA.3AugO-behind-follow-Pre/Con 3IS-twds-go along-Con burr-ba-nga |  |  |
|  | 3MinA.3AugO-eat-Con |  |  |
|  | Parent (ducks) and babies together, the crocodile followed behind them and ate them all. |  |  |

The adposition waypu can be used to indicate individuals who accompany others in some activity, particularly when the individual is referred to by a pronoun:

## 2-128 Ngarr-pu waypu njiwurr-bo-go <br> 2Min-Card with lAugS-go-Fut We'll go with you.

However, the most common way of designating individuals who accompany others is to use a pronominal prefix on the verb which includes all participants (the accompanied and the accompanying) within the scope of its reference; only the accompanying individuals, who are
new information, are specifically named in the clause, while the previously mentioned or known 'accompanied' participants are referred to only anaphorically, through the verb prefix. See 2-63 and 2-64 above for examples, and also:

## 2-129 Ninjokoni njina-yo-rri? <br> who 2MinUAnf-sleep,stay-Con <br> Who are you living with? (lit. who you and he stay?)

(d) In some of the examples above, the translations suggested that the referents of the comitative NP were numerous. It is not clear whether this is one component of the meaning of comitative or whether an implication of a large quantity arises from the context. However, when the comitative case is used to designate an instrument, it apparently does refer to a large number of implements. Thus 2-130, where 'spear' appears in comitative case, implies a lot of spears; this implication is absent from 2-131, where 'spear' is unmarked, as NPs in instrument function usually are ${ }^{21}$ :

## 2-130 burr-garlpi a-rra-ni

Comit-spear 3MinA.3IO-spear-Pre
he/she speared him with a lot of spears.

## 2-131 garlpi a-rra-ni <br> spear 3MinA.3IO-spear-Pre <br> $\mathrm{He} /$ she speared him with a spear.

(e) Location-having: a further use of the comitative is to designate a location which is filled with a certain type of entity. It seems that the entities are literally said to be (lie, stand, sit) 'place-having', and are perhaps thought of as being in possession of, or in control of, that location:

| 2-132 gabi burr-djurra | awurr-walitji | awurr-dji-ø |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| therel?Loc Comit-book | 3Aug-name | 3AugS-stand-Pre |

Lit. 'Their names stood book-having': or Their names were all through the book, the book was full of their names.

| 2-133 burr-gelupulu girdili a-yo-rri |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Comit-pond, well leech | 3IS-lie-Con |
| Lit. Leeches lie pond-having, or Leeches are all through the pond, |  |

[^30]the pond is full of leeches.
(f) Finally, there is one expression, burr-yorritji 'in the rain', in which the comitative prefix appears. This is probably an archaic or lexicalised form, as yorritji does not exist independently (but compare the Burarra yorr 'rain'). In Burarra, the cognate prefix burr- productively derives temporal or ambient (and other manner) adverbs such as 'by moonlight', 'at sunset' (Glasgow 1984:39; 1985:41,183). The existence of this archaic and apparently vestigial form burr-yorritji in Gurr-goni suggests that burr- formerly had this function in Gurr-goni also.

## 2-134 Burr-yorritji awurr-bogi-ni

Comit-?rain 3AugS-go-Pre
They went in (through the?) the rain.
(IX/125/15)

### 2.5. Possession

Gurr-goni has five distinct possessive constructions, with the choice of construction largely determined by the type of possessed noun. Thus there are three types of construction for different sets of body parts, one for simple nominal kin terms (other ways of expressing kin relationships are also described here), and one for general nouns. These five types are described below.

### 2.5.1. Body Part Nouns.

### 2.5.1.1. Body Part Nouns, Set A.

This is a closed class, with 27 nouns to date, all shown in the following list. (Not all of these are strictly speaking 'body' parts, but such concepts as 'name' and 'subsection' are presumably thought of as being as much a part of oneself as 'flesh' and 'skin'. Goddard (1985:104), discussing the similar treatment of words for 'name', 'spirit' and 'tracks' in the Western Desert language Yankunytjatjara, suggests that "the crucial semantic relationship is not whole-part in a physical sense, but has to do with the significance of the ... secondary term [the possessed] for the identity or person of .. the primary term [the possessor], rather than with his or her body as such.")

| -birdíli | liver | -girri | juice of fruit or |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -burrpu | guts |  | meat |
| -djarriwu | body, ghost | -girdéy | lungs |
| -gardi* | flesh, meat | -gu | egg |
| irrirri | -gardi | tooth flesh: | -maliyirri |
|  | gums | skin |  |
|  |  | -mari | bladder |


| -medjimidji* | head hair | -bapurr* | clan, moiety |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| -mumu | bone, shell | -malk | subsection |  |
| -murugu | feathers, fur | -gun | subsection |  |
| -murrpu | tracks | -goy | road, track |  |
| -murrumu | body hair | -mitj | burrow |  |
| -mutji | hole in skin (ie a | -manj | taste, smell |  |
|  | sore) or in fabric | -walitji | name |  |
| -ngalkundu | hip crease | -worduwurdu fleshless being: |  |  |
| -ngurrungu | muscles | picture, ghost, |  |  |
| -warrpura* | underarm sweat |  | dream, reflection |  |

(* beside a noun indicates that it can also be used as a general noun, in that it can occur without a prefix signalling possession, or in the possessive construction appropriate for general nouns. This is discussed and exemplified below.)

Possession is signalled by a pronominal prefix on the possessed noun, which agrees in person, number and/or class with the possessor of the body part. (The prefix is taken from set Ni non-local prefixes. Local case prefixes can be used with some nouns when appropriate; this is discussed in §2.5.1.5 below.)

An NP referring to the possessor can optionally precede the body part noun, or follow it, as in 2-138. The structure of such a possessive noun phrase can be summarised as follows:

| (NP) | Pronominal Prefix <br> [Person-Number/Class] | N | (NP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [POSSESSOR] | [POSSESSOR] | [POSSESSED] | [POSSESSOR] |

The following examples show the prefix functioning as a possessive marker:

## 2-135 Ngu-mumu gudjuwórrwu ngu-dje-rre <br> lMin-bone outside, exposed 1MinS-stand-Con

 (3/-mumu)Lit. 'my bone exposed I stand', ie 'my bone is exposed, visible'.

| 2-136 Weleng an-mumu diitjitia | ngu-me-n |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| thenII-bone fish (Cl.I) | IMinA.3MinO-get-Fut |
| Then I will get a fishbone. | $(\mathrm{V} / 86 / 9)$ |


| 2-137Awurr-mumu <br> 3Aug-bone awurr-na-yi-n | awurr-ni-ngu |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| They will see their own bones. | (VIIII/32/-Fut | 3AugS-besit-Fut |

## 2-138 Brian an-alitji nganaparru a-garrapu. <br> " 3I-name buffalo 3I-Anaph <br> Brian is the name of that buffalo.

### 2.5.1.2. Body Part Nouns, Set B.

This also constitutes a small closed class of nouns, totalling 28 to date.
These are:

| bami | head | ngalmu | whole leg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bobulu | back | ngarna | inside of thigh |
| djamal | tail, shin | ngar | mouth |
| djapurra | chin | ngordo | cheek (??) |
| djarnurra | neck | nguw | bottom |
| djingerra | navel, umbilical cord | arrartba | top/front of thigh |
| galbirli | shoulder blade | arrenjmu | temple |
| gelamu | ear | irrirri | teeth |
| ger | side | orrongurru | throat, voice |
| gonda | arm | walugunu | shoulder |
| gotjila | stomach, belly | warumbarrk front of ribs |  |
| guyu | nose | wele | forehead |
| mibilu | eye, fruit, seed | woku | foot, hand, wrist |

mornoburto nape of neck
murrpu chest (sternum)

With these nouns, possession is indicated by a pronominal prefix attached to the Contemporary tense form of the verb 'to stand', -djerre (stand-Con). This is a frozen form, in which tense is invariant; it immediately follows the body part noun ${ }^{22}$. -djerre takes intransitive verbal prefixes, agreeing with the person/number/class of the possessor. In this construction, -djerre will be glossed Poss, for 'possessive'. As with set A body part terms, an NP referring to the possessor may optionally either precede or follow the possessed noun. The construction

[^31]can be summarised as:
(NP) N
Pronominal Prefix - djerre
(NP)
[Person-Number/Class]
[Possessor] [possessed]
[Possessor]
[Possessor]
Examples follow:

## 2-139 Woku ngu-djerre ngu-gerdji-nji <br> foot, hand lMin-Poss lMinS-be bogged-Pre My foot's stuck in the mud.

(I/59/10)

## 2-140 Mutika woku a-djerre njalkitj a-ni-ø car (Cl.I) foot 3I-Poss soft 3IS-be,sit-Pre

Lit. The car's foot is soft, $=$ The tyre is flat. ( $\mathrm{I} / 47 / 24$ )

| 2-141 | woku dji-djerre | dji-na-ni | batgaw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | foot 3II-Poss | 3MinA.3IIO | fat cow (Cl.II) |

He saw the tracks of a cow.
(VI/101/25)

### 2.5.1.3. Body Part Nouns, Set C.

Set $C$ contains at least 29 nouns. Here, the possessive pronouns (shown in Table 3.1) are used immediately following the noun to indicate the possessor, which may also be further identified by a preceding or following NP:

|  | N | ssessive Pronoun | (NP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ ${ }_{\text {SSESSOR] }}$ |  | [posses | [possesso |

This set comprises several groups of words:

1) Words relating to excretion and reproduction ${ }^{23}$. The latter are given here with either the masculine (=non-feminine) or feminine 3rd person singular oblique pronoun, as appropriate. 1st, 2nd and $1+2$ persons, and non-minimal pronouns, can all also be used.

[^32]mirdíla ngutjuyu ngar ngutjuyu a-djerre ${ }^{24}$ djutjúrdu nuyu gúdjurdu nuyu
gurdól nuyu
djarral nuyu
gun-mipirdi ngarrku nguw nuyu ${ }^{25}$ gi-djerre mibilu nuyu ${ }^{24,25}$ gi-djerre anus (lit. eye to him it stands) ngukulenjdji nuyu* wogirdi nuyu*
vulva, female genitals
vagina
testicles
penis
semen
beard, whiskers
anus
anus (lit. bottom to him it stands)
faeces
urine
(As above, * indicates that these nouns may also be used as general nouns.)
Clausal examples are:

## 2-142 A-gabi djungdjung djutjúrdu nuyu a-buburdiyi-rri <br> 3I-that dog testicles 3MinNf + Poss $_{C}$ 3IS-be swollen-Con <br> That dog's balls are swollen.

| 2-143[Nguw nuyu gi-djerre] <br> bottom  <br>  $=$ hisinNf ${ }_{i}$ anus Poss $_{C}$ | 3IVS-stand-Con |
| :--- | :--- |

gu-numi-rri nuyu a-bogi-ni.
3 MinA $_{j} \cdot 3 I V O_{i}$-smell-Pre 3 MinNf $_{i}+$ Poss $_{C} \quad 3 I S_{j}$-go-Pre
Lit. His ${ }_{\mathrm{i}}$ arsehole (bottom to him $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ it stands) he $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}$ smelt it to /
affecting him ${ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{he}_{\mathrm{j}}$ went: He (a buffalo) went and smelled his
(another buffalo's) arse.
(VI/29/17-21)
2) Most body part nouns that include an invariant class prefix as part of the stem are also in set C . In the following list, this prefix is underlined, and as examples 2-144 and 2-145 show, it does not vary for the person, number or class of the possessor.

[^33]| an-damu ngarrku | hinge of jawbone |
| :--- | :--- |
| at-gurrúrlu ngarrku | shoulder blade and joint |
| djit-bamu ngarrku | knee |
| djit-bini ngarrku | ball of foot |
| djin-marnmu ngarrku | kidneys |
| djin-mibilu ngarrku | eyelashes and eyebrows |
| gunt-gurlúrlu ngarrku | elbow (??) (or djit-gurrúrlu) |
| gunt-guyu ngarrku | fingernail; heel |
| gun-gala ngarrku | ankle (shinbone also?) |
| mut-burdja ?ngarrku | throat, windpipe |
| mun-molmu ngarrku* | heart |
| mun-watj ngutjuyu | pouch (of kangaroo, etc) |

## 2-144 Djit-bamu ngu-dje-n ngu-yu-ngu knee $\quad$ IMinA.3MinO-put vertically-Fut 1 MinS-lie,sleep-Fut "I'll put my knee up and sleep." <br> (VI/70/21)

## 2-145 Djit-bamu djuwurritj-dje-ka awurrinj-yo-rri knee 3UAfA.3IIO-put vertically-Con 3UAfS-lie,sleep-Con They (two women, or man and woman) are sleeping with their knees up. <br> (VI/70/23)

3) A more miscellaneous subset comprises the following words, which include some body parts and some physical attributes:
> barnaka ngarrku bornakuna ngarrku
> manamatji ngutjuyu arrey (ngarrku)

giy (ngarrku)
burrpu ngarrku
spine
side of ribs, flank(?)
spines of echidna
the place where we come from
speed, we are fast
strength, we are strong

As these glosses indicate, the NPs giy ngarrku and burrpu ngarrku constitute fully grammatical clauses in themselves. (This is true also of at least some of the nouns listed in 1 and 2 of this set: when a baby girl is born, her sex is announced by saying "mirdíla ngutjuyu!", translated by Gurr-goni speakers as "it's a girl".) This construction is discussed further in §5.1.2.1, where it is analysed as a non-verbal existential clause (literal translations would be "our speed (exists)", "her vulva (exists)").

The data contains several examples of similar constructions involving an adjectival stem. Adjectival stems can occur as modifiers of the copular verbs ni 'be' (lexical meaning 'sit, stay') and negi 'make be' (lexical meaning 'put weight of body on something', 'call by kin name'); in this case they may or may not take a pronominal prefix. They also occur as modifiers of nominals, in which case they take nominal pronominal prefixes (local or non-local) indexing the person, number and class of the nominal referent. Such prefixed forms can also function as heads of NPs, and as primary predicates. In the construction relevant here, the stem takes a class III or class IV prefix, and is followed by a possessive pronoun identifying the "possessor" of the quality described by the adjectival stem. For example:

## 2-146 munt-guwábu nguku 3III-noisy $\quad 2 \mathrm{Min}+$ Poss $_{C}$ you're noisy

2-147 mit-djirrpurk<br>3III-poisonous, dangerous, sour<br>\section*{ngutjuyu}<br>she's a wild woman<br>mit-djirrpurk<br>nuyu<br>3III-poisonous, dangerous, sour 3 MinNf + Poss $_{C}$<br>he's a wild man

## 2-148 mut-djamulu ngutjuyu

3III-blunt 3 MinF + Poss $_{C}$
Lit. It is blunt to her; a specific term for a female turtle
In structure, then, these look like body parts of set C 2 , where the noun class prefix is invariant and the possessor identified by the oblique pronoun. Of the few occurrences in the data, one (2-148 above) is lexicalised as the specific term for a female turtle, and two (2-146 and 2147 above) were given clausal translations. Again, it seems that these can be analysed as existential clauses ('your noise (exists)', 'her wildness (exists) ${ }^{26}$ ).

[^34]
### 2.5.1.4. Categorisation of the Body Parts Sets.

The three body parts sets, A, B and C, are mutually exclusive sets of nouns. Each set has one or more core semantic domains: many set A nouns refer to those things from which the body is made up, both physically (bone, flesh, juice, muscles, skin, feathers/fur, taste/smell), and socially and spiritually (conception dreaming, clan/moiety, subsection, name). Many interior parts of the body are also found here. Set B consists of segmentable parts of the body, visible from the outside; the elements from which these parts are made are largely members of set A. Set C body parts, as described above, are those concerned with excretion and reproduction ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ), and those which have an invariant noun class prefix as part of the stem ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) (many of these latter nouns refer to joints) ${ }^{27}$.

There are a number of homophonous forms occurring in more than one possessive construction which have a different, but related meaning. Thus, ngar arr-djerre 'our mouth' (set B) is distinguished from ngar ngarrku (a-djerre) 'our vagina' (set C) by the choice of possessive construction; a similar relationship holds between nguw adjerre 'his bottom' (set B) and nguw nuyu gi-djerre 'his arsehole' (set C); mibilu a-djerre 'his eye' (set B) and mibilu nuyu gi-djerre 'his arsehole' (set C). Murrpu a-djerre, set B, means 'his chest', while anmurrpu, set A, refers to the tracks of a slithering or shuffling creature such as a snake or a goanna. Other words for impressions or homes made by creatures (-goy 'track/road', -mitj 'burrow') are also found in set A; interestingly, however, woku a-djerre means both 'his feet' and 'his tracks' (for large creatures such as kangaroos, emus, buffaloes, etc), with no change of possession class.

The corpus contains instances of a number of nouns being used at times with the possessive marking appropriate for one of the sets described above, and at times with either no possessive marking at all, or with a possessive adjective, both of which are characteristic of general nouns (see below). These nouns are: warrpura 'underarm sweat', gardi 'flesh', medjimidji 'head hair', bapurr 'clan, moiety', and ngorrungurru 'sleep' (all occurring in set A), and ngukulenjdji 'faeces', wogirdi 'urine', and mun-molmu 'heart' (all occurring in set C).

The distinction between -bapurr 'clan, moiety' as a possessed noun, and bapurr as a general noun may be that between one's clan and

[^35]moiety as an inherent part of one's being, and the clan as a group of people, a social entity, a distinction that may be evident in the following example. Here, the speaker uses bapurr as a general noun, with possession marked by a possessive adjective (gun-ngaytjburru-pu bapurr) when talking about putting me into his clan group. Otherwise, when talking about the clan identity of various individuals, he uses -bapurr as a Set A body part noun.

| 2-149 arrapu gu-garrapu gut-bapurr, | gut-bapurr, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | 3IV-Anaph 3IV-clan | 3IV-clan |
| nji-bapurr | buwu-gorrmi-rdi, | arrapu |
| 2Min-clan | IAugA.2MinO-put-Fut | and |
| [gun-ngaytjburru-pu bapurr] buwu-gorrmi-rdi, |  |  |
| 3IV-1Aug-Card | clan | IAugA.2MinO-put-Fut |
| ngardawa | niyé-pu | at-djapulmu |
| because | 3MinNf-Card | 3I-short |

biy-me-ka=nuyu
at-bapurr
3MinA.2MinO-get-Con $=3$ MinNf + PossA 3I-clan
an-dirrdjalaba, an-dirrdjalaba at-bapurr, arrapu

| 3I-clan name 3I-clan name 3I-clan | and |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ngaytjburru-pu | buwu-dima-nga | njiwurr-ne-rre |

1Aug-Card 1AugA.2MinO-keep-Con lAugS-be,sit-Con

## Nangak arrey ngaytjburru-ø, <br> placename place $1 \mathrm{Aug}-$ Poss $_{C}$

| ngaytjburru-ø | njiwurr-bapurr | boburerre | bapurr |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lAug-unm | lAug-clan | clan name clan |  |

(I/110-111)
and in that matter of the clan (gut-bapurr), we'll put you (ie give you) your clan identity (nji-bapurr), and we'll put you in our clan group (gun-ngaytjburru-ø bapurr), because that little one who got you, his clan identity (at-bapurr) is An-dirrdjalaba, Andirrdjalaba is his clan (identity at-bapurr), and we who are keeping you, our place is Nangak (come from Nangak), our clan identity (njiwurr-bapurr) is the Boburerre clan (bapurr).

Bodily secretions and excretions can be thought of as a kind of substance with certain characteristics, or as part of a person or creature, distinctive of them, and this may be the basis for choosing to use wogirdi 'urine', ngukulenjdji 'faeces' and warrpura 'underarm sweat', as general nouns, or as possessed body parts. Thus, for instance, the texts contain only one occurrence of warrpura with a pronominal
prefix. Here, someone wiped their own sweat on an animal in order to tame it, transferring the sweat directly from their own body:
2-150 ngu-warrpura
IMin-underarm sweat
ngu-wu-ni
1MinA.3MinO-give-Pre
I gave him my sweat.
(V/157/9)

In many references to it in the texts, smelling the hunter's sweat is the cause of an animal running off; in such cases the "possessor", or source, of the sweat is obvious from the context, and is not stated, or is indirectly stated, as in 2-151, where the dative pronoun appears to be functioning as a "malefactive" (see §2.3.2):

## 2-151 warrpura gu-numi-rri ngapala underarm sweat 3MinA.3IVO-smell-Pre 1Min+Dat <br> He smelt the sweat (and ran off) on me. <br> (III/36/22)

Where excreta are thought of as part of the animal, as when finding droppings is a sign of the hunted animal's presence, possessive marking is used, as in 2-152. In 2-153, however, the source of the urine is not mentioned, nor relevant, as it is the fact that urine is smelly, regardless of whose it is, that is concerning the speaker.

## 2-152 djungdjung at-garpi, nganaparru wogirdi nuyu <br> dog 3I-good hunter buffalo urine 3 MinNf + Poss $_{C}$ <br> gu-numi-nga arrapu ngukulenjdji nuyu <br> 3MinA.3IVO-smell-Con and faeces 3MinNf+Poss $C$

The dog is a good hunter, he smells the buffalo's urine and faeces.

## 2-153 wogirdi an-ma-yi-pu, ngu-motu-ma. <br> urine $\quad 3 I S$-get-Intr-extr 1 MinS-smell-Con <br> It (my dress, Cl.I) is full of urine, I stink.

(IV/145/9)
Gardi 'flesh' is frequently used in the sense of 'meat', the flesh of an animal, bird, etc, which is eaten, and the prefix identifies the creature's noun class membership (Cl.I or II). However, when talking about gaining or losing weight, gardi is used without possessive marking, much as we might say 'the flesh just dropped away from him':

2-154 an-marrman, wurpu gardi gu-bogi-ni ni-lorndo rowk 3I-good just flesh 3IVS-go-Pre 3MinNf-Dat all He's all right, it's just that he's lost a lot of weight (lit. all the flesh went from him).
(VII/73/13)
The case of medjimidji 'head hair' is slightly different. I have several examples where it is used with a class III noun prefix in reference to human hair (mine, in fact). This is an instance where (as with gardi, just discussed) the person, etc, of the possessor is not indexed, but the class of the body part, the hair itself, is. Unlike gardi, however, this involves no change of possession class. In the examples where the class III prefix is used, the hair appears to be acting independently (as in eg 2 155). In examples where someone does something to their own hair, and thus to a part of themselves, it is the possessor which is indexed, as in 215628:

## 2-155 mun-medjimidji mi-djilwi-yi-ni

3III-head hair 3IIIS-untie, unwind-Intr-Pre
Your hair has come untied, has unwound itself.
2-156 an-medjimidji a-gorndi-yi-ni
3I-head hair 3IS-cut-Intr-Pre
He cut his hair (lit. he cut himself his hair).
Mun-molmu 'heart' usually takes no possessive marking at all (this is exemplified in 2-157 and 2-158). This is the case also for mintdjentgirdi 'brains', merdamirdi 'veins', genjgenj 'heartbeat', golidja 'blood', guyu and gitgal both 'breast, breastmilk', and other secretions such as arru 'tears', gurdól 'pus', mit-djeyirri 'spittle' and ngartbu 'body sweat'. Mun-molmu ngarrku was once given in eliciting names of body parts, and it may be that these other nouns, too, could appropriately be used in a body part possessive construction. Most commonly, however, they are not explicitly referred to as belonging to, or as part of, a person, and in this they resemble general nouns. (It is not certain, however, whether they can occur in the general noun possessive construction.)

[^36]
## 2-157 mun-molmu guypu mu-me-nji an-marlpa <br> heart already 3MinA.3IIIO-get-Pre shooting star <br> The shooting star had already got her heart. (V/51/26)

## 2-158 mun-molmu mu-warrtji-nji <br> heart 3IIIS-climb-Pre (IV/108/4)

Lit. the heart climbed up, or in idiomatic English, 'my heart was in my mouth', as someone said thinking they had seen a snake.

### 2.5.1.5. Body Parts and Case.

While the sets described above have distinctive possessive constructions in non-local case functions, local case is encoded for set B, and some set A , nouns, in the same manner as for general nouns. For set A nouns, the local case prefix replaces the non-local prefix; for set B nouns, -djerre is omitted, and the case prefix affixed to the noun. The prefix in this case serves the double function of encoding local case, and identifying the possessor of the body part.
For example,

| 2-159 | nu-bobulu | blanket | ngu-djar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Loc3I-back |  | 1 MinA .3Min |
|  | I spread out | he blanket | on his back. |

2-160 Burrkarl gudjuwórrwu a-yu-ø, arritj-bay-ø
baby outside 3IS-lie-Irr2 1+2UAfA.3MinO-eat-Irr2
ngalngi arrapu arritj-gotjili a-ni-ø burrkarl
turtle and Loc1+2UAf-belly 3IS-be-Irr2 baby arritj-bawu-ø ngalngi
1+2UAfA.3MinO-leave-Irr2 turtle
When the baby is born (lit. lies outside), we eat turtle, and when the baby is in our bellies, we leave turtle alone. (VII/44/13)

In some instances, a Class III or Class IV prefix occurs, rather than one agreeing with the class, person and number of the possessor. Thus, 2-161 with a Cl.IV prefix contrasts with $2-162$, where the Cl.I local prefix agrees with the class of the agent of the verb, 'he held it in his hand'. 2-161 could perhaps be translated as 'by hand':

| 2-161 mangarraka | nji-bay- $\boldsymbol{\varnothing}$ | gu-woku, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| don't | 2MinA.3MinO-eat-Irr2 | Loc3IV-hand |
|  | spoon | $\boldsymbol{\phi}$-ma- $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ |
|  | " | 2MinA.3MinOImp-get-Irr 2 |

Don't eat with your fingers (lit. by hand), get a spoon.

| 2-162 | Wulek | a-rrimi-rri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| finish | 3MinA.3IO-hold-Pre | Loc3I-hand |
|  | a-ga-tji | a-ma-nay |
|  | 3MinA.3IO-take-Pre/Con | 3IS-go along-Pre |

Then he held it in his hand and took it away.
Gu-woku is also used to talk about going somewhere 'on foot' (woku means both 'foot' and 'hand').

There are no examples in the corpus of irrirra 'teeth' with a prefix indexing the possessor; instead, it occurs with the Class IV prefix, both in the idiomatic expression gu-rrirra rra, literally 'spear or stab with the teeth', meaning 'ask', and in literal uses such as 2-16329:

## 2-163 gu-rrirra nguna-ba-rri <br> Loc3IV-teeth 3MinA.1MinO-eat, bite-Pre

Lit. She/he bit me with the teeth.
Case-marked body part nouns are also used as directional or orientational terms. Many body parts have been found functioning in this manner, expressing a wide range of distinctions. 2-164 and 2-165 give textual examples, and I then list all other directional and orientational terms, based on body parts, recorded to date.

| 2-164 | Ngayi-pu |  | ngu-ni-ф |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| IMin-Card | IMinS-besit-Pre | Eengurdu nu-bobulu |  |
| I sat behind grandad. |  | Loc3I-back |  |
| (IV/141/16) |  |  |  |

[^37]
## 2-165 burrkburrkang ngu-woroworoganma-nga <br> bag IMinA.3MinO-swing back and forth-Con <br> ngu-djarti ngu-ma-ma, ngu-wa-nga <br> IMinS-rush IMinS-go along-Con 1MinA.3MinO-throw-Con <br> ngu-bobulu a-yo-rri gi-djel <br> LoclMin-back 3IS-lie-Con Loc3IV-ground I rushed along swinging the bag back and forth, I threw it behind me, it lay on the ground. <br> (III/104/22)

| a | ngu-bami | 1Min-head | above me |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b | ngu-ger | 1Min-flank, side | beside me |
| c | ngu-djamal | 1Min-tail/shin | ??(V/18/9) |
| d | ngu-mornoburto | 1Min-nape | right behind me |
| e | ngu-ngalmu | 1Min-leg | under me, under my leg |
| f | ngu-murrpu | 1Min-chest | by, near my chest |
| g | mu-rrenjmu | 3III-temple | (look) back, to the side |
| h | gotjilupu | stomach + pu | in the middle, between |

(h) involves the clitic -pu; for discussion of this clitic, see §1.4.2. (g) is an example of the use of a Cl.III, rather than a personal, prefix on the noun meaning 'temple'. This occurs with the verb gana 'watch, have eyes open'; an appropriate English translation would be 'look to the side'.

The local/instrumental prefix cannot be used with set $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ body part terms (those which incorporate an invariant noun class prefix into the stem), nor has it been found to occur with set $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ (or $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) nouns. With these nouns, only an adposition can be used.

### 2.5.1.6. Body Parts and Agreement.

In many Australian languages, the person of the possessor is crossreferenced on the verb. Body part nouns in sets A and B are generally treated in this way: as is evident in many of the examples given above, it is the person, number and class of the possessor which is crossreferenced on the verb (and on adjectives, etc), rather than the inherent noun class of the body part (either Cl.III or Cl.IV). Examples 2-137 and 2-141 (repeated here as 2-166 and 2-167) are particularly good illustrations of this; literal translations (in Gurr-goni word order) are: 'their bones they will see themselves they will be/sit', and 'her feet he saw her cow'.

| 2-166 | Awurr-mumu awurr-na-yi-n | awurr-ni-ngu <br> 3Aug-bone 3AugS-see-Intr-Fut |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3AugS-be,sit-Fut |  |  |
| They will see their own bones. | (VIII/32/9) |  |


| 2-167 | woku | dji-djerre | dji-na-ni | batgaw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | foot | 3II-Poss | 3MinA.3IIO | fat cow (Cl.II) |
|  | foot sh-PossHe saw the tracks of a cow. |  |  |  |

Body parts in set C are treated differently, however; here it is the possessed body part which is cross-referenced. Examples 2-142, 2-143, 2145 and 2-152, above, illustrate this; 2-145 is repeated here as 2-168.

## 2-168 Djit-bamu djuwurritj-dje-ka awurrinj-yo-rri knee 3UAfA.3IIO-put vertically-Con 3UAfS-lie,sleep-Con They (two women, or man and woman) are sleeping with their knees up. <br> (VI/70/23)

However, the opposite patterns have also been observed, albeit rarely. 2-169 shows the possessors of the knees being cross-referenced, rather than the knees, as is the case in 2-168. (With a $1+2$ person agent, the noun class of djit-bamu would not appear in the prefix. The expected form would be ngubu-, $1+2$ person augmented A with a 3 rd person minimal O , comparable to the transitive prefix in 2-168: 3rd person unit-augmented A, with 3rd person minimal, Class II, O. Arrbu-, the prefix which appears, encodes a $1+2$ person nonsingular $A$ or $O$, with a 3rd person nonsingular A or O .)

## 2-169 Nguwurr-boy-ø ranba mu-yo-rri <br> 1+2AugS-go-Irr2 beach 3IIIS-lie-Con <br> nguwu-me-n borr-lorndo yandu <br> 1+2AugA.3MinO-get-Fut 3Aug-Dat so <br> arrbu-djinji-rdi djit-bamu borro-ø. <br> 1+2nsA.3nsO-heat-Fut 3II-knee 3Aug-Poss $C_{C}$ <br> We'll go and get (the plant) that grows on the beach for them so we can warm their knees. <br> (V/83/14)

2-170 shows a set B noun, gonda 'arm, wing', indexed on the verb with a Cl.III prefix, rather than a Cl.II prefix agreeing with the possessor, as is normally found with set $A$ and $B$ nouns:

| 2-170 | Gonda | dji-djerre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| arm | 3II-Poss | mu-rrimi-nga |
| wurru | djin-murugu | galu. |
| but | 3II-feather | $N E G$ |
| She's got arms (/wings), but not feathers. |  |  |

(IV/143/22)
It appears that, while the possession class of body part nouns largely determines whether it is the possessor or the body part which is treated as the head of the NP, speakers do have a choice, and can opt to use the opposite pattern.

### 2.5.1.7. Non-Use of the Possessive Construction with Body Part Terms. <br> Unlike general nouns, body parts usually occur in a possessive

 construction. There are some situations, however, which promote non-use of possessive marking. These include metaphorical or idiomatic uses of the body parts, and expressions analogous to English 'you big head', 'baldy head'.Examples of metaphoric expressions involving body parts include:

```
2-171 ngar awurr-wa-yi-n awurr-ni-ngu
    mouth 3Aug-throw-Intr-Fut 3AugS-be,sit-Fut
Lit. Mouth they will throw each other: they'll argue with each other (for something).
```

| 2-172 | bobulu | burr-ngutji-ga |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| back | 3MinA.3AugO-call name-Con 2 ninS-be,sit-Con |  |
|  | Lit. back you are naming them: You're blaming them. |  |

## 2-173 ngar djin-dakangurrungu <br> mouth 3II-hard, tight

Lit. she's a hard-mouth: she's hard, mean, "tightfisted".
As in these uses the body part term does not identify a specific part of a particular person's body, omission of a possessive construction which would specifically identify a possessor of the body part is not surprising.

The second situation mentioned where possessive marking is omitted involves adjectival modification of body part terms. In the full possessive construction, a body part can be modified by an adjective which describes one of its qualities, as in:

```
2-174 bama nji-djerre nji-rdorltorl
    head 2Min-Poss 2Min-bald
    Your head is bald (lit. your head you are bald).
```

Omitting the possessive marking from such a construction results in one which appears to equate the owner of the body part with that part, or to label ${ }^{30}$ the owner by their possession of that kind of head (etc). The following expression was used (by his father) to greet a child whose head had just been shaven, much as we might say, "Hey, baldy head':

## 2-175 bama nji-rdorltorl <br> head 2Min-bald <br> 'you baldy head'

Similarly, 2-176 bami ant-gu-warrbardja
head 3I-Nom-be white, bright
"white or grey-head"
is a common form of reference for old men. Similar constructions appear to have been lexicalised as the names for various species of plants and animals, although in some cases the noun and adjective occur in the opposite order to that found in off-the-cuff creations such as that above:

| 2-177 | guyu an-dirrka |
| :--- | :--- |
| nose 3I-sharp |  |
| "sharp nose" $=$ Turkey Bush (Calytrix exstipulata) |  |

2-178 bami an-mornóngi
head 3I-big
"big head" = King Brown snake

## 2-179 at-djapulmu-guyu <br> 3I-short-nose <br> "short nose" = Fork tailed Kite

[^38]
## 2-180 an-dirrka-bami <br> 3I-sharp-head <br> "sharp head" = Sulphur Crested Cockatoo

### 2.5.2. Kin Terminology.

The area of kin terminology in Gurr-goni, as in many Australian languages, is very rich and highly complex. There are several types of expression: address terms, referential terms based on nominals and referential terms based on verbs, dyadic terms, and triangular kin terms (also called "trirelational" (Laughren 1982) or "shared terms" (McConvell 1982). Most kinship categories are represented by totally different forms for each type of expression. All of these types of construction are still in use: many were recorded in texts, or heard in casual speech, and these provided the basis for elicitation sessions in which speakers of the older and younger ( $20 \mathrm{~s}-30 \mathrm{~s}$ ) generations participated. I thus have a rich picture of the kin terminology, but one which may still be incomplete. It may be the case, for instance, that dyadic terms do not exist for some kin categories (none were recorded for djongok (mother-in-law/son-in-law) or gakak (MoMo, woman's $\mathrm{DaCh})$ ); but it remains to be seen whether these are gaps in the system, or in the data.

A full analysis of this area of the language is beyond the scope of this study. Instead, I give here a brief description of the five types of expression referred to above. Not all of them are in fact possessive constructions (many of the triangular terms encode the relationship between three people in one morpheme), and some are partly verbal, and hence do not strictly belong in a chapter on nominal morphology. As they form a semantically coherent domain, however, they are included in the description here.

### 2.5.2.1. Address Terms.

The address terms are single, monomorphemic words (although several appear to be historical reduplications). Many of the terms are shared with neighbouring languages. I give here examples of the address terms for the kin relations used in exemplifying the more complicated referential kin expressions discussed below:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { gika } & \text { mother } \\
\text { ngalingi, rdoyrdoy } & \text { woman's (man's sister's) child } \\
\text { bapa } & \text { father } \\
\text { yirra } & \text { man's (woman's brother's) child } \\
\text { djapa } & \text { older sibling }
\end{array}
$$

| djali |
| :--- |
| gakak |
| mamam |
| maka |
| gengurdu |

younger sibling
mother's mother
mother's father
father's mother
father's father

### 2.5.2.2. The Nominal Referential Kin Construction.

The nominal kin possessive construction shares characteristics of both the general noun and body part set C possessive constructions. The kin nominal takes a pronominal prefix (nominal non-local) registering the person, number and/or class of its referent. It is preceded by a possessive adjective (see §3.1.4.3), where the pronominal stem identifies the possessor, and the pronominal prefix again crossreferences the possessed kin relation. Following the kin nominal is a possessive pronoun, referring again to the possessor. In the full construction, both possessor and possessed are thus doubly encoded. However, either the possessive adjective or the possessive pronoun, or both, are commonly omitted. In summary, the structure is:
(possessive adjective) kin noun (possessive pronoun)
[pron.prefix-pronoun] [pron.prefix-noun]
[POSSESSED-POSSESSOR] [POSSESSED-] [POSSESSOR]

For example,

| 2-181 | djin-ngayi-pu | djit-bobulu-pu |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 3II-1Min-Card | ngabu |  |
|  | 3II-back-pu | IMin+ Poss $_{C}$ |

lit. she-my she-parent to me, my mother.
The translation of "Are you my mother?" in the children's story is:

> 2-182 ngarrpu nji-ngayi-pu nji-bobulu-pu ngabu ya?
> you 2Min-lMin-Card 2Min-back-pu 1 Min $^{2}$ Poss $_{C} Q U$
> =biggest one, mother
lit., you you-my you-mother to me?
$\begin{array}{rlr}\text { 2-183 an-ngidjiyé-pu at-djarnurru-pu } & \begin{array}{c}\text { (ngutjuyu) }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{rlr}\text { 3I-3MinF-Card } & \text { 3I-neck-pu } & \text { 3MinF }+ \text { Poss }_{C}\end{array} \\ =W^{\prime} s\left(M^{\prime} \text { sZi's)child }\right. & \end{array}$
lit. he-her he-child to her: her son.

## 2-184 an-ngayi-pu at-djirrpungupu (ngabu) <br> 3I-1Min-Card 3I-father IMin+Poss $_{C}$ <br> lit. he-my he-father to me: my father.

In the following example, djit-djarnurrupu and djit-bobulupu are used alone, without any possessive marking. The passage describes shooting buffaloes, the 'daughter one' and the 'mother one':
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2-185 } & \text { Dji-na-ni } & \text { djapanji } & \text { dji-dji-a. } \\ & \text { 3MinA.3IIO-see-Pre } & \text { so many } & \text { 3II-stand-Pre }\end{array}\right]$.
(VI/102/26)
He saw so many of them standing (there). He shot one. She/they ran off, the daughter one fell, again he shot the mother one.

### 2.5.2.3. Verbal Referential Kin Expressions.

For many kin categories, there exists an expression in which the relationship is encoded by a verb or clause. Those categories for which I have recorded a verbal expression are mother/woman's children, father/ man's children, mother's mother/woman's daughter's children, mother's father/man's daughter's children / cross-cousins (mother's brother's children, father's sister's children), and siblings. All expressions, excepting one for siblings, involve transitive verbs. The assignment of syntactic function is fixed: it is always the member(s) of the senior generation which is the A , and the junior generation the O. By using the appropriate transitive prefixes, the relationship can be expressed from the point of view of either generation, as is shown in 2-186(a) and (b), and 2187(a) and (b) respectively, for the reciprocal relationships of mother and woman's child, and father and man's child ${ }^{31}$. Textual examples of the use of some of these verbal expressions are given in 2-191 and 2-192; 2192 shows that verbal and nominal terms can be combined in one NP, in

[^39]which the verb appears to have the function of a relative clause.

2-186a Mother: djin-ngayi-pu nguna-me-nji-pu=(ngutjuyu)
3II-1Min-Card 3MinA.1MinO-get-Pre-pu=3MinF+Poss $C_{C}$ Lit. she-my she got me (to/of her): my mother.

2-186b Woman's Children: an-ngayi-pu ngu-me-nji-pu
3I-1Min-Card 1MinA.3MinO-get-Pre-pu
Lit. he-my I got him: my son (said by a woman, or by a man of his sister's children).

2-187a Father: an-ngayi-pu ngunda-rrirrmi-rri-pu
3I-IMin-Card 3MinA.IMinO-hold back-Pre-pu
Lit. he-my he held me back: my father
2-187b Man's children: djin-ngayi-pu ngu-rrirrmi-rri-pu 3II-IMin-Card IMinA.3MinO-hold back-Pre-pu Lit. she-my I held her back: my daughter (said by a man, or by a woman of her brother's children).

2-188 Mother's Mother: wele-pu a-rremi-rri forehead-pu 3MinA.3IO-pound,hammer-Pre Lit. She pounded him forehead-pu: She is his grannie (mother's mother).

2-189 Mother's Father, etc: gondu-pu awurr-ba-yi-ni arm-pu 3AugS-Intr-Pre
Lit. They ate/bit each other arm-pu: They are all cousin to each other (mother's brother's and father's sister's children)

2-190 sibling awurr-ngayi-pu rdarrigiy njiwurr-dji-ø
3Aug-1Min-Card sibling lAugS-stand-Pre
Lit. They-my sibling we all stood, $=$ my siblings
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2-191 } & \text { Weleng } & \text { awurr-rruwdiyi-ni. } & \text { [Djin-niyé-pu rdarrigiy } \\ \text { then } & \text { 3AugS-cry-Pre } & \text { 3II-3MinNf-Card sibling } \\ \text { awurritj-dji- } \sigma \text { ] arrapu } & \text { [rdarrigiy awuni-dji- } \boldsymbol{l} \text { ] } \\ \text { 3UAfS-stand-Pre and } & \text { sibling } & \text { 3UAnfS-stand-Pre } \\ \text { arrapu } & \text { [djin-niyé-pu } & \text { a-me-nji-pu] } \\ \text { and } & \text { 3II-3MinNf-Card } & \text { 3MinA.3IO-get-Pre-pu } \\ \text { arrapu } & \text { [a-rrirrmi-rri-pu]. } \\ \text { and } & \text { 3MinA.3IO-hold back-Pre-pu }\end{array}$
Then they all cried. His sister (lit. she-his sibling they two feminine stood) and his brother (lit. sibling they two masculine stood) and his mother (lit. she-his she got him) and his father (lit. he held him).

## 2-192 ngirrepu njirrbu-marrpi-ni <br> [djit-bolupu

one,only 3nsA.InsO-wait/care for-Pre 3II-mother ngaytjburru-ø njirru-me-nji-pu] arrapu 1Aug-Possčunm 3MinA.1AugO-get-Pre-pu and [at-bolupu ngaytjburru-ø njirr-rrirrmi-rri] 3I-parent 1Aug-Possclunm 3MinA.1AugO-hold back-Pre The only ones who cared for us (kept us) were our mother and our father.
(Many of these kin terms consist of a body part noun or an inflected verb plus -pu. The function of -pu here could perhaps be that of specifying 'extreme degree of scope', as the scope of the noun or verb is extended from a literal to a metaphoric sense.)

### 2.5.2.4. "Triangular" kin terms.

Gurr-goni has a full set of what Evans (n.d.) and others (Heath 1982) have labelled "triangular" kin terms. These are largely monomorphemic nominal stems, most of which take a prefix identifying the class and/or number of third person referents. Expressed within this stem is the three-sided relationship of the speaker, addressee and referent: the form used depends on the (actual or classificatory) relationship between speaker and addressee, and that of the referent to both the speaker and the addressee. For example, in speaking to my father's father, I will use the form at-giwilak, with the meaning:
my father
your child (or brother's child, if the addressee is a woman) you are his father (or father's sister)

The same form is not appropriate in speaking to my father's mother (or his mother's brother), as this last factor has changed. The appropriate form here is na-rroyingu:
my father
your child (or sister's child, if the addressee is a man) you are his mother (or mother's brother)

I include some of the terms I would use in speaking about my father to:

| my mother: | ni-nengaba (= your spouse) |
| :--- | :--- |
| my siblings: | bapa (= father, dad) <br> my father's father: <br> at-giwilak |
| my father's mother: | na-rroyingu |
| my mother's father: | na-manji |
| my mother's mother: | djaburku |
| my mother-in-law: | at-golayku |

(The prefixes ni-, at-, and na- are all markers of Class I. At- is the form of the regular Gurr-goni cl. I, nominal non-local prefix an- before non-apical stops (see §2.2.1.11). Na-rroyingu and na-manji are borrowed from Kuninjku (the eastern dialect of Kunwinjku), complete with noun class prefixes, as are many of the other triangular terms. I have not yet identified the source of ni-nengaba (feminine djini-nengaba); ni- and djini- may be archaic Gurr-goni forms of the prefixes).

### 2.5.2.5. Dyadic Kin Terms.

To date, I have recorded the following dyadic terms, or terms which refer to a pair or group of people in a reciprocal kin relationship: -berrku: people who call each other galikali 'spouse', thus husband and wife, brothers- and sisters-in-law.
-yawgo (a pair), -yayawgo (a group of three or more): children and the people who call them ngalingi (/rdoyrdoy): their mother and her brothers and sisters.
-bego (a pair), -bebego (a group of three or more): children and the people who call them yirra: their father and his brothers and sisters -marmarduku: brothers and sisters.
-gengurduwu marmunga \{awurr\}-ne-rre, Father's Father+wu Father's Father \{3AugS\}-be,sit-Con : children and their grandfathers and grandfathers' brothers and sisters, whom they call mamam (mother's father('s sister)) and gengurdu (father's father('s sister)).

In addition, an intransitivised form of the verbal expression for cross-cousins is used for a group of people who are cross-cousins (mother's brother's children and father's sister's children) to each other:
gondu-pu awurr-ba-yi-ni, arm-pu 3Aug-eat-Intr-Pre : they are all cousins.

As noted above, no dyadic terms covering the relationship of people who call each other djongok (mother- and son-in-law), or of children and their grandmothers (whom they would call gakak (MoMo) and maka ( FaMo )), were recorded. Further research will confirm whether such expressions exist.

It is interesting to note that the dyadic terms conflate the relationships of father's and mother's father, which are elsewhere distinguished, and distinguish between the two possible referents of mamam: cross-cousins and mother's father/man's daughter's children.

These dyadic expressions can function as clausal arguments (as in the textual example in 2-193), and can also be used in addressing a group of people, and can be used predicatively, to state the relationship between two or more people.

| 2-193 | gu-garrapu | ngu-mukbi-ni |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

### 2.5.3. General Nouns.

The set of general nouns is large and open; loan words can freely enter this set. The possessive construction consists of a possessive adjective, of which the stem is a cardinal or unmarked pronoun, identifying the possessor, and the prefix agrees with the person, number and class of the possessed. The possessed noun is unmarked, and usually follows the possessive adjective. If an NP further identifies the possessor, it usually precedes the possessive adjective and possessed noun.

| (NP) | possessive adj | NP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pron.prefix-pronoun |  |
| POSSESSOR | POSSESSED-POSSESSOR | POSSESSED |

2-194 awurrinj-ngayi-pu awurrinj-mukupu muburrklerrtji 3UAf-1Min-Card 3UAf-two children
My two children (two girls, or boy and girl)

| 2-195 Jon Hart | an-niyé-pu | mutika |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | name | 3I-3MinNf-Card |
| Jon Hart's car. | car |  |

### 2.6. Derivational Morphology.

### 2.6.1. Deverbal Nominals.

Nominals can be derived from verbs by a regular productive process: a prefix -gu- (identical to one allomorph of the Cl.IV prefix) is added to the bare stem of the verb (that used in the imperative), and pronominal prefixes (sets Ni or Nii) are affixed to this derived stem. The resulting form can function syntactically as a noun (many derived nominals function as nouns with fixed reference to one entity) or as adjectives (occurring within an NP as head or modifier, or as primary predicate of a non-verbal clause). Semantically, this process derives agent and experiencer nominals, object nominals, instrument nominals, and action or state nominals. Examples are given below.

Agent nominal (functioning as the predicate of an equational clause):
2-196 Bapa Joey ant-gu-djarlapu
father " 3I-Nom-make,fix
Your father Joey is the one fixing it.
Experiencer nominal (also the predicate of an equational clause):
2-197 dji-garrapu sister djint-gu-werdaguma.
3II-Anaph " 3II-Nom-be frightened
That (nursing) sister is the frightened one.
Object nominal:
2-198 gut-gu-gurltja
3IV-Nom-vomit
One which is vomited: vomitus.
Instrument nominals:
2-199 at-gu-djapirdiyi
3I-Nom-sing
A Cl.I thing for singing with: clapsticks.

2-200 munt-gu-mewiyi
3III-Nom-fish with net
A Cl.III thing for fishing with: woven fish net (also spider's web).
Action and state nominals:
2-201 Romolo gut-gu-waligiyi

$\quad$ frog | gu-djeni-rri |
| :--- |
| Frog was looking for play. | 3MinA.3IVO-look for-Pre

2-202 gu-gu-warlpu ngu-bo-go.
Loc3IV-Nom-hunt 1MinS-go-Fut
I'll go hunting.

## 2-203 gu-gu-metja awurrinj-wa-yi-ø awurrinji-ne-rre <br> Loc3IV-Nom-cling 3UAfS-throw-Intr-Con 3UAfS-sit,be-Con

Lit. They two women are throwing each other out of the clinging one, clingingness (ie jealousy); they are jealous of each other.

### 2.6.2. Non-verbal Stem $\rightarrow$ Noun/Adjective.

There are a number of nominals which are derived from nonverbal stems (nouns, adjectives or adverbs) by a similar process to that just described: a prefix is attached to the non-verbal stem, and pronominal prefixes are then affixed to the derived stem. Three derivational prefixes appear: -gu-, -mu- and -bu-. None appears to be completely productive synchronically.
2.6.2.1. -gu- appears in the following derived stems:

| -gu-balpi | a stone country one (balpi Cl.IV 'stone') |
| :--- | :--- |
| -gu-bamura | an island one (bamura Cl.IV 'island') |
| -gu-djilpirr | a mud one (djilpirr Cl.IV 'mud') |
| -gu-wipa | a 'stay-at-home' (wipa Cl.IV 'home, country') |
| -gi-djidjirru | a daytime one (djidjirru Cl.IV 'daytime') <br> -gu-djaluwu <br> last one, one coming after (djaluwu ADV 'again, <br> coming behind') |
| -gu-burrkburrk | one with bad sickness (burrkburrk Cl.IV 'bad <br> sickness, flu') |
| -gu-gondu | a pond one (gu-góndu Cl.IV 'pond') |
| -gu-djuwórrwu | an outside one (gudjuwórrwu (ADV 'outside') |
| -gi-minabami | a water one, one in the water (gin-minabami, Cl.IV <br> 'fresh water') |

It thus appears to derive an adjective or noun describing someone or something associated with the referent of the original stem. This association can be permanent, indicating the environment in which a species is found, or a temporary one, as in the following example:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text { 2-204 } & \text { Ya, a-goni } & \text { an-marlpa } & \text { ant-gi-djidjirru } \\
\text { QU } & \text { 3I-this } & \text { 3I-shooting star } & \text { 3I-Der-daytime }
\end{array}
$$

(VIII/59/25)
What do you think, this shooting star is a daytime one!
A number of names of flora and fauna appear to be derived from nouns by means of this prefix -gu-. They are djint-gi-rrirri, Grevillea decurrens (cf. irrirri, Cl.IV, 'teeth'); djit-gu-golku, Blue-Winged Kookaburra (cf. golku, Cl.III/?IV 'paperbark'), at-gu-ngolurdu Redwinged parrot (cf. golurdu Cl.?, 'gumnuts'), git-gi-djel, a type of honey found at the base of a tree (djel Cl.IV, 'ground'), and gut-guwurrpu, honey found in mangroves (guwúrrpu Cl.IV 'mangroves').
2.6.2.2. The prefix -mu- similarly appears in several derived stems often used in describing the environment in which a person, animal or plant lives:
-mu-djodjurru a high place one, upcountry or inland one (djodjurru ADV 'high place');
-mu-djalawarritj a sea/saltwater one (djalawarritj Cl.IV/III 'sea')
-mu-gotjiluwu low country one, coast one (gotjiluwu ADV 'coast'?)

## 2-205 Mu-djalawarritj mu-yo-rri? E, mun-mu-djalawarritj. Loc3III-sea 3IIIS-lie-Con yes 3III-Der-sea,saltwater Does it live in the sea? Yes, it's a saltwater one. (V/81/4)

These can also be used as directional terms:
2-206 Gu-mu-djalawarritj m-bogi-ya, gu-mu-djodjurru
Loc3IV-Der-sea 3IIIS-go-Con Loc3IV-Der-high country
(Big planes) go over the sea or over the high country (ie, further
inland) (not over here).

The prefix -mu- appears in another noun, an-mú-burda, designating a witch doctor, one who has burdá 'power?, that which a witch doctor carries'.

Attached to adjectival stems, -mu- creates a superlative form of the adjective. This function is also not productive, as only six adjectival stems may take this prefix: -mu-mornóngi, 'biggest, -mudjurrkdjurrk 'greediest, "too greedy"', -mu-gapurla "too blind", -mu-garpi 'best at hunting, "too clever"', -mu-gerna 'maddest, "too mad"', and -mu-mornungu 'guiltiest, "too guilty"'. For example:

## 2-207 Awuni-mu-mornóngi yerrtji gi-yina-ga <br> 3UAnf-Der-big mob 3IVS-do thus-Con =earlier today <br> awuni-buki-ni, nguwurr-weku-n <br> 3UAnfS-fall,land-Pre $\quad 1+2 A u g S$-speak-Fut <br> gu-goni wipa ni-lorndo. <br> 3IV-this country,land 3MinNf-Dat

Two very big (=high up in government) people landed today, we'll all talk about this land.
(VII/75/15)
Some instances of -mu-involve a collective meaning; this is found in an-mu-ragalk 'all the murderers' (ragalk 'murderer') and an-mumatjirri 'all the dead people' (-matjirri does not exist independently, but compare matji 'ghost, dead person'), and also in the phrases mugami yerrtji Coll-woman mob 'all the women', mu-djungdjung yerrtji Coll-dog mob 'all the dogs'.

## 2-208 Mu-gami yerrtji burdak borr-gugu awurr-bogi-ni Coll-woman mob wait 3Aug-first 3AugS-go-Pre niyi awurr-nguna-djeki-rdi ngaytjburru-narrapu alright 3AugS-twds-return-Fut $\quad 1+2 A u g-n e x t$ All the women have gone first, when they come back, we'll all go next. <br> (VI/111/21)

As with -gu-, the stress pattern of a number of nouns suggests an etymology involving -mu- as a derivational prefix; these are: an-mudjétjini 'pelican'; an-mi-bírdin, pigeon sp.; an-mu-rrárdi, probably the chequered rainbow fish.
2.6.2.3. The prefix -bu- also has a collective meaning in two of the forms in which it appears, at-bu-burrkarl 'all the children' and at-bubambay 'all the old people':

| 2-209 Wulek awurr-garrapu | at-bu-burrkarl awurr-djarti |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| finish 3Aug-Anaph | 3I-Der-child 3AugS-hurry |
| awurr-djidjigi-yi-ø |  |
| 3AugS-hide-Intr-Con |  |
| Then all the children rush off and hide. |  |
| (V/54/16) |  |

This is not found however in the third derived adjective in which -bu- is found, -bu-guyuguyu 'the first one' (guyuguyu ADV 'ahead, first'):

## 2-210 Gu-bu-guyuguyu ganditjawa galu, balanda galu. Loc3IV-Der-ahead,first flour Neg white man Neg <br> In the beginning, there was no flour, no white people. ()

(Note that -bu- and -gu- are not interchangeable in -bu-guyuguyu 'first one' and -gu-djaluwu 'last one').

A derivational prefix -bu- may perhaps occur in at-bulóligiyin, the straight stick used in building shades and the traditional bark shelter, where the stress pattern suggests a root *loligiyin (not, however, found independently).
-mu- and -gu- are identical in form to the Cl.III and IV noun class prefixes, and may derive from them historically; -bu- may perhaps be related to -bu- (3rd person) non-singular in the pronominal prefixes (see §4.3.1.3.2), but with so few instances of -mu- and -bu- as derivational prefixes it is hard to determine if there is any semantic difference between the three forms.

### 2.6.3. Addition of a Pronominal Prefix.

The words listed above require the addition of a derivational prefix to create a stem which can take sets Ni and Nii pronominal prefixes. In other cases, an adjective or noun has been derived from a non-verbal stem by the addition of a pronominal prefix directly to this stem. This is the process by which possessive adjectives are created from direct case pronouns (see §3.1.4.3). Other words in which direct affixation is found are djit-gami 'female' (gami Cl.II 'woman'), anworri and ant-gudjurdu 'male' (worri 'man', gudjurdu 'penis'), \{at-\}gurdól 'rotten' (gurdól 'pus'), at-balpi 'grinding stones, (a Cl.I kind of stone)' (balpi Cl.IV 'stone'), mit-djalmirri 'dugout canoe, (a Cl.III kind of tree)' (djalmirri Cl.IV 'unfashioned tree, stick'). This
process also does not appear to be productive.

Chapter 3: Pronouns. Demonstratives and Indeterminates.
3.1. Pronouns.

Pronouns constitute a small closed class of words, which encode categories of person, number, noun class (or gender) and case. The distinctions made within each of these categories are discussed in the sections following, and the forms of the pronouns are then presented and analysed in §3.1.4.

### 3.1.1. Person and Number Categories.

Within the system of independent personal pronouns, three number categories are distinguished: Minimal (Min), Unit-Augmented (UA) and Augmented (Aug). There are four distinctions in the category of person, and these I term 1st, 2nd, 3rd and $1+2$ person. These person and number categories were first named thus for Rembarrnga by McKay (1975), building on Conklin's (1967:135) suggestion of a minimal vs nonminimal opposition, and subsequently used in describing Ndjébbana (McKay 1978 and forthcoming), Nakkára (Eather 1990), and Burarra (Green 1987) (and also the Kimberley language Nyigina (Stokes 1982)). Glasgow (1964a) describes the same categories in Burarra under different terminology.

1st person is equivalent to the traditional category of 1st person exclusive (speaker, but not addressee), 2nd and 3rd persons refer to addressee and other respectively, and $1+2$ person comprises at least the speaker and one addressee (the traditional '1st person inclusive' category). The Minimal category is the minimum number of people each person category can refer to: one for each of 1 st, 2nd and 3rd persons, and two for $1+2$ person. Unit-augmented is this minimum number plus one (so two people for 1 st, 2 nd and 3 rd persons, and three for $1+2$ person), and Augmented comprises the minimum number plus two or more.

These three number categories are also distinguished in the inflectional system of intransitive and transitive pronominal prefixes. In the transitive set, a further distinction is made, one between singular and nonsingular, which intersects with the three categories just described. See §4.3.1.3.1 for discussion.

### 3.1.2. Gender in the Personal Pronouns.

As described above in $\$ 2.2 .5$, there are four controller noun classes in Gurr-goni, but only two target noun classes or genders are distinguished in the 3rd person minimal free pronouns, and in all unitaugmented pronominals (free and bound).

The 3rd person minimal, Class II pronoun is used only with members of controller Class II, while the Class I pronoun is used for reference to and agreement with members of controller classes I, III and IV, and to a limited extent with Cl .II also. (See §2.2.5.1 for examples.)

Choice of gender in unit-augmented number, described and illustrated in $\S 2.2 .6$, is summarised in the statements repeated as $3-\mathrm{a}$ :
3-a In 3rd person: if at least one conjunct is female, then the feminine form (Cl.II) is used; otherwise the masculine form (Cl.I) is used; or, stated the other way around, if all conjuncts are male, then the masculine form (Cl.I) is used; otherwise the feminine form (Cl.II) is used.
In other persons, the sex of the 'other', the augment, determines the gender:
-if the 'other' is male, the masculine form (Cl.I) is used;
-if the 'other' is female, the feminine form (Cl.II) is used.

### 3.1.3. Case.

The pronouns are the only word class in which the Direct, Dative and Possessive ${ }_{C}$ cases are distinguished; this is achieved through the use of case suffixes, as well as limited stem suppletion. The grammatical functions of the cases were discussed in detail above ( $\S \S 2.3 .1-2.3 .3$ ). Direct case is used for NPs in the core functions A (transitive subject), O (transitive object), and $S$ (intransitive subject), as well as for extra objects (see $\S 5.2 .3 .1$ below), nominal predicates, topics, and as a vocative. Direct case pronouns also take suffixes which signal participant or discourse status. Dative case designates a range of semantic roles not indexed on the verb, including beneficiary, maleficiary, addressee, human goal, etc. Possessive $_{\mathrm{C}}$ case indicates the possessor of a certain set of nouns (see $\S \S 2.3 .3$ and 2.4.1.3), and may also be used for many of the semantic roles covered by the dative case. The dative case covers the functions of the Local or Ablative cases for personal pronouns. Comitative case is expressed by the adposition waypu 'with' in conjunction with a direct case pronoun.

### 3.1.4. The Free Pronouns.

The free pronouns are displayed in Table 3.1 below.

|  | Minimal | UA | Augmented |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ngayi (-PROM) <br> ngarritj-PROM ~ ngarr-PROM* | \{nga-CLASS-yu (-PROM) | $\}\{$ nga-ytjbu-rru (-PROM) |
| 2 | ngarr-PROM | nugó-CLASS-yu (-PROM) | nugó-ytjbu-rru (-PROM) |
| 3 | nf niyé-PROM f ngidjiyé-PROM | bo-CLASS-yu (-PROM) | bo-rr(o)-PROM |
|  |  | DIRECT CASE |  |
|  | ngabu ngarrku | $\{$ nga-CLASS-yu $\}$ | $\{$ nga-ytjbu-rru $\}$ |
| 2 | nguku | nugó-CLASS-yu | nugó-ytjbu-rru |
| 3 | nf nuyu | bo-CLASS-yu | bo-rro |
|  | f ngutjuyu |  |  |
|  |  | POSSESSIVE CASE |  |
|  | ngapala | \{nga-CLASS-lorndo $\}$ | \{ nga-ytjbu-lorndo $\}$ |
| 2 | nguku-lorndo | nugó-CLASS-lorndo | nugó-ytjbu-lorndo |
| 3 | nf ni-lorndo | bo-CLASS-lorndo | bo-rr(o)-lorndo |
|  | f ngutju-lorndo |  |  |
|  |  | DATIVE CASE |  |

PROMinence: -pu 'Cardinal', -na 'Emphatic', -gugu 'First', -narrapu 'next' (see §3.1.4.2.1-4)
CLASS: non-feminine -ti-, feminine -rritji
*ngarritj- co-occurs with prominence suffixes -pu 'cardinal' and -barrapu, an allomorph of -narrapu 'next'; ngarr- co-occurs with prominence suffixes -na 'emphatic' and -gugu 'first'.
Table 3.1: Free Pronouns: Direct, Possessive and Dative Case Forms.

### 3.1.4.1. Analysis of Forms.

The Gurr-goni pronouns vary in the degree to which they are susceptible to a morphemic analysis. Pronouns in Unit-Augmented number are easily segmentable into morphemes which occur in a fixed and regular order. Augmented number pronouns are fairly regular in their formation. Morphemes identified in these non-minimal number categories can also be found in the minimal number pronouns, but such an analysis leads to the isolation of a number of segments which are not found elsewhere.

In Unit-Augmented number, all forms can be analysed as having a person/number stem, followed by a maximum of three suffixes. (These are shown in Table 3.2 below.) In the first suffix position, immediately to
the right of the person/number stem, is a class (or gender) prefix, signalling whether the pronoun has feminine or non-feminine reference. Following this is a slot which contains what are probably to be analysed as case suffixes: -yu-Direct/Possessive, -lorndo Dative. In third order position, farthest from the stem, are the prominence suffixes which optionally attach to pronouns in direct case. The same sequence of morphemes is found in the augmented number pronouns in 1st, 2nd and $1+2$ persons: the same person/number stems found in UA number are here followed by a segment -ytjbu-, which can be analysed as encoding augmented number for other than third person, and then by case suffixes -rru- Direct/Possessive and (as in UA number) -lorndo Dative. In 3rd person augmented, in all cases, the person/number stem bo- is followed by a number affix -rro-. In possessive case, no further case affix appears. In direct case, a prominence suffix is obligatory; it attaches directly to this stem bo-rro. A zero suffix $-\varnothing$ is therefore shown for 3 rd person augmented, direct and possessive cases in the case/number slot in the table below. ${ }^{1}$

| Pers/Number <br> (non-minimal) | Number + Class or person | Case/Number |  | Prominence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1+2 nga- | UA Class nf -ti- | Dir/Poss UA | -yu- | unmarked | -ø |
| 2 nugó- | f -rritji- | Dir/Poss | -rru- | Cardinal | -pu |
|  |  | AUG non 3 |  | Emphatic | -na |
| 3 bo- | Aug non 3 -ytjbu- | Dir/Poss | -ф | First | -gugu |
|  |  | AUG 3 |  |  |  |
|  | Aug 3 -rr(o)- | Dative | -lorndo | Next | -narrapu |

Table 3.2. Morphemic analysis of the non-minimal free pronouns.
It is possible to analyse the minimal number pronouns into a sequence of three morphemes (or in some cases even four), but this method of analysis is not particularly satisfying, as it results in a number of otherwise isolated segments. However, a number of segments can be identified here which recur in the other pronouns and in other parts of the morphology. The prominence suffixes are obligatorily affixed to direct case pronouns in minimal number (with the single exception of 1st person minimal, which may occur with no overt suffix in direct case). The dative suffix -lorndo occurs in 2 nd and 3rd persons; in 1st and $1+2$ persons, comparison of the dative and possessive forms suggests that -la ~

[^40]-lu encodes dative case here. As far as morphemes encoding person are concerned, the same initial CV- sequence nga- appears in 1 st and $1+2$ persons minimal as well as non-minimal numbers. In 3rd person, comparison of the non-feminine and feminine forms isolates the class allomorphs ni- $\sim \mathbf{n u}$ - nonfeminine and ngidji- $\sim$ ngutju- feminine, leaving a segment $-\mathbf{y e ́}-\sim-\mathbf{y i} \mathbf{-}^{2} \sim-\mathbf{y} \mathbf{u}$ - in direct and possessive cases (perhaps historically related to the suffix -yu-found in UA number in the same cases). The form nu- also occurs as the Cl.I local case prefix (set Nii pronominal prefixes), and ni- appears in ninjokoni 'who' (<*ni-nji a-goni, see §2.2.1.4); but ngidji- and ngutju- do not occur elsewhere.

### 3.1.4.2. Function of the Free Pronouns.

3.1.4.2.1. Direct Case Pronouns.

As described in §2.3.1, the direct case covers the core functions of transitive subject (A) and object (O), and intransitive subject (S). These core arguments are obligatorily indexed on most predicates (all verbs, and adjectives and some nouns functioning as primary predicates) through pronominal prefixes. Use of free pronouns is therefore not obligatory, and in describing their function it is revealing to consider the discourse status of their referents. In order to do this, I have carried out a simple survey like that done by Heath (1984:254-257) for Nunggubuyu (another prefixing non-Pama-Nyungan language spoken in south-eastern Arnhem Land, on the coast of the Gulf of Carpentaria). 9 Gurr-goni texts ${ }^{3}$ were examined, giving a total of 1,245 clauses. Free pronouns occurred in only 95 (or $7.6 \%$ ) of the total number of clauses ${ }^{4}$. The 95 free pronouns which appeared were coded on several criteria: (1) whether the pronoun occurred in the clause which introduced the referent to the text (a NEW mention), or whether the referent was GIVEN (previously mentioned, or present in the context of the speech situation ${ }^{5}$ ); (2) for GIVEN referents, whether they occurred in a core function in the previous clause (SAME) or not (DIFFERENT); and (3) according to grammatical function. The results are presented in Table 3.3 below, where $n$ is the actual number of occurrences, and $\%$ the percentage over the total.

[^41]New Given Total

| -pu |  | -ø |  | -na |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% |
| 6 | 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7.4 |
| 61 | 64.2 | 22 | 23 | 5 | 5.3 | 88 | 92.6 |
| 67 | 70.5 | 22 | 23 | 6 | 6.3 | 95 | 100 |

Different Same Total

| 41 | 46.6 | 18 | 20.5 | 4 | 4.5 | 63 | 71.6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20 | 22.7 | 4 | 4.5 | 1 | 1.1 | 25 | 28.4 |
| 61 | 69.3 | 22 | 25 | 5 | 5.7 | 88 | 100 |

Table 3.3: Number of Direct Case Pronouns (by prominence suffix) used for first and subsequent mention of participants, and in cases of change of core arguments between clauses.

|  | -pu |  | -ø |  | -na |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% |
| A | 14 | 14.7 | 3 | 3.1 | 3 | 3.1 | 20 | 21 |
| S | 37 | 38.9 | 16 | 16.8 | 3 | 3.1 | 56 | 58.9 |
| O | 10 | 10.5 | 2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12.6 |
| XO | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Voc | 2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2.1 |
| Topic | 2 | 2.1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.1 |
| ? | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 67 | 70.4 | 22 | 23.1 | 6 | 6.3 | 95 | 100 |

Table 3.4: Grammatical Function of Direct Case Pronouns.
It is clear from these figures that although direct case pronouns can be used to introduce a new participant, they "are most common in connection with referents which have already been introduced into the text" (the Given figures above), as Heath (ibid:255) found for nominative and contrastive pronouns in Nunggubuyu, and they "typically occur in clauses ... where there is a clear referential contrast between the referent of the pronoun and some other referent in the preceding clause"; see the Different versus Same figures above. As Heath concludes, "retrospective contrast is the predominant function of these forms" (ibid:256). The following example illustrates these tendencies.

This example, 3-1, shows the opening paragraphs of a text telling how the northern quoll and nightjar determined that we humans should not return after death, while the moon argued that we should return as he does. First the moon and then the northern quoll are introduced, by full

NPs (underlined singly), within the first three clauses. In the fourth clause, the narrator focusses first on the moon, and what he desires to have happen, ending with "Yes, moon said this.": ("Ee, a-yiti-nji ngolkwarr."). The narrator then returns to the northern quoll, and marks this change of focus by using a free, direct case pronoun in the NP: niyé-pu bobulu at-bararrr, 'he northern quoll' (doubly underlined in the example). Then up gets the moon and has his say again: "niyé-pu ngolkwarr a-dji-ø a-yiti-nji", 'he moon stood, he said..', and again the change in focus is marked by the use of a free pronoun (also doubly underlined).

## 3-1 Ngu-weku-n ngolkwarr. <br> 1MinS-speak-Fut moon <br> I will speak about Moon. <br> Like njiwurr-mukdji-nji njiwurr-ni-ø, " lAugS-die-Pre IAugS-be,sit-Pre

Like we were dying, njiwurr-worduwurdu njirr-wa-rri
1Aug-spirit 3MinA.1AugO-throw-Pre he threw our spirits
a-njatbu a-goni bobulu at-bararr, 3I-whatsit 3I-this northern quoll what's his name, this northern quoll,

| like ngolkwarr | gu-djarlapi-ni | a-weki-ni, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $=$ | moon | 3MinA.3IVO-make-Pre |
|  | 3IS-speak-Pre |  |

like moon made it, he said, njiwurr-beki-yi+rni, njiwurr-mukdji-gi+rni, 1AugS-come out-Irr1 IAugS-die-Irr1 we would die, we would come out, njiwurr-beki-yi+rni djapu golborr m-beki-ni, lAugS-come out-Irrl like grass 3IIIS-come out-Pre we would come out like grass came out,
djapu mu-garrapu nuyu njiwu-bu-ndi+rni, like 3III-Anaph 3MinNf+Poss ${ }_{C}$ IAugA.3MinO-hit-Irr1 like that we would have hit it (?),
njiwurr-beki-yi+rni njiwurr-djartibiyi-ø+rni.
1AugS-come out-Irrl lAugS-hurry-Irrl we would have hurried out.
"Ya, ma," ngolkwarr a-yiti-nji, 'ya, QU OK moon 3I-do,say thus-Pre QU "What will it be, OK," moon said, "what will it be, galu, galu awurr-mukdja-ø awurr-boy-ø, no NEG 3AugS-die-Irr2 3AugS-go-Irr2
no, they won't die and go
galu, awurr-mukdji-n, awurr-beku-n, no 3AugS-die-Fut 3AugS-come out-Fut
no, they will die, they will come out,
awurr-beku-n, awurr-bo-go, gudjuwórrwu, wipa. 3AugS-come out-Fut 3AugS-go-Fut outside camp they will go out in the open at the camp.
E," a-yiti-nji ngolkwarr.
yes 3IS-do,say thus-Pre moon
Yes, said moon.
Rrapu niyé-pu bobulu at-bararr a-yiti-nji, and 3MinNf-Card northern quoll 3IS-do,say thus-Pre And the northern quoll said,
ya, djerritet a-yiti-nji, "galu awurr-goni QU nightjar 3IS-do,say thus-Pre no 3Aug-this ay, nightjar said, "no, these ones
awurr-mukdji-n, awurr-mukdji-n wulungmunguy,
3AugS-die-Fut 3AugS-die-Fut forever
will die, they'll die forever,
awurr-bo-go, galu djaluwu awurr-be-tji,"
3AugS-go-Fut NEG again 3AugS-come out-Irr2
they'll go, they won't come out again,"
djerritet a-yiti-nji a-ni-ø.
nightjar 3IS-do,say thus-Pre 3IS-be,sit-Pre nightjar was saying.
Arrapu niyé-pu ngolkwarr a-dji-ø
And 3MinNf-Card moon 3IS-stand-Pre
And the moon stood and said,
a-yiti-nji "Galu, awurr-beku-n,.....
3IS-do,say thus-Pre no 3AugS-come out-Fut
"No, they'll come out..."
(VIII/29-31)
3-2 and 3-3 give further examples of pronouns marking a change in subject from the previous clause. In 3-2 the change is from 'those (people) who speak Kuninjku' to 'we (Gurr-goni people); while in 3-3,
the narrator switches from the first person augmented subject of most of the text ("I and others") to focus on the others and herself as separate units, using free pronouns to highlight this change of perspective.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3-2 Gi-njatbu gu-goni awurr-yiti-ga } \\
& \text { 3IV-whatsit 3IV-this 3AugS-do,say thus-Con } \\
& \text { awurr-workiyi-ø Kuninjku "Mankotpe ka-yo" } \\
& \text { 3AugS-do always-Con lang. name ??plant 3Min-lie +? } \\
& \text { = place name } \\
& \text { but ngaytjburru-ø njiwurr-yiti-ga } \\
& \text { njiwurr-workiyi-ø "Bart a-dje-rre-pu". } \\
& \text { 1AugS-do always-Con ? 3IS-stand-Con-pu } \\
& \text { = place name } \\
& \text { Whatsit, this place they always say (=call) in Kuninjku "Mankotpe } \\
& \text { ka-yo", but we always say "Bart a-djerrepu". (XI/51/26) } \\
& \text { ngayi-pu ngu-bamiyi-ø. } \\
& \text { 1Min-Card 1MinS-carry-Con } \\
& \text { (V/140/9-13). } \\
& \text { Then we carried (it), they carried (it), I carried (it). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Free pronouns are also used to emphasize or clarify the identity of a referent which is the same as in previous clauses; this is the function of the first direct case pronoun (doubly underlined) in 3-4 to 3-6, while subsequent pronouns (singly underlined) again mark a change in subject.

## 3-4 Numórrumu a-garlma-nay gu-garrapu a-dji- $\varnothing$ place name 3IS-get up-Pre 3IV-Anaph 3IS-stand-Pre a-ma-nay, wulek Numórrumu a-weki-ni, 3IS-go along-Pre finish place name 3IS-speak-Pre niyé-pu arrawun, "Galu! Gu-goni wowunupu 3MinNf-Card name of dreaming No! 3IV-this together arr-yu-ngu. Galu, ngarr-pu "gun-arpurr" 1+2MinS-lie-Fut No 2Min-Card 3IV-small nji-weki-ya Gurr-goni, la ${ }^{6}$ ngayi-g ngu-bo-go 2MinS-speak-Con lang. name and 1Min-unm 1MinS-go-Fut yi-gabi "kun-kadjiwalakwut" ngu-weki-ya." away-there 3IV-small IMinS-speak-Con

 (XI/63,64)At Numorrumu he (the dreaming) got up, that's where he went on standing, so then at Numorrumu he spoke, the arrawun (dreaming), "No! We will lie together at this place. No, you say "gun-arpurr"('small') in Gurr-goni, and $\underline{I}$ will go over there, I say "kun-katjiwalakwut" ('small' in a dialect of Kuninjku)."


She said to us, "Let's go, let's go you showing me." They got a car, mother and them, father, the children, they got a car and went, they went showing her, $\underline{I}$ stayed at home.

[^42]
# 3-6 Njina-bupiyi-ni njina-djarti ngu-babirrwi-rri, lUAnf-go down-Pre 1UAnf-hurry 1MinA.3MinO-know place-Pre <br> bart ngu-me-nji. "Lay, ngu-na-tji <br> ? $\quad 1 \mathrm{MinA} .3 \mathrm{MinO}$-get-Pre hey 1 MinA .3 MinO -see-Con <br> ngu-ne-rre, guwa arr-bamiyi-n." <br> lMinS-be-Con come here $1+2$ MinS-carry on head-Fut <br> Ngalmu a-djerre ngalmu a-djerre ngu-rrumi-rri, leg 3I-Poss leg 3I-Poss IMinA.3MinO-break-Pre <br> ngu-me-nji ngu-bamiyi-ni <br> 1MinA.3MinO-get-Pre 1MinS-carry on head-Pre <br> niyé-pu a-garrapu an-arpurr nganaparru. <br> 3MinNf-Card 3I-Anaph 3I-little buffalo 

(VI/11)
We hurried down (where) I knew the place, and I got him. "Hey, I'm looking at him, come here and we'll carry him." I broke both his hind legs, I got him and carried him, that little buffalo.

Pronouns are also sometimes used in clauses which, except for the pronoun, are identical to the preceding clause. In such cases, too, the pronoun appears to serve to reinforce and highlight the change from one participant to another. 3-7 shows an example of such a use of the 3rd person minimal non-feminine pronoun niyé-pu (doubly underlined), as well as pronouns marking change of subject (underlined).

| gi | i-dji-ø ngatiyu-ø |
| :---: | :---: |
| tea IMinA.3MinO-cook-Pre 31V | 3IVS-stand-Pre 1UAnf-Poss ${ }_{C}$ |
| njina-ba-rri | njina-bo-gini wulek |
| IUAnfA.3MinO-consume-Pre | 1UAnfS-go-Pre finish |
| a-weki-ni, niyé-pu | a-weki-ni, "Nganaparru |
| 3IS-speak-Pre 3MinNf-Card | 3IS-speak-Pre buffalo |
| bapa njina-da-ni | ngatiyu-ø, |
| father 1UAnfA.3MinO-shoot-P | Pre lUAnf-Possdunm |
| nugóytjburru-pu, gika | ngarr-pu nji-bo-go |
| 2Aug-Card mother | 2Min-Card 2Min-go-Fut |
| mutika rowd nji-ni-ngu.. |  |
| car road 2MinS-sit-Fut |  |

(IV/14-15)
I cooked tea, it stood there for us two (males), we were drinking, then he said, he said, "Dad and I shot a buffalo for ourselves (or Dad and I, we two shot a buffalo), you all, Mum, you go and sit
by the road (to watch for a car)."
Direct case pronouns then are used primarily to focus on a previously introduced participant, often contrasting this participant with another. This is the primary function of all direct case pronouns. This focus or contrast can be further specified by the use of one of the prominence suffixes -pu, -na, -gugu and -narrapu. In the following sections I will look at the meanings of the four suffixes.

### 3.1.4.2.1.1.:-pu 'Cardinal' and - 'unmarked'.

By far the most frequent suffix on direct case pronouns in the texts is -pu, glossed 'cardinal' on the basis of its frequency, although its function is not always clear. As I noted at §1.4.2, -pu appears to function on augmented number pronouns to specify 'extreme degree of scope', 'all' the pronominal referents, while the unmarked forms indicate 'some' or 'a few'. However, its function with minimal and UA number pronominals is obscure. Analysing textual occurrences has revealed little about its function: the tables above suggest that -pu is more frequently used than - $\varnothing$, but in 41 of the 67 instances of pronouns with -pu, the person/number category represented was one where a prominence sufix is obligatory, and $-\varnothing$ is not a grammatical option. Where a choice between $-\varnothing$ and an overt suffix was possible, -pu was used in 27 instances, $-\varnothing$ in 22 instances, and -na in 6 instances. -pu and - $\varnothing$ thus occur with roughly comparable frequency, and are spread fairly equally across grammatical functions (of the 27 -pu pronouns where the choice of - $\varnothing$ was available, 5 ( $18.5 \%$ ) occurred in A function, 16 (59\%) in S function and 6 ( $22 \%$ ) in O function; the corresponding figures for $-\varnothing$ from the table above are A 3 ( $13.6 \%$ ), S 16 ( $72.7 \%$ ), O $2(9 \%)$ and Topic $1(1 \%)$ ).
$1+2$ person minimal form: there is no $1+2 \mathrm{Min}$ form with a zero suffix. The -pu form is ngarritj-pu (ngarritj-bu in the orthography).

### 3.1.4.2.1.2. -na 'Emphatic'.

Informants often translated pronouns with the suffix -na using the English forms 'myself, yourself', etc. It is clearly not the reflexive function of these forms that they were intending, as this function is filled in Gurr-goni by a verbal suffix; but the emphatic use of these pronominal forms in English corresponds well with the use of -na direct case pronouns. They are used far less frequently than the alternative forms with suffixes -pu or - $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, and seem to place a stronger focus on the participant they refer to, or contrast it more strongly with other participants, often singling it out from a larger group.

Example 3-8 is drawn from the same text as 3-3 above, and occurs several paragraphs before it. In this example, the narrator is describing her own actions as distinct from those of her companions for the first time, and in doing so she identifies them, and herself, using -n a pronouns. When, several paragraphs later, in the example given in 3-3, she again focusses on herself as an individual, acting with a group of other people, she uses -pu pronouns - the second time this comparison is made, the focus on the participants is not as strong.

## 3-8

Njiwu-me-ka
1AugA.3MinO-get-Con
njiwurr-bogi-ya,
1AugS-go-Con
awu-me-ka
borr-na,
3AugA.3IO-get-Con 3Aug-Emph
ngayi-na ngu-me-ka.
1Min-Emph 1MinA.3MinO-get-Con
We went getting it (shellfish), those ones, they got it, I myself got it.
(V/139/9-13)
Examples 3-9 and 3-10 also illustrate the use of emphatic pronouns in individually specifying participants in a group.

3-9 Njiwurr-garlma-ø njiwurr-ma-ma
lAugS-get up-Con lAugS-go along-Con
njiwurr-yurrburridji-ga. Ngayi-pu wulek
1AugS-run-Con
ng-ga-tji ngutjuyu ngu-ne-rre
1MinA.3MinO-take-Pre/Con 3MinF + Poss $_{C}$ 1MinS-be,sit-Con
=took off running
ngu-djarti-pu ngu-ne-rre ngu-warrtji-ga,
1MinS-hurry-imm 1MinS-be,sit-Con 1MinS-climb-Con
Leila Lynn borritjiyi-na ngardupu
name name 3UAf-Emph alone
awurrinj-yurrburridji-ga.
3UAfS-run-Con
(III/105/1)
We all set off running. I just took off, I hurried up, Leila and Lynn, those two were running by themselves.

| 3-10 | Njiwu-djinji-nga ngalngi di arrapu |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 1AugA.3MinO-cook-Con turtle tea and |
|  | bararr. Ngidjiyé-na maka djit-burrpu |
|  | kurrajong (seeds) 3MinF-Emph FaMo 3II-guts |
|  | dji-warrka-nga njiwurr-ne-rre. |
|  | 3MinA.3IIO-pull out-Con 1AugS-be,sit-Con |
|  | We all cooked turtle, tea and kurrajong seeds. We all sat while grandma herself gutted the turtle. <br> (III/64/23) |

The $1+2$ person minimal form is ngarr-na, which is identical to the 2 nd person form.

### 3.1.4.2.1.3. -gugu 'First'.

This suffix, added to the direct case pronoun, indicates that the person referred to has performed an action 'first': before another participant.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 3-11 } & \text { ngarr-gugu } \\
& \text { 2Min-First } \\
& \text { You started it (to a child fighting). }
\end{array}
$$

## 3-12 Borr-gugu awurr-bogi-ya

3Aug-First 3AugS-go-Con
burr-bobulupu burr-djarnurrupu,
Comit-biggest one, mother Comit-W's,M'sZi's Ch
mandunuyu burr-djurr-gaki-dji
crocodile 3MinA.3AugO-behind-follow-Pre/Con
a-na-ma-ma burr-ba-nga.
3MinS-twds-go along-Con 3MinA.3AugO-eat-Con
They went first, mother (duck) and baby (ducklings), the
crocodile followed after them and ate them.
(VI/111/16)
Example 3-13 below also contains an example of a pronoun with the suffix -gugu.

The $1+2$ person form here is ngarr-gugu (again, identical to the 2 nd person Minimal form).

### 3.1.4.2.1.4. -narrapu 'Next'.

The suffix -narrapu was often translated '(my, your, his, etc) turn'; I have glossed it 'Next' as it always means a turn after someone else: someone goes first, then my turn comes next. Example 3-14 below shows a direct case pronoun affixed with -narrapu in O function, and one in $S$ function; in example 3-13 it is in $S$ function.
The $1+2$ person form is ngarritj-barrapu, instead of the expected *ngarritj-narrapu.

| 3-13 | Mu-gami | yerrtji | burdak borr-gugu awurr-bogi-ni |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Coll-woman | mob | wait | 3Aug-First | 3AugS-go-Pre |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { niyi } & \text { awurr-nguna-djeki-rdi, } \\
\text { alright } & \text { ngaytjburru-narrapu } \\
I+2 A u g-\text { Next }
\end{array}
$$ The women have gone first, later they'll come back, (then it's) our turn.

(VI/111/23)

| 3-14 | Ngu-bamiyi-ni-: niye-narrapu | ngu-wu-ni <br> lMinS-carry-Pre-X | 3MinNf-Next lMinA.3MinO-give-Pre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | a-bamiyi-ni. | A-bamiyi-ni-: |  |
|  | 3MinS-carry-Pre | 3MinS-carry-Pre-X |  |

arrapu ngayi-narrapu ngu-bamiyi-ni, and 1Min-Next IMinS-carry-Pre

> njina-bamiyi-ni njina-gorrma-nay.
> IUAnfS-carry-Pre IUAnfA.3MinO-put down-Pre

I carried it on and on, I gave it to him next, he carried it. He carried it on and on, and I in my turn carried it, we two men carried it, we put it down.
(VI/12/1)

### 3.1.4.2.2. Dative and Possessive ${ }_{Q}$ Pronouns.

The dative case is used to designate a wide range of semantic roles which are not indexed on the verb; the possessive ${ }_{C}$ case has similar uses (it also marks possession of a set of nouns including body part and kin terms, but this function is not considered here). These functions were discussed and illustrated in $\S \S 2.4 .2$. and 2.4 .3 respectively.

Like direct case pronouns, dative and oblique pronouns are used primarily for referents whose identity has already been established. Table 3.5 below displays the results of a survey of dative and possessive ${ }_{C}$ pronouns in the 9 texts examined above for the use of direct case
pronouns. To some extent also dative pronouns are used more for referents not mentioned in the previous clause than for those which are, although this difference is not as marked as with Direct case pronouns.

|  | Dative |  | $\mathrm{Poss}_{\mathrm{C}}$ |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% |
| New | 1 | 1.4 | 1 | 1.4 | 2 | 2.9 |
| Given | 47 | 67.2 | 21 | 30 | 68 | 97.1 |
| Total | 48 | 68.6 | 22 | 31.4 | 70 | 100 |
| In previous clause | 20 | 29.4 | 15 | 22.1 | 35 | 51.5 |
| Not in previous clause | 27 | 39.7 | 6 | 8.8 | 33 | 48.5 |
| Total | 47 | 69.1 | 21 | 30.9 | 68 | 100 |
| Table 3.5: Number of Direct and Possessive ${ }_{\text {c }}$ Case Pronouns used for |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| is mentioned in the previous clause. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.1.4.3. Possessive Adjectives.

Possessive adjectives consist of a pronominal prefix (set Ni, nonlocal case (see Table 2.1 above) attached to a pronoun in direct case (with the suffix -pu, or no suffix ${ }^{7}$ ). The pronoun indicates the possessor, which may also be indicated by a noun. The prefix agrees with the person, number and/or class of the possessed noun, which again may be indicated by a noun; see $\S \S 2.4 .2 .2$ and 2.4.3. For example:

| 3-15 | galu njiwu-gegimi- $\boldsymbol{\text { n }}$ | wurru djin-nugóytjburru-pu. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| NEG 2 2ugA.3MinO-dislike-Irr2 | but | 3II-2Aug-Card |
| Don't dislike her, she's yours. | (I/61/18) |  |


| 3-16 | gu-goni wetji | [gun-ngidjiyi-ø maka] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3IV-this story $3 I V-3 M i n F-u n m$ | FaMo |
|  | This story is Grandma's. |  |


| 3-17 | an-ngayi- $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ a-buki-ni! <br>  3I-1Min-unm 3IS-fall-Pre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | My Cl.I one (son) fell down! (said at a football game) |

[^43]
## 3-18 [an-ngayi-pu burrkarl] ngu-barrwi-dji 3I-1Min-Card child IMinA.3MinO-think of-Cp I'm thinking about my child.

Possessive adjectives, like other adjectives, can function as modifiers of a noun within an NP, as in 3-18; as head of a noun phrase, as in 3-17; or as a predicate, as in 3-15.

The nature of the distinction (if any exists) between possessive adjectives with the suffix -pu and those without is not clear. It is interesting to note that, while the free direct case pronouns in 3rd person minimal and augmented numbers must take -pu or another prominence suffix, possessive adjectives in these person categories exist both with and without the suffix -pu:

Free pronouns: Possessive adjectives:

| 3Min Non-feminine | niyé-pu <br> *niye/niyi | -niyé-pu <br> -niyi ${ }^{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3Min Feminine | ngidjiyé-pu *ngidjiye/ngidjiyi | -ngidjiyé-pu -ngidjiyi ${ }^{8}$ |
| 3Aug | borr(o)-pu <br> *borro (in direct case) | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-borr(o)-pu } \\ & \text {-borro } \end{aligned}$ |

The unsuffixed possessive adjectives may perhaps be productively formed by analogy with other person/number categories (-ngayi-pu, -ngayi; -ngaytjburru-pu, -ngaytjburru, etc) or they may perhaps be historical remnants, retaining forms lost as free pronouns.

### 3.2. Demonstratives.

The demonstrative adverbs and adjectives are set out below:

[^44]Demonstrative Adverbs
ngutju(-pu) here mutju(-pu) here gabi there not far there far away
right there other/opposite side or way
gurrgónewu ${ }^{9}$ this side yibénapu that way, side yiguwápu this way, side

Demonstrative Adjectives
-goni(-pu) this
-gabi that not far
-gapu that far away

| wurréypu | right there <br> other/opposite <br> gurrgábu | -djini(-pu) <br> side or way | that's the one, this is it <br> the one that I'm talking |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| about |  |  |  |

### 3.2.1. Distinctions Encoded.

The three demonstrative adjectives -goni, -gabi and -gapu, and the demonstrative adverbs ngutju/mutju, gabi and gapu encode three degrees of relative distance in space from, or ease of accessibility to, the speaker. Mutju/ngutju (no clear distinction is discernible between these two forms) and -goni point to the place or time where the speaker (or character in a narrative) is at the time of speaking. Gabi covers a wide range of distance between that of immediate proximity and accessibility (indicated by mutju/ngutju and -goni), and that of distant location and lack of accessibility (indicated by gapu). The scale by which the appropriate demonstrative is chosen is relative, and includes factors such as the speaker's feeling about the distance, as well as the scale of reference involved (the camp, the settlement, Arnhem Land, Australia, etc). Thus, people sitting in the shady area where the wells are at Nangak, the Gurr-goni outstation, could refer to the main camp area by any of the three demonstratives: as mutju/ngutju or gu-goni, 'here', if, for example, it was being compared to Maningrida or to another outstation; as gabi, 'there not far', if the distance to it did not seem great; or as gapu, 'there far away', as, although it is only a short walk away, it is up a steep hill, and if someone has forgotten their soap on a hot day, they may consider it too far to go to get it.

[^45]Examples illustrating the use of these five demonstratives follow.

| 3-19 | "Arrongurru | nji-gorrmi-rdi | ngutju-pu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | throat,voice | 2MinA.3MinO-put-Fut | here-extr |
|  | nji-na-djeki-rdi | nji-na-n." |  |
|  | 2MinS-twds-return-Fut | 2MinA.3MinO-see-Fut |  |

Nurse to narrator of story: "(If) you want to come back here (to the hospital), you will see her."

| "Galu... | Ngu-djeki-rdi. Maningrida | ngu-djeki-rdi," |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | IMinS-return-Fut | IMinS-return-Fut |

Narrator to nurse: "No. I'm going back. I'm going back to Maningrida," I said straight off. I came back right here (Maningrida, where the narrator was telling the story).

## 3-20 Mutju nji-negi-rri ngaytjburru-ø, here 2MinA.3MinO-tread-Pre 1Aug-Poss $C_{C}$

gun-ngaytjburru-ø wipa nji-negi-rri
3IV-lAug-unm land 2MinA.3MinO-tread-Pre
ngaytjburru-ø.
1 Aug-Poss ${ }_{C}$
(I/109/7)
Here you trod it for us, you trod our land for us.

## 3-21 Mutju airport m-buku-n. <br> here " 3IIIS-fall,land-Fut

It will land (lit. fall) here at the airport (said in Maningrida settlement, not at the airport itself).
(VII/89/3)

## 3-22 Balanda arr-me-nji. <br> white man 3MinA.1AugO-get-Pre <br> "Nji-goni yandu njiwurr-bogi-ni?" <br> 2Min-this,here where 2AugS-go-Pre <br> "Gu-gabu-kuwa njiwurr-nguna-djeki-rri." <br> 3IV-there-Abl 1AugS-twds-return-Pre

The white man got us. "You here, where did you all go?" "We've come back from out there."
(IV/72/13)


## 3-27 Git-gegu-pu awurr-bo-gini gu-gapu <br> 3IV-new-extr 3AugS-go-Pre 3IV-there far awurr-ni-ø wulek Darwin East Arm gi-dji-ø. 3AugS-sit,stay-Pre finish " place name 3IVS-stand-Pre (V/6/6)

The first time they (people with leprosy) went, they stayed at that place far away, it was there in Darwin at East Arm.

Demonstratives are used in preference to free pronouns when referring to people who are present at the time of speaking. Example 328 shows two instances of this: the person mentioned, Sarah, was present at the time of the recording, and had also been present at the time the reported conversation took place, and so is referred to as dji-goni, 'she here', both times.

## 3-28 Dji-goni Sarah djuwu-me-nji, "Dji-goni 3II-here " 3AugA.3MinO-get-Pre 3II-here njibu-ga-tjin njiwurr-bo-go." 1AugA.3MinO-take-Fut lAugS-go-Fut (V/125/1-10) They got Sarah here, "We'll take this girl with us."

-garrapu and -djini(pu) are specifically anaphoric deictics, and -goni can also be used to refer to things which are not necessarily physically present, but which have been mentioned in the narrative or conversation. In this function, -goni refers to topics of the immediately preceding clause; examples are found in 3-29 and 3-30. The distinction between -garrapu and -djini(pu) is not entirely clear: -djini(pu) appears to be more emphatic than -garrapu, and occurs far less frequently (cf the ratio of use of the emphatic pronouns to the simple direct pronouns).

3-29 Djuwurrinj-me-nji awurritj-bamiyi-ni. 3UAfA.3IIO-get-Pre 3UAfS-carry-Pre

| Bulungun.ngun arrapu | a-nji berr, berr, | gu-goni |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| shoulder bag and | 3I-what bag | bag | 3IV-here |
| djapu basket | guwu-da-tji |  |  |
| like $\quad$ " | 3AugA.3IVO-sew-Con |  |  |
| awurr-workiyi- $\varnothing$ | yirrinji |  |  |
| 3AugS-do always-Con | pandanus |  |  |

They got her (turtle), they carried (her). (In) shoulder bags, and what else, (in) berr, berr, that's (lit. this) like a basket they sew out of pandanus.
(IX/36/25)
3-30 "Marrka dji-gabi ngu-na-n
try,let 3II-there IMinA.3MinO-see-Fut
Bami At-belipili Mi-dje-rre," gakak djinj-yiti-nji.
head 3I-wide 3IIIS-stand-Con MoMo 3IIS-say this-Pre = place name
Like gakak dji-goni An-dirrdjalaba ngidjiyé-na. " MoMo 3II-this,here clan name 3MinF-Emph "Just let me see the Cl.II ones over there (i.e. turtles) at Bami Atbelipili Mi-djerre," your granny said. Like this granny of yours, she was An-dirrdjalaba clan.

| 3-31 | Wangarr | a-ba-rri, | a-njatbu | at-djondu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | dreaming | 3MinA.3IO-eat-Pre | 3I-whatsit | 3I-palm |
|  | at-djondu | a-ba-rri | weleng | a-garrapu |
|  | 3I-palm | 3MinA.3IO-eat-Pre | then | 3I-Anaph |
|  | dji-bu-ni. |  |  |  |
|  | 3MinA.3IIO-hit-Pre |  |  |  |

3-32 ø-(a)rrimi-ø nji-garrapu nji-dje-rre! 2MinA.3MinOImp-hold-Irr2 2Min-Anaph 2MinS-stand-Con Hold it! you standing (there) that I'm talking to! (shouted to a football player during a match)

3-33 "Galu," ngayi-pu ngu-dji- $\varnothing$-pu ngu-weki-ni No IMin-Card 1MinS-stand-Pre-imm 1MinS-speak-Pre
"Galu, galu a-djini-pu galu ant-gu-galiyi, No no 3I-AnEmph-pu NEG 3I-Nom-listen =human being
a-djini-pu djitjitja, a-djini-pu djitjitja
3I-AnEmph-pu fish 3I-AnEmph-pu fish nji-weki-ya ni-lorndo nji-ne-rre."
2MinS-speak-Con 3MinNf-Dat 2MinS-be,sit-Con
"No," I stood and said straight away, "No, no, that's not a man, that's a fish, that's a fish you're talking to." (IV/24/10,13)

| 3-34 | Djibú-wu-ni | a-ba-rri, | a-nji wiri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3AugA.3IIO-give-Pre | 3MinA.3IO-eat-Pre | 3I-what perhaps |  |
| a-ba-rri | a-djini an-daka djibú-wu-ni. |  |  |
| 3MinA.3IO-eat-Pre | 3I-AnEmph lily seed 3AugA.3IIO-give-Pre |  |  |
| They gave her something to eat, what was it maybe she ate, that |  |  |  |
| was lily seed they gave her. |  |  |  |

The remaining demonstrative adverbs (which ars directionai) are illustrated below.


Then he rose up this way, he came rushing after me this way.
3-36 Tju yibénapu a-be-tji! wish that side 3IS-go out-Irr2 I wish it (the football) would go out that side (of the boundary fence)
3-37 Arrapu niyé-na gurrgábu nguna-negi-rri  And he was opposite me. (Lit., And he made me be (on) the other side.)
"Yandu?" "Wurréypu ø-na-ø!"
where right there 2MinA.3MinOImp-see-Irr2
"Get the football for him!" "Where (is it)?" "Look right there(under your nose)."

3-39 Wurréypu ø-ni-ø. right there 2MinSImp-be,sit-Irr2 Sit right there (where you are).

### 3.2.2. Morphology of the Demonstrative Adjectives.

### 3.2.2.1. Pronominal Prefixes.

Like other adjectives, demonstrative adjectives may function syntactically as modifiers within an NP, head of an NP, and as the predicate of a clause. Their position within the NP does appear to be distinct from that of ordinary adjectives; see §2.3. Morphologically, they differ from ordinary adjectives in taking verbal intransitive prefixes (see Table 4.1) rather than nominal prefixes. They are thus incapable of distinguishing local and non-local cases, but can take the ablative suffix (as in 3-22 above).

The demonstrative adjectives are not limited to use with 3rd
person referents, but can occur with other persons as appropriate. 3-22, 3-24 and 3-32 above show -goni 'this, here', -gabi 'that, there' and -garrapu 'the one that I'm talking about' with 2nd person referents, and $3-40$ illustrates the use of -goni, -gabi and -garrapu with a $1+2$ person minimal prefix in the context of discussing different parts of our bodies:

arrapu arr-gabu nguw arr-djerre galu.
and $\quad 1+2$ Min-that bottom $\quad 1+2$ Min-Poss $\quad$ NEG
(IX/91-93)
And this part of us here (speaker pointing to her breasts), nothing, nothing here on us, this part of us (pointing to bottom), it was like that, nothing, but here (pointing to pubic area) they would put up (=on) that whatsit (ie pubic cover); that part of us I was referring to, our bottom, nothing. There weren't any dresses. That's how it was. Here on us (this part of us), nothing, and there on our bottoms, nothing.

### 3.2.2.2. The prefix yi-.

A prefix yi- appears optionally on -gabi, -gapu and -garrapu. A directional prefix -y(i)- 'away (from point of reference)' can optionally follow pronominal prefixes on verbs (see §4.9.2). Yi- appears to have a similar meaning on the demonstrative adjectives.

In example 3-41, where the narrator is describing how her small son fell out of a canoe, she uses -yi- 'away' twice, on the verbs 'throw' and 'fall'; yi- on the anaphoric demonstrative -garrapu; and yibénapu ${ }^{10}$, 'that side', all of which combines to give a graphic picture

[^46]of the child falling away from them, down into the water:

| 3-41 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { A-garlma-nay } & \text { a-ma-nay-pu } \quad \text { yibénapu } \\ \text { 3IS-get up-Pre } & \text { 3IS-go along-Pre-imm that side }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | barlmarrk a-y-wa-rri-pu yi-garrapu |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a-y-guybi-ni. |  |  |  |
|  | 3IS-away-sink-Pre |  |  |  |
|  | He got up and as soon as he went along, the wind threw him right/straight off out the far side (of the canoe), he sank away that way.(V/114/22-26) |  |  |  |

Yi- appears to give the demonstrative a directional sense, rather than a locational one. It is usual to use yi-gabi, rather than simply gabi (or even gu-gabi $3 I V$-there, that 'that place') to indicate where you are going:
3-42 Ngarr-pu yandu nji-bo-go?
2Min-Card where 2MinS-go-Fut
Galu, ngayi-pu yi-gabi ngu-bo-go.
NEG 1Min-Card away-there 1 MinS-go-Fut
Where are you going? No, I'm going over there . (III/60/10)
In 3-43, too, the directional prefix -nguna- 'towards here' on the verb 'sit' contrasts with the prefix yi- on the demonstrative adjective -gapu 'that (place) far away':

3-43 Wulek ranba abu-gogurndu-ngu yi-gapu, finish beach 3AugA.3MinO-cut up-Pre away-there far
ngarritj-bu yiguwápu arr-nguna-ne-rre,
$1+2$ Min-Card this side $\quad 1+2$ Min-twds-be,sit-Con
wurru yi-gapu.
but away-there far
(V/99/5-19)
At the end they cut it up on the beach way away there, you and I
were sitting over towards this way, but they were off over thataway.
3.2.2.3. The clitic -pu.

A clitic -pu can optionally occur on mutju, ngutju and -goni, all 'here, this', and -djini, the emphatic anaphoric demonstrative. The
function of the suffix may be to specify 'extreme degree of scope': the forms mutju/ngutju and mutjupu /ngutjupu were translated by informants as 'here' and 'staying right here' respectively. See §1.3.7.2 for discussion of other occurrences of a clitic -pu.

### 3.3. Interrogatives / Indeterminates.

Unlike the pronouns and demonstratives, the words which function as interrogative and indeterminate pronouns are morphologically diverse: some (-nji 'an indeterminate person, thing or kind', -njatbu 'an indeterminate name') inflect like demonstratives; some (yandu 'an indeterminate place/activity' and arr-giyitawu 'an indeterminate part of the body') are uninflecting, and some (yandu PREF-yinmu- $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$-pu 'an indeterminate quantity', yandu PREF-yinmi-rdi-pu 'an indeterminate time/quantity of time units') are verbal in form. Syntactically and semantically, however, they do appear to form a class. Like the class of indeterminates in Ngiyambaa, they can be said to "represent constituents whose precise reference the speaker cannot identify" (Donaldson 1980:148). Placing an indeterminate pronoun before the verb, in a clause with interrogative intonation (see §5.8), a speaker is asking for information about that constituent; the indeterminates could be translated 'who', 'what', 'where', etc, in this context. Using an indeterminate pronoun (placed before or after the verb) in a clause with declarative intonation results in an "indefinite" meaning; in other words, the speaker either cannot, or does not choose to identify its referent precisely, but does not solicit further information from the hearers; 'someone', 'something', 'somewhere', or 'anything', 'anywhere' ('anyone?') may be appropriate English equivalents. In a negative clause, placed between the negative particle galu and the verb, the indeterminate pronoun has a negative indefinite function: 'no-one', 'nowhere', 'nothing'.

Yandu 'an indeterminate place/activity' and yandu PREFyinmirdipu 'an indeterminate time' have also been found introducing subordinate clauses; this function is discussed in $\$ 5.6$ below.

### 3.3.1. -nji 'an indeterminate person, creature, thing or kind'.

-nji refers to an indeterminate person, creature or thing, and can be glossed 'who, which, what (kind of) (creature/thing)?' Like the demonstratives, -nji takes the pronominal prefixes used on intransitive verbs, and, as shown at $\S 2$ 2.1.4 above, an additional noun class prefix appears in ninjokoni, a fixed, contracted form of PREF-nji PREF-goni 'what/which is this' used in reference to a single male, as in 2-20 above,
or an indefinite human, as in 3-48 below. If the semantic domain of the referent of -nji is known, the appropriate class prefix may be used. Cl.I may also be used as a superclass where the referent is unknown, as in 347 below, and in some cases even when it is known; see $\S 2.2 .4$. above. Interrogative use:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 3-44 } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Mi-nji mitja njiwu-me-nji? } \\
\text { 3III-which, what food 2AugA.3MinO-get-Pre }
\end{array} \\
\text { Which (kind of) food did you get? }
\end{array}
$$

| 3-46 | Wurru but | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ngaytjburru-ø } \\ & l+2 \text { Aug-unm } \end{aligned}$ | wiri maybe | a-nji 3I-what | ngubu-ngutji-ga? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $1+2 \mathrm{AugA} .3 \mathrm{MinO}$ |
|  | But wh | do we call it? |  |  | (V/151/9) |

3-47 A-nji biy-ba-rri?
3I-what,which 3MinA.2MinO-eat-PreLit. What ate you? or, what happened to you?
3-48 Balpi gu-dje-rre ninjokoni arr-me-nji, ..... ay?stone 3IVS-stand-Con who 3MinA.1AugO-get-Pre hey$=$ place nameWho got us at Balpi gu-djerre, hey?(IV/71/25)

Negative use: -nji occurs in the construction
galu PREF-nji (PREF-goni) Verb+Irr

NEG -what,which -this
meaning 'not any, no one'. Note that the scope of the negative particle includes the verb, which must be in one of the irrealis tenses (Irr1 or Irr2, see $\S 4.5$ below). Again, agreement in this phrase can be either with the appropriate noun class or with $\mathrm{Cl} . \mathrm{I}$ as a superclass. Examples were given above in §2.2.4.2, and further examples follow:

# 3-49 Ngaytjburru-ø wulek arr-bawu-n=ngutjuyu, lAug-unm finish 3MinA.1AugO-leave-Fut=3MinF+PossA galu ninjókoni ngaytjbu-lorndo djaluwu NEG who,someone lAug-Dat again nguwurr-ni-ø, dji-nji dji-goni ngaytjbu-lorndo 1+2AugS-be,sit-Irr2 3II-who,which 3II-here 1Aug-Dat In the end, she'll leave us,...we will again be without anyone with/for us, any woman with/for us. (lit. no one with/for us again we will be/sit, any/no woman with/for us. 

| $3-50$ | Wulek | dji-bamabi-ni, | galu | gi-nji |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | finish | 3IIS-forget-Pre | $N E G$ | $3 I V$-what |

## gu-barrwa-nga+rni

3MinA.3IVO-know-Irr1
(IX/128/15-20)
Finish, she forgot, she didn't know anything (about it).
Ninjokoni has been found functioning as an indefinite pronoun. In the following example, the presence of a positive modal particle gare 'must be' appears to give the reading 'someone':

## 3-51 gare ninjókoni a-na-bo-gini <br> must be someone 3IS-twds-go-Pre

Someone must have come.
3.3.2. Hesitation Pronoun-njatbu 'whatsit, whatchamacalledit'.
-njatbu is the form used when a name or word has been temporarily forgotten. Like -nji, it is prefixed with the verbal noun class markers, those appropriate to the nouns forgotten, if their class is known, or with $\mathrm{Cl} . \mathrm{I}$ as superclass if the class or semantic domain is forgotten. (No examples have been heard with other than 3rd person minimal, or class only, agreement). As the following examples illustrate, speakers do often use the correct noun class for the forgotten noun, either because it is a member of a major semantic domain, like place names, or, apparently, because that feature of the object has been remembered, while its name has not:

## 3-52 Ngutju gi-njatbu gare Djikaterre awa, here 3IV-whatsit must be placename ?yeah

Djikaterre gu-garrapu njiburr-yu-y. " 3IV-Anaph 1AugS-sleep,stay-Pre What's the name of this place here, must be Djikaterre, ?yeah, Djikaterre, that's the place where we stayed. (IV/63/9)
Cl.I again functions as a superclass, used not only where the noun class has been forgotten, as in 3-53, but also when the forgotten word is not a noun, as in 3-54, where it is an adverb:

| $3-53$ | gu-garrapu | Nangak njiwurr-ni-ø, njiwurr-ni-ø |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3IV-Anaph | place name 1AugS-be,sit-Pre IAugS-be,sit-Pre |

a-njatbu ni-lorndo njiwurr-ni-ø,
3I-whatsit 3MinNf-Dat lAugS-be,sit-Pre
wetji ni-lorndo
language (Cl.IV) 3MinNf-Dat
At that time/place we stayed at Nangak, we stayed, what was it we stayed for, for language.(Cl.IV).
(III/5/19)
3-54 $\begin{array}{clll}\text { galu } & \text { arrarka } & \text { njiwurr-bogi-yi+rni, } \\ & \text { NEG } & \text { properly } & \text { lAugS-go-Irrl }\end{array}$
NEG properly 1AugS-go-Irrl
a-njatbu burriyin njiwurr-bogi-yi+rni
3I-whatsit fast lAugS-go-Irrl
We didn't go properly, um we didn't go fast. (IV/69/9)
This hesitation form -njatbu, with a Cl.I prefix also appears to function as a secondary predicate of the intransitivised (reflexive/reciprocal) verb -negi-yi 'make self/each other be', as in the following example:

| 3-55 | gu-gapu gu-goni | burdak | a-njatbu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3IV-there far | 3IV-here | wait |
| 3I-whatsit |  |  |  |

Lit. 'That far (side), this (side)), we were still making each other be we don't know what'. Translated by informants as 'We (she and us) still couldn't/didn't know each other, didn't know what we were doing to each other, what was going on.' (III/3/1)

### 3.3.3. Yandu 'an indeterminate place'; 'an indeterminate activity'.

Yandu is an uninflecting form that is used to ask both '(at/to/in) what place?' ie 'where?', and 'what (action)?', as in 'what did you do?'

3-56 Mburrklerrtji yandu awurr-bogi-ni?
children where 3AugS-go-Pre
Where did the children go?
(I/60/16)
3-57 Bapa yandu gu-goni?
dad where 3IV-this,here
Dad, where is this?
3-58 Yandu arr-yinmi-rdi?
what $\quad 1+2$ MinS-do,say this-Fut
What will we do?
The negative use of yandu in referring to activities has been recorded only in the phrase galu yandu (yita) V/Clause NEG indet. activity do what not be able to V/Clause
as in 3-59:
3-59 galu nji-boy-ø njin-dimi-ø ngorrungurru NEG 2MinS-go-Irr2 2MinA.3MinO-hold-Irr2 gecko djaluwu orrongurru biy-rrimi-ø, otherwise throat 3MinA.2MinO-hold-Irr2 galu yandu njinj-yita-ø nji-bay-ø guyu. NEG what 2MinS-do what-Irr2 2MinA.3MinO-eat-Irr2 milk Don't go and hold a gecko, otherwise it will hold your throat, you won't be able to drink breastmilk.
(VII/41/9)
3-60 illustrates the negative use of yandu 'an indeterminate place':
$\begin{array}{lllll}3-60 & \text { ngayi-pu } & \text { galu } & \text { yandu } & \begin{array}{l}\text { ngu-bo-giya+rni }\end{array} \\ & \text { lMin-Card } & \text { NEG } & \text { where } & \begin{array}{l}\text { IMinS-go-Irrl }\end{array}\end{array}$ I didn't go anywhere.

Indefinite use:

| 3-61 | nji-djewudji-n | ngaytjburru-ø |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2MinS-decide to go-Fu | 1,1+2Aug-Card |
|  | nguwurr-bo-go ya |  |
|  | 1+2AugS-go-Fut inder | place |
|  | You'll decide to go (and) we'll all go somewhere. |  |
| 3-62 | gare yandu aw | rr-bogi-ni |
|  | must be where 3A | S-go-Pre |
|  | They must have gone somewhere. |  |

### 3.3.4. Yandu PREF-yinmirdipu 'when, how many?'

 Yandu PREF-yinmupu 'how much, how many?'Yandu PREF-yinmirdipu is used to ask 'when, how many (time periods, eg nights, years), while yandu PREF-yinmupu asks 'how much/many' of things other than units of time.

Both -yinmirdipu and -yinmupu take verbal intransitive prefixes, agreeing in person and number, or class, with the noun being quantified; yandu is invariable here as in its other functions. The stems -yinmirdipu and -yinmupu are formally analysable as verbal forms based on yinma 'do, say this':
-yinmi-rdi-pu
-do,say this-Fut-pu
-yinmu-ø-pu
-do,say this-Irr2-pu

Synchronically, however, these appear to be somewhat idiomatic uses of the verb. ${ }^{11}$

The interrogative and indefinite uses are exemplified below. No negative uses have been recorded.

## 3-63 Yandu gi-yinmi-rdi-pu ngorrungurru njiwurr-yu-y? what 3IVS-do thus-Fut-pu sleep (Cl.IV) lAugS-sleep,stay-Pre =how many <br> How many sleeps (=nights) did we stay?

[^47]3-64 Yandu gi-yinmi-rdi-pu dji-na-bo-go?<br>what 3IVS-do thus-Fut-pu 3IIS-twds-go-Fut = when<br>When will she come?

## 3-65 Yandu awurr-yinmu-ø-pu mburrklerrtji

what 3AugS-do thus-Irr2-pu children
=how many
birr-rrimi-nga?
3MinA.3AugO-have-Con
How many children does she have?

### 3.3.5. arr-givitawu 'an indeterminate part of the body'

Arr-giyitawu as an interrogative is used to ask what part of the body is involved, as in asking someone suffering pain or sickness where a pain or sickness is localised. Like the indeterminates just discussed, it appears to derive from another pro-verb yita 'do, say like this':

## arr-gi-yita-wu

$1+2$ Min $^{12-N o m-d o, s a y ~ l i k e ~ t h i s-w u ~}$
but the synchronic validity of such an analysis is even less certain than that of -yinmirdipu and yinmupu, as -wu is probably not a productive suffix. Arr-giyitawu will be glossed simply 'which part of body'.

> 3-66 Arr-giyitawu nji-barpi-rdi?
> which part of body 2MinS-hurt-Con
> Where are you hurting?
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 3-67 } & \text { Arr-giyitawu } \\ \text { which part of body } & \text { nji-buburdiyi-rri? } \\ \text { 2MinS-be swollen-Con }\end{array}$
Where are you swollen?
(VI/26/7)

[^48]Djuwu-da-ni batgaw,
3AugA.3IIO-shoot-Pre cow
djibu-gogurndu-ngu...... murrpu dji-djerre
3AugA.3IIO-cut up-Pre chest 3II-Poss
dji-djini-pu awurr-bamiyi-ni wurru ngalmu
3II-AnEmph-pu 3AugS-carry-Pre but leg
dji-djerre, gonda dji-djerre, arr-giyitawu gapu 3II-Poss arm 3II-Poss which part of body there far They shot a cow, they cut her up, ....... her chest, they carried that part of her back, but her leg, her arm (ie foreleg), some bits of the body, (they left) out there.
(IX/4-6)

### 3.3.6. Indeterminate Nominal getjigara.

Getjigara does not appear to have an inherent noun class, but is analysed as a noun in §2.1.1 above on the grounds that it does not take pronominal prefixes and does not modify other nouns. It is included here as it has an indefinite meaning. It often follows either the indeterminate pronoun - $\mathbf{n j i}$ or the demonstrative -djini, or both, and in combination with these it is probably translatable as 'any/a (Cl.I/II/III/IV) kind of thing', or 'this (Cl.I/II/III/IV) thing'. By itself it appears to mean 'anything, something'.

3-69 Nji-ngutji -n ngaytjburru getjigara ngalngi 2 MinA.3MinO-call name-Fut 1 Aug + Poss $_{C}$ anything turtle gobu o a-nji mardangaytjiga goose or 3I-what,which snake
You'll name anything for us, turtle or goose, or which kind of snake.
(I/113/17)
3-70 Ngu-numi-rri getjigara a-motu-ma
1MinA.3MinO-smell-Pre something 3IS-smell-Con
I smelt something that smells.
(V/50/16)
3-71 Buwu-gogadarra-n wetji, djalmirri, mi-nji 1AugA.2MinO-show-Fut language,story tree 3III-what mi-djini getjigara mu-dje-rre awuni-bogi-ya 3III-AnEmph thing 3IIIS-stand-Con 3UAnfS-go-Con We'll show you language/stories, trees, any kind of Cl.III things
(ie plants and bush tucker) that are in the bush. (I/109/13)

## Chapter 4: Verb Morphology.

### 4.1. Verbs and the Verb Complex.

Verbs can be identified as those words which inflect for categories of tense, status and illocutionary mood ${ }^{1}$. They also index categories of person, number and class of their arguments (as do adjectives, demonstratives and some nouns), and can optionally specify the direction of an action, away from or towards a focal point.

All verb stems are inherently transitive or intransitive: they either take a mono-referential prefix, which registers one core argument, the subject (S), or a di-referential prefix, which registers two core arguments, the agent ( A ) and the object ( O ). The pronominal prefixes are displayed and analysed in $\S 4.3$ below. 3rd person minimal agents are also optionally indexed by Possessive ${ }_{C}$ pronouns (see §2.4.3 above) cliticised to the verb.

Tense and status are indicated by portmanteau suffixes, and verbs can be classed into conjugations on the basis of the set of tense/status allomorphs selected. The categories distinguished, and the conjugations thus identified, are discussed at $\S \S 4.4-4.5$ and $\S 4.7$, respectively.

Illocutionary mood is expressed through the pronominal prefixes: the sets of declarative and imperative pronominal prefixes are tabulated and discussed at $\S 4.3 .1$ and $\S 4.3 .2$ respectively.

Aspect is expressed within the verb complex through one or two serial verbs, which follow the lexical verb. Serial verbs also encode associated motion; see §5.3.2.1.

The verb complex can be summarised by the following diagram:
(V PARTICLE/NOUN) ${ }^{2}$ \# LEXICAL V\# (=POSS ${ }_{C}$ PRONOUN) \# (SERIAL V1\# (SERIAL V2))

Inflecting verbs have the following structure:

[^49]$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { PRONOMINAL } & \text { (DIRECTION } & \text { V } & \text {-(DERIV } & \text {-(DERIV } & \text {-TENSE/ } & \text { (-pu) }\end{array}$ (-ya) $)$ (DIX

### 4.2. Valence: Morphological, Semantic and Syntactic.

All verbs in Gurr-goni are morphologically either intransitive or transitive, in the sense that all verb stems take a pronominal prefix which encodes information about only one argument, or one which encodes information about two arguments. These can be called mono-referential and di-referential prefixes, respectively.

The morphological transitivity of a verb (mono-referential or direferential), and its semantic and syntactic transitivity, coincide for the majority of verbs. In other words, most verbs which take prefixes indexing one argument have only one core argument, and most verbs which index two, have two core arguments. However, a number of mono-referential verbs are semantically transitive, and can co-occur with two NPs in direct case (see §5.2.3.1 below). Examples of morphologically intransitive, semantically and syntactically transitive verbs are bamiyi 'carry (on head)', weleberrmiyi 'carry bag slung around forehead', bamabu 'forget', rorrtja 'clear up, sweep up', golokdja 'chop', dirrdirrdja 'pull hard on', rdakrdakdja '?cut along branch'. (In most cases, these involve a human agent and a non-human patient, and it is the former which is indexed on the verb.) For example,

## 4-1 Sheila a-djerrdji-ga ditjburrk, <br> name 3MinA.3IO-find by chance-Con axe <br> dji-golokdji-ga dji-dje-rre golku. <br> 3IIS-chop-Con 3IIS-stand-Con paperbark (III/65/19) <br> Sheila found an axe (and) stood chopping (at?) a paperbark tree.

Younger speakers show a tendency to resolve this clash between morphological and semantic transitivity by one of two means. In several of the verbs mentioned, a thematising suffix -dja-~-tja appears. The majority of verbs with this suffix are intransitive morphologically, semantically and syntactically, and there are a number of pairs of verb stems where -dja- contrasts with the transitive verbal suffix -ganmi(for instance, ngurt-dja 'shut up, be, become quiet' and ngurt-ganmi
'quieten, shut someone up'). The discrepancy between the meaning of verbs such as dirrdirrdja and rdakrdakdja and the number of arguments crossreferenced was resolved by some speakers by replacing -dja- with -ganmi-, resulting in stems like dirrdirrganmi and rdakrdakganmi, which automatically take direferential prefixes. For other verbs, the monoreferential prefix was simply replaced by a direferential prefix; this was noted with bamabu 'forget' and rorrtja 'sweep, clear up', as in:

## 4-2 Njirr-bamabi-ni=nuyu <br> 3MinA.2AugO-forget-Pre $=3$ MinNf + PossA <br> He forgot you.

(VII/96/11)
A mismatch between morphological transitivity and syntactic/semantic valence also exists in Nakkara (Eather 1990:162), although there, no attempts to resolve the conflict were noted.

### 4.3. Pronominal Prefixes.

Bound pronominals encode the same person and number distinctions as the free pronouns, discussed in §3.1.1, with one additional number category. There are four person categories: $1,2,3$, and $1+2$, and three major number distinctions, minimal, unit-augmented and augmented. In addition, a singular/non-singular distinction is made in the transitive pronominal prefixes. The number of noun classes, their semantic fields and their formal marking was discussed in $\S 4.2 .5$ etc; in the bound pronominals, four classes are distinguished in 3rd person minimal, and two (feminine and non-feminine) in unit-augmented number (all persons).

There are four sets of pronominal prefixes: declarative intransitive and declarative transitive (shown in Tables 4.1 and 4.3 respectively), and imperative intransitive and imperative transitive (Tables 4.11 and 4.12).

### 4.3.1. Declarative Prefixes.

### 4.3.1.1. Declarative Intransitive Prefixes.

The set of declarative intransitive prefixes is displayed in Table 4.1. This table, and following tables, show the underlying forms of the morphemes. Examples throughout the thesis show surface forms, derived
from the underlying forms by the morphophonemic processes described in $\S 1.2 .3$, and following the statements of allomorphic distribution given in §4.3.1.1.2 and with Table 4.3 below.

Table 4.1: Declarative Intransitive Prefixes.

|  | Number |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Person } \\ (+ \text { class }) \end{array}\right\|$ | MIN | $\begin{gathered} U A \\ \text { non-fem } \end{gathered}$ | gender fem | $A U G$ |
| 1 <br> 2 <br> $1+2$ <br> 3 I <br> II <br> III <br> IV | ngu- <br> njin- <br> arru- <br> a- <br> djin- <br> mu- <br> gu- | $\begin{aligned} & \{\text { njina- } \\ & \text { ani- } \\ & \text { abuni- } \end{aligned}$ | \{njirrinjin- <br> arrinjin-aburrinjin- | \{njiburru- <br> nguburru-aburru- |

### 4.3.1.1.1. Morphemic Analysis.

In both unit-augmented and augmented numbers, the prefixes can be segmented into a morpheme encoding person and number followed by one encoding class and/or number. These morphemes are shown in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2. Intransitive Prefixes: Nonminimal Person, Number and Class morphemes.

|  | UA | AUC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | ji- | UA nonfem | -na- (i+_) ~-ni- (a,u+_) |
| 2 |  |  | UA fem | -rrinjin- |
| 1+2 | a- | ngu- | AUG | -burru |
| 3 | abu- | a- |  |  |
|  |  | umber |  | ber(/Class) |

The minimal number intransitive prefixes are probably best treated as mono-morphemic (but see the discussion below of $1+2$ minimal in the transitive prefixes).

### 4.3.1.1.2. Allomorphy of the Pronominal Prefixes.

4.3.1.1.2.1 There are three allomorphs of the verbal prefixes \{njin-\} '2nd person minimal S, A' and \{djin-\} '3rd person minimal Cl.II S, O'. The allomorphs /njin-/ and /djin-/ occur before morphemes beginning with underlying /r/, /njinj-/ and /djinj-/ occur before morphemes
beginning with /y/, while the forms /nji-/, /dji-/ occur in all other environments. This deletion of $/ \mathrm{n} /$ before most consonants appears to be morphologically based, as it is evident from Table 1.7 above that nC clusters are not prohibited in Gurr-goni.
\{njin-, djin-\} are realised as /njin-, djin-/ / __ + r
/njinj-, djinj-/ / __ + y /nji-, dji-/ / elsewhere ${ }^{3}$

In fast speech, the palatal nasal may drop from / $\mathbf{n j i n j}$-/ and $/ \mathbf{d j i n j}-/$; this loss is optional, in contrast to the loss of $/ \mathrm{n} /$ before all other consonants except $/ \mathrm{r}$ /.

Thus: $\{$ njin-rra-ni\} $\quad \rightarrow \quad / \mathbf{n j i n}$-da-ni/4
2MinA.3MinO-spear-Pre you speared it
\{njin-yu-ngu\} $\rightarrow \quad / n j u n j-y u-n g u /(\sim n j u-y u-n g u)$
2MinS-sleep-Fut
you'll sleep
but nji-bogi-ni
nji-dje-rre
nji-rduburdji-nji
nji-galtji-nji
you went
you're standing you were startled
you went in
nji-me-nji
you got it
nji-na-ni
you saw it
nji-nji
nji-ngepi-rri
you lifted it
nji-rorrtji-nji
dji-rlarlarrdji-nji
dji-waligiyi-ni
you cleared up she dried out she danced
4.3.1.1.2.2 There are four allomorphs of the unit-augmented feminine morpheme -rrinjin-:

[^50]$\{$-rrinjin- $\}$ is realised as /-rrinjin-/ / _ + stop
[apical] (including $/ \mathrm{d} / \leftarrow / \mathrm{r} /$ )


So:

| \{a-rrinjin-rruwdjiyi-ø\} |  | /a-rrinjin-duwdjiyi/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1+2-UAfem-cry-Irr2 |  | We three women might cry |
| \{a-rrinjin-rorrtja-ø\} | $\rightarrow$ | /a-rrinji-rorrtja/ |
| 1+2-UAfem-clear up-Irr2 |  | We three women might clear up |
| \{a-rrinjin-ma-nay \} | $\rightarrow$ | /a-rrinj-ma-nay/ |
| 1+2-UAfem-go along-Pre |  | We three women went along |
| \{a-rrinjin-bo-go \} | $\rightarrow$ | /a-rritj-bo-go/ |
| 1+2-UAfem-go-Fut |  | We three women will go |

4.3.1.1.2.3 An intervocalic bilabial stop /b/ may lenite to a labio-velar glide $/ \mathrm{w} /$. This is optional for all prefixes containing intervocalic $/ \mathrm{b} /$, in that all have at times been heard with $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and at times with $/ \mathrm{w} /$. However, the unit-augmented number prefixes are almost always pronounced as /awuni-/, /awurrinjin-/ (etc), and hardly ever as /abuni-/, /aburrinjin-/ (etc); the transitive prefixes with non-singular agent and object are almost always pronounced as /burr(u)bu-/, etc, and only very rarely as /burruwu-/ (etc). For the augmented number agent prefixes (/abu-/ ~/awu-/, etc) and the augmented number subject / object prefixes (/aburr-/ ~/awurr-/, /njiburr-/ ~/njiwurr-/, etc) both pronunciations are equally common.

The glide-vowel sequence /wu / which results from the lenition just described may further reduce to being merely an offglide on the preceding vowel ( $\left[e^{u} r\right]$, [ $\left.n{ }^{4}{ }^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{r}\right]$, etc), and, in fast speech, may even disappear altogether, with the result that the 3rd person forms \{abuni-\}, \{aburr-\}, etc, are indistinguishable from the $1+2$ person forms $\{$ ani- \}, \{arr-\}, etc.
4.3.1.1.2.4. The segment /-rru-/, wherever it appears in the pronominal prefixes, is usually pronounced simply as /rr/, with no clear vocalic segment following the trill. /u/ is occasionally clearly pronounced here, however, and the occasional lenition of a following bilabial stop to a glide $/ \mathrm{w} /(\$ 4.3 .1 .1 .2 .3)$, indicates that the vowel is present in the underlying form.

### 4.3.1.2. Neutralization of Person Categories.

It will be noted that the distinction between 1st and 2nd persons is neutralized in nonminimal number, while the $1+2$ person forms are distinct. This is in contrast to the free pronouns (see Table 3.1 above), where, in nonminimal number, 1 st and $1+2$ persons are not distinguished and 2 nd person forms are distinct. Here, the inclusion of both speaker and addressee in $1+2$ forms is opposed to either speaker or addressee with someone else in the 1st and 2nd person forms (thus, 'me and you and others' versus 'me and someone else (but not you)'; 'you and someone else (but not me)').

| 4-3a | nugóytjburru-ø | nji-burr-bogi-ni |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2Aug-unm | 1,2Aug-go-Pre |
|  | You all went. |  |
| 4-3b | ngaytjburru-ø | nji-burr-bogi-ni |
|  | $1,1+2 \mathrm{Aug}$-unm | 1,2Aug-go-Pre |
|  | We all (not includin |  |

4-3c nga-ytjbu-rru-ø ngu-burr-bogi-ni
$1,1+2$ Aug-unm
1+2Aug-go-Pre
We all (including you) went.
Theoretically, the ambiguity of both the free pronoun and the bound pronominal in $4-1 \mathrm{~b}$ means that the hearer has to compute the person category from their common denominator. In fact, as discussed in §3.1.4.2.1, free direct case pronouns are rarely used. The prefix often provides the only identification of core participants, and the interpretation of njiburr- as 1 st or 2 nd person derives from the context in which it occurs.

### 4.3.1.3. Declarative Transitive Prefixes.

Transitive pronominal prefixes cross-reference not one but two


* nguna- has a variant form ngunda-, which optionally precedes stems beginning with /rr/. For other allomorphs of these prefixes, see §4.3.1.1.2.
$\{\mathrm{a}-\}$ indicates that the set of noun class markers shown in Table 4.1 above occurs in this position. The Cl.II marker occurs as dji-before -bu-; see $\S 4.3 .1 .1 .2 .1$.
Table 4.3: Gurr-goni Transitive Declarative Prefixes.
core arguments: the subject (A), and the object ( O ), of the transitive verb. As with the intransitive pronominal prefixes, however, in most cases only one morpheme within the prefix encodes the category of person; in other words, only one of the two participants receives overt marking of person. Other morphemes which follow this person marker may give information about the grammatical function, number, and class of either of the A or the O .

The full set of declarative transitive prefixes is displayed in Table 4.3 above. In the discussion which follows, I firstly demonstrate why it is necessary to recognize a singular/non-singular distinction, in addition to the minimal, unit-augmented and augmented numbers already discussed. I then attempt to identify the morphemes which make up the transitive prefixes, and to elucidate the principles by which it is decided which of the arguments receives overt marking. The concept of a "hierarchy" of pronominal participants has been proposed (for example, by Silverstein (1976), Heath (1984)) for other cross-referencing languages. In Gurrgoni a very complex hierarchy appears to operate, in which the category of person interacts with those of number and grammatical function.

### 4.3.1.3.1. Singular vs Non-singular Number.

Looking at the intransitive pronominal prefixes shown in Table 4.1 above, it is clear that the three numbers distinguished in the $1+2$ person category (traditionally called 1st person inclusive, this category refers to 'you and me (and others)') pattern with the three numbers distinguished in 1st, 2nd and 3rd persons: the morphemes encoding number (and noun class) in the two larger numbers are identical for all persons. These number categories are not called singular, dual and plural here, because the smallest number category in $1+2$ person involves two people: it is, in fact, non-singular. For this reason, the term 'minimal' is used, to indicate the smallest number of people possible in each of the four persons (two in $1+2$ person, and one in 1st, 2 nd and 3 rd persons). 'Minimal' and 'singular' are interchangeable for 1st, 2nd and 3rd persons, but not for $1+2$ person; for all persons, both the non-minimal numbers could also be called non-singular. As $1+2$ person minimal is not singular but non-singular, it is not surprising to find that in the transitive prefixes it patterns with the non-minimal (ie non-singular) numbers.

In the transitive prefixes, the possibility exists for distinguishing all combinations of minimal, unit-augmented and augmented number
agents and objects. This possibility is not realised, however: the combinations of UA agent and object, AUG agent and object, UA agent with AUG object and AUG agent with UA object are all encoded identically. This can be seen by examining the four cells in the bottom right hand corner of Table 4.4 below, which shows the transitive prefixes where the object is 3rd person. All prefixes in these four cells end in -rrubu-, with a morpheme encoding person preceding this: nji- 1st and 2 nd persons, bu- 3 rd person, and a- $1+2$ person. We could perhaps analyse -rrubu- as encoding 'non-minimal agent, non-minimal object'.

Table 4.4: 3rd Person as O Pronominal Prefixes.
3rd Person O Number

| A |  | MIN |  |  | UA | AUG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MIN | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | ngu- <br> njin- <br> \{a-\} |  | ngudji-na- <br> bidji-na- <br> bidji-na- | nguburru-burru-burru- |
|  | 1+2 |  | ay- |  | arrubu- | arrubu- |
| UA | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 1+2 \end{aligned}$ | nf <br> nf <br> nf <br> nf | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nji-na- } \\ & \text { nji-na- } \\ & \text { \{a\}-bu-ni- } \\ & \text { a-ni- } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | njirrubu- <br> njirrubu- <br> burrubu- <br> arrubu- | njirrubu- <br> njirrubu- <br> burrubu- <br> arrubu- |
| AUG | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 1+2 \end{aligned}$ |  | njibu- <br> njibu- <br> \{a\}bu- <br> ngubu- |  | njirrubu- <br> njirrubu- <br> burrubu- <br> arrubu- | njirrubu-njirrubu-burrubu-arrubu- |

*\{a\} indicates that the set of noun class markers shown in Table 4.1 above occurs in this position. (Cl.II djin- occurs as dji- before -bu-; see the statement of allomorphs in §4.3.1.1.2.1 above).

Note that only non-feminine UA forms are shown. In the feminine forms, -rrinjin- replaces -na-~-ni-.
Turning our attention to the two cells in the top right, the 3rd person unit-augmented and augmented object prefixes, we see that where the agent is 1st, 2nd or 3rd person minimal, the prefix ends in (or consists of) -dji-na- (UA O) and burru- (AUG O). Where the agent is $1+2$ person minimal, however, the prefix takes the form a-rrubu- for both UA and AUG O. This is the same form as appears where both the agent and the object are unit-augmented or augmented in number.

Here, then, where the agent is minimal and singular, and the object non-minimal/non-singular, an affix indicating the number of the object appears. But where the agent is minimal but non-singular, as in the case of the $1+2$ person minimal category, the number marking is identical to that for non-minimal (ie, non-singular) 1st, 2nd and 3rd person agents
with non-minimal objects. While we tentatively glossed -rrubu- as 'nonminimal A , non-minimal $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ above, the treatment of these $1+2$ MinA. 3 nonMinO combinations indicates that a more accurate gloss is 'non-singular A , non-singular O '.

### 4.3.1.3.2. Further Identification of Person and Number Morphemes.

We have shown immediately above that -rrubu- encodes 'nonsingular agent and non-singular object', and that the person markers preceding this number/role affix are nji- '1st person non-minimal/2nd person non-minimal', bu- '3rd person non-minimal' and a- ' $1+2$ person'. We will now attempt to identify the morphemes found within the remaining prefixes in Table 4.4 above.

## Minimal A, 3 Minimal O.

In the top left hand cell, where O is 3rd person minimal and A is also minimal, it can be seen that almost all forms are identical to minimal number intransitive prefixes (shown above in Table 4.1). In the case of the 1 MinA .3 MinO and 2 MinA .3 MinO prefixes, there is overt marking only of the A , which is formally equivalent to the intransitive subject:

## 4-4a ngu-na-ni

1MinA.3MinO-see-Pre
I saw him/her/it.

4-4b ngu-bogi-ni
1MinS-go-Pre
I went.

4-5a nji-na-ni
2MinA.3MinO-see-Pre
You saw him/her/it.

4-5b nji-bogi-ni
2MinS-go-Pre
You went.

For the 3 MinA .3 MinO forms however, the class of the $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ is crossreferenced, and there is no overt marking of the A ; here the O
(transitive object) is formally identical to the S (intransitive subject) ${ }^{5}$ :

4-6a<br>Maka djalmirri<br>gu-gorndu-ngu<br>FaMo tree,stick (Cl.IV) 3MinA.3IVO-cut-Pre<br>Grandma cut the tree/stick.

| 4-6b | Djalmirri | gu-buki-ni |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | tree/stick (Cl.IV) | 3IVS-fall-Pre |

The tree/stick fell.

The $1+2 \mathrm{MinA} .3 \mathrm{MinO}$ form $\mathbf{a y}$ - is the only form in this cell not identical to an intransitive prefix. It may perhaps be analysed as consisting of a $1+2$ person marker a- (identified above in the nonsingular A, non-singular O prefixes, and also in the intransitive prefixes (as a $1+2$ person stem in unit-augmented number)), plus a segment $-\mathbf{y}$-. The 1 MinA .2 MinO form ngiy- and the 3 MinA .2 MinO form biy- also appear to consist of a person stem plus $-\mathbf{y}$-, which may function as an agentive marker; see below.

## Augmented $\mathrm{A}, 3$ Minimal O .

The prefixes in the bottom left corner of Table 4.4, where the object is 3 rd person minimal and the agent is augmented in number, all consist of a class or person morpheme plus -bu. The morphemes nji- '1st and 2 nd person nonminimal' and ngu- ' $1+2$ person augmented' are the same as those identified above in the intransitive prefixes (although in all other positions within the intransitive and transitive prefix paradigms, the $1+2$ person marker is $\mathbf{a}-)$. $\{\mathbf{a}-\}$ in the 3 AugA. 3 MinO prefix signifies that the four noun class prefixes shown in Table 4.1 above occur in this position within the prefix. As in the minimal A, minimal O prefixes, they index the noun class of the object. For example:

a-bu-na-ni<br>Cl.I-AugA-see-Pre<br>They saw him/a Cl.I thing.

[^51]
## mu-bu-na-ni

Cl.III-AugA-see-Pre

They saw a Cl.III thing.

## ngu-bu-na-ni

I+2Aug-AugA-see-Pre
We all saw him/her/it.

We can tentatively analyse -bu- here as a portmanteau morpheme, expressing the number (augmented) of the agent. (We will label it $-\mathbf{b u}_{2}{ }^{-}$, and gloss it 'Aug(mented) $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{gent})$ ', to distinguish it from bu $1_{1}$ - 3 rd person non-minimal'). Compare, for instance,
nji-bu- encoding 1, 2 Aug A. 3 Min O
1,2nonMin-AugA
and nji-rrubu- encoding 1,2 ns A. 3 ns O
1,2nonMin-nsA.nsO
In both forms, nji- encodes 1 st or 2 nd person non-minimal (or non-singular), and the morpheme following it tells us the number of the A and/or O.

## Unit-Augmented A, 3 Minimal O.

Of the prefixes representing combinations of a unit-augmented $A$ with a 3rd person minimal $O$ (shown in the middle section of the left hand column in Table 4.4), all, except the 3UA A.3MinO form, are identical to the intransitive unit-augmented prefixes. Where the A is 1 st , 2 nd or $1+2$ person, there is thus overt marking of the $A$ but not of the $O$. Here again, the transitive subject (A) receives identical marking to the intransitive subject:

## 4-7a nji-na-na-ni <br> 1,2nonMin-UAnf-see-Pre <br> We two/you two saw him/her/it.

4-7b nji-na-bogi-ni
1,2nonMin-UAnf-go-Pre
We two/you two went.

1+2-UAf-see-Pre
You and I and another woman saw him/her/it.

4-8b a-rritj-bogi-ni
1+2-UAf-go-Pre
You and I and another woman went.

Within the 3UA A.3MinO forms, the variable class prefix (indicated by $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ ) again indexes the class of the O . The UA number affixes (-ni-~-na- nonfeminine, -rrinjin- feminine) refer to the A. For example:

```
4-9a
dji-bu-ni-na-ni
3II-?3ns/?AugA-UAnf-see-Pre
They two men saw her.
```

4-9c mu-bu-ni-na-ni
3III-?3ns/?AugA-UAnf-see-Pre
They two men saw it (Cl.III). They two women saw it (Cl.III)

Between the O class marker and the A class marker there is a segment -bu-. We have already identified two distinct functions for a morpheme -bu-: -bu $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ encodes '3rd person non-minimal' in the nonsingular A , non-singular O prefixes, and $-\mathrm{bu}_{2^{-}}$encodes 'augmented agent'. Either of these functions could be represented here, if 'augmented agent' is adjusted to 'non-minimal agent'. As the pronominal prefixes are not segmented into their component morphemes outside this section (I simply identify the person and number of the agent and object represented by the prefix as a whole), it will not be necessary to decide on this question here. In either analysis, it refers to the A, and encodes non-singular number.

## Singular A. 3 Unit-Augmented O.

In those prefixes where the A is singular and the O is 3 rd person nonminimal (see the middle and right cells of the top row of Table 4.4), other case/number affixes appear (these were briefly examined in §4.3.1.3.1 above). A Unit-Augmented number O affix -dji- occurs in the 1,2 and $3 \mathrm{MinA}, 3 \mathrm{UAO}$ prefixes; the class affixes following this, -na(and -rrinjin-, not shown), indicate the gender of the augmentor in UA number, and so also refer to the O . There is no overt marking of the
person (as distinct from the noun class) of the O in the 1 MinA .3 UAO form: ngu- marks only 1st person minimal. In the 2 and 3MinA.3UAO form bi-dji-na/rrinjin-, an element bi- precedes the UA O affix. This could be considered a morphophonologically conditioned variant of bubefore -dji-, following rule 1 , §1.2.3.1, but does the function of bi- fit with either of those defined for $\mathrm{bu}_{1}$ - or $-\mathrm{bu}_{2}$-? Certainly 'augmented (or even non-minimal) agent' is incompatible here, as the agent is minimal. However bu ${ }_{1}$ '3rd person non-singular' does fit the person/number specifications of the object here. Taking this as its function, it appears that with 2 nd and 3 rd person agents there is no overt marking of the agent at all in these prefixes: all morphemes refer to the object. With a 1 st person agent, by contrast, the person of the agent is marked, and the person of the object is not:

| 1MinA.3UAO | ngu-dji-na- |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | IMin-UAO-UAnf- |
|  | $A$ |
| 2,3MinA.3UAO | $O$ |
|  | bidedi-na- |
|  | 3nonMin-UAO-UAnf- |
|  | $O$ |

## Singular A. 3 Augmented O.

In the top right hand corner of Table 4.4, we find the forms nguburru- '1MinA.3AugO' and burru- '2,3MinA.3AugO'. We can again identify -bu- as a marker of '3rd person non-minimal', marking here the person of the object. Ngu- again marks '1st person minimal' (the agent), while 2nd and 3rd person agents appear to receive no overt marking, as in the UA O forms just discussed. The final morpheme -rru, which follows the person marking morphemes, can be tentatively identified as encoding 'augmented object'. (This identification is strengthened by considering the remaining sets of prefixes below.)

## $1+2$ Person as $O$ Prefixes.

Looking now at the prefixes encoding combinations of $1+2$ person as O with 3 rd person As (shown below in Table 4.5), we find that these prefixes show many of the morphemes identified in those with 3rd person Os.

Table 4.5: $1+2$ Person as O Prefixes.

| 3 rd A | $1+2 \mathrm{Min} \mathrm{O}$ | $1+2$ UA O | 1+2 Aug O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MIN | arru- | nf adjina- | arru- |
| UA <br> AUG | arrubu-arrubu- | arrubu-arrubu- | arrubu-arrubu- |

a-, which encodes $1+2$ person in all functions and numbers except augmented number A and S , appears here in all the prefixes. It is followed by the affixes encoding number, case and class: -dji- 'unitaugmented O', -na-~-ni- 'unit-augmented non-feminine’ (or -rrinjin-'unit-augmented feminine') and -rrubu- 'nonsingular A, non-singular O'. Note that in the bottom left hand cell where the $1+2$ person object is minimal, and the 3 rd person agent non-minimal, we find -rrubu- as a number affix, rather than affixes like (-bu)-ni- or $-\mathbf{b u}_{2}$ - which indicate the number of the agent. The smallest number in $1+2$ person, minimal but non-singular, again patterns with the non-minimal, and hence nonsingular numbers, rather than with the minimal and singular number of 1 st , 2nd and 3rd persons.

Interestingly, -rru-, tentatively identified above as encoding 'augmented $O$ ', here appears both where the $1+2$ person $O$ is augmented and where it is minimal ( $1+2$ person minimal in the intransitive prefix set is of course also arru-). So a strict definition of -rru- as a morpheme encoding augmented number cannot be maintained; perhaps it would be more accurate to define it as 'non-singular $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{S}$ ', used where other number markers (specifically those appearing in unit-augmented number) do not appear.

In the $1+2$ and 3 rd person object prefixes, we have found it reasonably easy to segment the prefixes into their component morphemes, and to assign a function to each morpheme. The morphemes that have been identified in the transitive prefixes are shown in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6. Morphemes in Transitive $1+2$ and 3rd Person Prefixes.


The structure of the prefixes can largely be described in terms of a maximum of three morpheme positions. Unit-augmented object prefixes (and, on one analysis, the 3UA A.3MinO prefix) have the structure

PERSON/NUMBER - NUMBER/FUNCTION - UA CLASS (although in 3MinA.2UA O, the person/number affix is $\varnothing$-).

The other UA prefixes seen above have two morpheme slots: PERSON/NUMBER - UA CLASS
(or, to retain a tri-morphemic structure for all UA O and A prefixes, we could say that the number/function affix for these prefixes is $-\varnothing$-).

All augmented O and A prefixes, and the nonsingular A , nonsingular $O$ prefixes have two morpheme positions:

PERSON/NUMBER - NUMBER/FUNCTION
with the exception of the 1 MinA .3 AugO prefix which, on the analysis given above, has two person/number positions, one indexing the A and one the O .

Most minimal number A and O prefixes consist solely of a person/ number morpheme. $1+2 \mathrm{~A}$ and O prefixes can be said to have an affix indicating function (and number) following this.

For these prefixes, we can also describe a pronominal hierarchy which determines which of the arguments is overtly represented in the person/number slot (where there is only one). Pronominal categories on the left in the following diagram are marked in precedence to those on the right:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1,1+2 & >3 \mathrm{O}>3 \mathrm{~A} \\
2 & >3 \\
\text { except 3nonMin }(\mathrm{O}) & >2 \operatorname{Min}(\mathrm{~A})
\end{aligned}
$$

As we have examined both the 3 rd person and the $1+2$ person object prefixes, we have seen that in all combinations of $1+2$ and 3 rd person, whether or not $1+2$ person is equal to, greater than or smaller than 3 rd person, $1+2$ person is always represented in the prefix, and 3 rd person is not.

1st and 2nd Person Object Prefixes.
Table 4.7: 3rd Person as A, 1st Person as O Prefixes.

| A | 1 Min O | 1 UAnf O | 1 Aug 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3Min | nguna- | njdji-na- | njirru- |
| An | ngubu-ni- | njirrubu- | njirrubu- |
| Aug | ngunabu- | njirrub | njirrubu |

We have also seen that a 1st person agent always outranks a 3 rd person object. Examination of the 1st person object with 3rd person agent prefixes (shown in Table 4.7 above) suggests that we can extend this statement, modifying it slightly, to say that in all combinations of 1st and 3 rd persons, 1 st person is always represented, and 3 rd person is not (except in the combination of a 1 st person minimal agent with a 3rd person augmented object, represented by the form ngu-bu-rru- 1Min-3nonmin-AugO). In all the prefixes in Table 4.7, a 1st person morpheme appears. This is nji- '1st person non-minimal' in the two right hand columns of Table 4.7, where it is followed by -dji-na-UAO-UAnf-, $-\mathbf{r r}(\mathbf{u})-A u g O$ or $-\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r u b u}-n s g A, n s g O$. In the left hand column, the forms are 3 MinA . 1 MinO ngu-na- 1 Min -?, 3UAnfA. 1 MinO ngu-bu-ni-1Min-nonminA-UAnf, and 3AugA.1MinO ngu-na-bu- 1Min-?-AugA. We can tentatively establish the function of the affix -na- by comparing the following forms:

| ngu-ø | 1 MinA.3MinO |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1Min- |  |
| *ngu-y > ngiy- | 1 MinA .2 MinO |
| 1Min-MinA |  |
| ngu-na- | 2,3MinA. 1 MinO |
| 1Min- |  |

While the presence of $-\boldsymbol{\varnothing}$ - or $-\mathbf{y}$ - following ngu- serves to distinguish between 3rd person and 2nd person, respectively, as object, -na- appears to indicate that the 1st person here is the object.

The analysis of the 3rd person object prefixes above showed that where 2 nd person is agent, it outranks 3rd person in the hierarchy determining selection of person/number marking, except where the 3rd person object is greater in number than the 2 nd person agent. Looking at the 2 nd person object, 3 rd person agent prefixes (shown below in Table 4.8), we find again that number, and also grammatical function, play a part in determining whether a 2 nd or 3 rd person morpheme appears.

Table 4.8: 3rd person as A, 2nd Person as O prefixes

| A | 2 Min O | 2 UAnf O | 2 Aug O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3Min | biy- | dji-na- | njirru- |
| 3UA | bubu-ni- | njirrubu- | njirrubu- |
| 3 Aug | bubu- | njirrubu- | njirrubu- |

Where both the 2 nd person object and the 3 rd person agent are
non-singular (or non-minimal), the prefix is nji-rrubu-2nonmin$n s g A, n s g O$, and for the combination 3 MinA. 2 AugO we find nji-rru-2nonmin-AugO; both prefixes have a 2 nd person morpheme. However, where the 2 nd person object is unit-augmented and the 3 rd person agent is minimal, the prefix dji-na- UAO-UAnf appears, with no person/ number morpheme at all (ie, neither participant is overtly marked).

In the left hand column, where the object is 2 nd person minimal, the forms are:

| *bu-y $>$ biy- <br> 3nonMin-MinA <br> bu-bu-ni | 3MinA.2MinO |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3nonMin-nonminA-UAnf <br> bu-bu <br> 3nonMin-AugA | 3UAA.2MinO |
| 3AugA.2MinO |  |

In all of them, a 3rd person morpheme appears. (Identifying biyas $\mathbf{b u}_{1}-\mathbf{y}$ 3nonMin-MinA may seem strange when the 3rd person indexed is minimal in number, but it seems plausible that a 3 rd person nonminimal form has been used in the absence of a minimal number person marker, as distinct from class markers; or, alternatively, $\mathbf{b u}_{1}$ - could perhaps be glossed simply '3rd person', appearing in minimal number only when noun class is not distinguished.)

The following patterns of marking, then, have been observed in combinations of 2 nd and 3 rd persons (the person on the left of the arrow is the one marked in that particular combination):
$2 \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{~A}>3 \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{O}$
$3 \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{~A}>2 \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{O}$

2 nonMin $\mathrm{A}>3 \mathrm{MinO}$
3 nonMin $\mathrm{A}>2 \mathrm{MinO}$

3 nonMin $\mathrm{O}>2 \mathrm{Min} \mathrm{O}$
2 Aug A $>3 \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{~A}$
(2 UA O, 3 Min A: neither)

2 nsg $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{O}>3$ nsg $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{O}$
In these combinations of 2 nd and 3 rd persons, the principles determining which receives overt representation must be stated in terms of person, number and grammatical function: minimal A outranks minimal O ; non-minimal A or O outranks minimal A or O (with the
single exception of 3 MinA.2UAO, where neither is marked); and 2 nd person nonsingular ( A or O ) outranks 3rd person nonsingular.

Examination of the prefixes encoding 2nd person A with 1st person O , and 1st person A with 2nd person O (shown in Tables 4.9 and 4.10 respectively) reveals even more complex patterns of representation.

## Table 4.9: 2nd Person as A. 1st Person as O Prefixes

| A | 1 Min O | 1 UAnf O | 1 Aug O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2Min | nguna- | njdji-na- | njirru- |
| 2UAnf | bubu-ni- | njirrubu- | njirrubu- |
| 2Aug | bubu- | njirrubu- | njirrubu- |

Table 4.10: 1 st person as A, 2 nd Person as $O$ prefixes

| A | 2 Min O | 2 UAnf O | 2 Aug O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Min | ngiy- | adji-ni- | arru- |
| 1UAnf | bubu-ni- | njirrubu- | njirrubu- |
| 1 Aug | bubu- | njirrubu- | njirrubu- |

In the top line of Table 4.9, all forms contain a 1 st person morpheme:

> ngu-na-
> IMin-1MinO
> nj-dji-na-
> InonMin-UAO-UAnf
> nji-rru-
> InonMin-AugO
for 2 Min A. 1 Min $\mathrm{O}^{-}$
for 2 Min A. 1 UAnf O
for 2 Min A. 1 Aug O

In the top line of Table 4.10 above, one form contains a 1 st person morpheme: ngu-y-> ngiy- for 1 Min A. 2 Min O 1Min-MinA
The remaining prefixes in this line, however, have $\mathbf{a}-$ as the person marker. We have previously analysed this as a $1+2$ person morpheme, but this is clearly not its function here. Rather, it appears to represent this particular combination of 1 st and 2 nd persons.

The two bottom lines of Tables 4.9 and 4.10 are identical. nji-rrubu- represents the combination of 1st person nonsingular A with 2nd person nonsingular O , and 2 nd person nonsingular A with 1st person nonsingular O . As nji- means both '1st person nonminimal' and 2 nd person non-minimal', it is impossible to determine which it is representing here.

In the bottom left lines of each table, the forms bubuni- and
bubu- appear. These are identical to the 3rd person UA and Aug A. 2 MinO forms shown in Table 4.8 above, where the person marker buwas identified with bu $_{1}$ - '3rd person non-minimal'. This seems incongruous where no 3rd person is involved, but we have already seen a $1+2$ person morpheme appearing in Table 4.10 (where we could say that both the 1 st person agent and the 2 nd person object were represented). Here we could say that neither is represented.

The following summary of person marking in the 1 st and 2 nd person A and O prefixes shows each distinctive combination, the person morpheme which appears, and whether it represents 1st and/or 2nd person.

| $\begin{array}{\|ll} 1 \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{~A} & >2 \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{O} \\ 1 \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{O} & >2 \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{~A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ngu- } \\ & \text { IMin } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1st person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 nonMin $\mathrm{O}>2 \mathrm{Min} \mathrm{A}$ | nji- <br> 1 nonMin |  |
| 2 nonMin $\mathrm{O}+1 \mathrm{Min} \mathrm{A}$ | a- $1+2 \text { person }$ | both 1 and 2 |
| 1 nonMin A, 2 Min O 2 nonMin A, 1 Min O | bu- $3 \text { nonMin }$ | neither 1 nor 2 |
| $1 \mathrm{nsg} \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{nsg} \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{O}$ | nji- <br> 1,2 nonMin | either 1 or 2 |

The notion of a hierarchy of pronominal (and grammatical) features is useful here not only in stating which of the two arguments is represented in the (usually) single person/number slot of the pronominal prefixes, but also in understanding why the person marking is consistent in some combinations and variable in others.

1 st and $1+2$ person on the one hand and 3rd person on the other are at opposite ends of the hierarchy, separated by 2 nd person, and in combinations of 1 st and 3 rd, or $1+2$ and 3 rd persons, the person higher on the hierarchy is always marked.

2nd person appears to be higher than 3rd person, in that it is marked when both are nonsingular, but otherwise the factors of number and function step in. Where both 2 nd and 3 rd person are minimal, the person marker represents whichever is in A function; where 2nd person is nonminimal and 3 rd person is minimal, and vice versa, the person marker represents whichever is in nonminimal number, regardless of


| G 3 | ngu-na-bu-IMin-IMinOAugA | bu-bu- <br> 3nonMin-AugA | \{a)-bu- <br> O Cl-AugA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1+2 | ---- | - | ngu-bu- $1+2 \text { Aug-AugA }$ |

Table 4.11: Gurr-goni Transitive Declarative Prefixes: Morphemic Analysis.
person and function. ${ }^{6}$
Where 1st and 2nd person, both speech act participants, combine as A and O , there is some evidence for saying that 1st person is higher on the hierarchy than 2 nd , but in most of the prefixes the issue of deciding which is overtly marked is avoided by using a morpheme that is ambiguous between them or is not a specific 1st or 2 nd person form. Heath (1991) noted a similar phemonenon in other prefixing languages of Arnhem Land. He identified several strategies for encoding combinations of 1 st and 2 nd person: use of a 3 rd person morpheme, noted above in Tables 4.9 and 4.10 , is found also in Mangarrayi, Warndarrang and Kunwinjku, and use of a $1+2$ person morpheme, seen in Table 4.10, occurs also in Jawonj, Nunggubuyu, Anindilyakwa and Alawa.

The discussion above has focussed specifically on identifying the individual morphemes that make up the pronominal prefixes, and we have been able to show that they are based on a system of some complexity. Table 4.11 above shows the full set of transitive pronominal prefixes, segmented and glossed according to the analysis given above. In the remainder of the thesis, however, the prefixes will not be segmented into their component morphemes, and for ease of understanding, the glosses will show the person and number of agent and object encoded by the prefix as a whole.

### 4.3.2. Imperative Prefixes.

The imperative mood prefixes are displayed here in Tables 4.12 and 4.13.

Table 4.12: Imperative Intransitive Prefixes.

| MIN | UA nf <br> ф- | UA f <br> bi-na- | bi-rrinjin- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4.13: Imperative Transitive Prefixes.

| A/O | 1 Min | 3Min | 1UAnf | 3UAnf | 1Aug | 3Aug |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2Min <br> 2UAnf <br> 2Aug | nguna- <br> ngubu-ni- <br> ngunabu- | ø- <br> bi-na- <br> bubu- | njdji-na-njirrubu-njirrubu- | bidji-na burrubu-burrubu- | njirru- <br> njirrubu- <br> njirrubu- | burru-burrubu-burrubu- |

All but two of the transitive imperative prefixes with a 1st person object are identical to the declarative prefixes with 2 nd person agent and

[^52]1st person object. The exceptions are 2UAnfA. 1MinOImp ngubu-niand 2AugA. 1 MinOImp ngunabu-. These prefixes (and, in fact, all the 1st person object prefixes) are identical to declarative prefixes with a 3rd person agent and 1st person object.

Where the object is 3rd person, two forms, bidji-na2MinA.3UAnfOImp and burr- 2 MinA.3AugOImp, are the same as the corresponding declarative prefixes. In the declarative set, however, 2nd and 3 rd person agents are not distinguished where the object is 3 rd person nonminimal, so, again, the imperative forms are identical to declarative 3rd person agent forms. The same is true of burrubu-, analysed in the declarative set as 3 nonMin-nsgA, nsgO, and bubu-3nonMin-AugA. Only the 2MinA.3MinOImp and 2UAnfA.3MinO transitive prefixes, and the entire intransitive imperative paradigm, have forms not also found among the declarative prefixes. For 2 nd person S and A , there is no overt prefix (this is shown by $\varnothing$ - in the tables above). In the remaining prefixes, the person marker is bi- (UA number) $\sim$ bu(AUG number), which again appears to be a 3 rd person morpheme. Perhaps it is used here, as in combinations of 1st and 2nd persons in the declarative transitive prefixes, to avoid specific reference to the addressee; compare the use of 3rd person pronouns for polite 2 nd person reference in Italian (Vincent 1990:292) and German (Wells 1985:274275), for instance. Koch (1995:58), however, notes specifically that, while "[w]ithin the category of Person, the Third Person is generally unmarked .... it is the Second Person which is conditionally unmarked in the context of the co-occurring Imperative Mood..... [a] consequence of this markedness reversal is that the markers of Second Person subject Number in Imperative clauses may be identical to the markers of Third Person Number in Non-Imperative clauses. This is the situation with enclitic subject markers in the Western Desert language" - and, as we have seen, this is also the situation with pronominal prefixes in Gurrgoni.

### 4.4. Tense.

### 4.4.1. Major Tense Categories.

There are three major tense distinctions in Gurr-goni: future, contemporary and pre-contemporary. The future tense covers all time after the moment of speaking, while all time prior to and including the time of speaking is divided between the contemporary and pre-
contemporary tenses. (I follow Eather (1990) in naming the tenses thus.) These two non-future tenses were first recognised in the Burarra language and described (under the terms "contemporary" and "remote") by Glasgow and Glasgow (1964), who showed how the division between the tenses is made within two time frames, that of today and that of before today. I have found it necessary to recognise a third time frame, comprising all non-future time, both in Gurr-goni and in Burarra (see Green 1987). Within each of these three time frames, a division is made between contemporary and pre-contemporary tense: this is illustrated in the following diagram and described below.
TIME TENSE
FRAMES CONTEMPORARY PRE-CONTEMPORARY

| TODAY | now (gu-goni <br> $3 I V-$-this $)$ | earlier today (giyinaga) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| BEFORE | yesterday /recently <br> (birdibirdi) | long ago / the time before <br> (guwarr/gu-bu-guyuguyu) <br> 3IV-Der-ahead |
| ALL TIME | now/ these days <br> (gu-goni) <br> 3IV-this | before now/long ago <br> (gu-bu-guyuguyu/guwarr) <br> 3IV-Der-ahead |

Within the time frame of today, Contemporary tense refers only to the moment of speaking. Precontemporary tense covers the remainder of this time frame - from after nightfall the previous night until the moment before speaking.

The use of the tenses within this time frame is completely fixed: it is not possible to use precontemporary tense for events taking place at the time of speaking, nor to use contemporary tense for those that took place before that:

## 4-10 mokol ngu-bogi-ni / *ngu-bogi-ya <br> night IMinS-go-Pre / 1MinS-go-Con <br> I went last night.

4-11 mokol gabi ngu-bogi-ni / *ngu-bogi-ya
night there lMinS-go-Pre / IMinS-go-Con
$=$ this morning
I went this morning.

| 4-12 | giyiniga | i / *ngu-bogi-ya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | today before now | IMinS-go-Pre / 1MinS-go-Con |
|  | I went earlier toda |  |

## 4-13 "Yandu nji-bogi-ya?" "Wipa ngu-bogi-ya." where 2 MinS-go-Con home IMinS-go-Con

 "Where are you going?" "I'm going home."
## (Cf. "Yandu nji-bogi-ni?"

where 2MinS-go-Pre
"Where did you go?" / "Where have you been?")

The second time frame is that of before today. Here, contemporary tense refers to the recent past, and precontemporary to the more distant past. Contemporary tense is obligatory for the days immediately preceding today (yesterday, the day before yesterday, and several days before that). Precontemporary tense must be used for 'long ago', the remote past. Between these two poles, however, there is a large span of time which may be referred to using either tense. Speakers have a choice: an event perceived as happening relatively recently can be referred to using contemporary tense, whereas if it is felt to be relatively far in the past, precontemporary tense can be used.

The most recent use of precontemporary tense recorded was for events that took place two weeks before the time of speaking, but contemporary tense was often used in speaking of events of 1,2 and even three months ago. Thus in the following example, the speaker is telling of going hunting, at some unspecified time in the past, near Waypordo, which he identifies by saying "I showed it to you recently". Our trip to Waypordo had taken place seven weeks before the recording session, but was relatively recent compared to his hunting trip.

| 4-14 | Gu-gu-warlpu | ngu-bogi-ni-: |  | ngu-ma-nay-pu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Loc3IV-Nom-hunt | IMinS-go-Pre-X | IMinS-go along-Pre-pu |  |

I went hunting, on and on, I went along straight to/near that place Waypordo, but this side (of it), $\underline{I \text { showed it to you the other day. }}$

While precontemporary tense is usual when someone is relating stories about their childhood, or about when their own children were young, one speaker refers to an event which took place 30 years ago, the coming of white people, in contemporary tense. The way of life she was describing belonged to the time before white people, who are recent arrivals in Maningrida from the perspective of her life span and memories:


Gu-goni balanda birdibirdi a-garnagirri-dji.
3IV-this white man recently 3IS-sit down-Con (IX/65-66)
They roasted it, yellow yam ${ }^{7}$ they roasted, and long white yam and another kind of yam. Long ago in the bush we ate that, and there wasn't any of this flour....And there was no tobacco, and no flour or sugar, long ago in the bush. White man only settled recently.

In the third time frame, which covers all time up to and including the present, contemporary tense is used for actions which are taking place at the moment of speaking, for states or actions which are ongoing or habitual, and for generic statements. Precontemporary tense is used in talking of states and events of long ago. Several examples will illustrate this. The first is taken from a story about the births of the narrator's children and their contemporaries:

[^53]
## 4-16 Djona malyna tree gi-dje-rre gu-garrapu wulek (name) " " 3IVS-stand-Con 3IV-Anaph finish dji-djorrwi-rri wulek bapa Yurrwi a-yo-rri 3IIS-jump,be born-Pre finish Dad Milingimbi 3IS-lie-Con dji-ga-tji awurritj-bogi-ni Darwin, <br> 3MinA.3IIO-take-Pre/Con 3UAfS-go-Pre <br> dji-goni ø-na-ø murla <br> 3II-this,here 2MinA.3MinOImp-see-Irr2 oldest mother <br> dji-bupiyi-ø dji-na-ma-ma, <br> 3IIS-descend-Con 3IIS-twds-go along-Con <br> dji-garrapu wulek njalkitj dji-ni-ø, <br> 3II-Anaph finish weak 3IIS-be-Pre <br> wulek Darwin dji-bogi-ni.... wotjbil djinj-yu-y. <br> finish " 3IIS-go-Pre hospital 3IIS-lie-Pre

(VI/78-79)
Djona, (where) the malyna tree stands, that's where she was born, then your father who lies ( $=$ is buried) at Milingimbi took her to Darwin - see her here, your oldest mother coming down now, that's who I mean, well she was weak, she went to Darwin, she was in hospital.

In this example, both precontemporary and contemporary tenses are used. The statements in precontemporary tense describe events that occurred 20-30 years ago: Djona was born, and her mother was ill and went to Darwin. The first clause in contemporary tense identifies where the baby was born, by a tree which is still standing. Her father (and, in the classificatory kin system, my father also, hence the translation) took her mother to Darwin. As he has since died, he is described by the clause 'he lies (ie is buried) at Yurrwi' (the name my informants for Milingimbi). Her mother, my classificatory mother, who went to hospital in Darwin, was visible nearby as we were recording this story, and the narrator pointed this out to me: 'see your oldest mother, she is coming down now'. The three contemporary tense clauses all describe things in existence at the time of speaking, while the narrative in precontemporary tense describes events of long ago.

Contemporary tense is also used in describing characteristic actions (both those that are characteristic of the present, as opposed to the past, and those that are unchanging), and in making generic statements. As an example of the former, I am often kept informed of the progress of various children since I last saw them:


Lawrence is going to school, Alton is sitting up, Roderick is walking (lit. he treads on/puts weight on (the ground) he goes).

Like the English translations, these statements refer not to the time of speaking specifically (nor to yesterday or any specific recent past time), but to 'these days'.

The second example describes the characteristic activities of the shooting star:


Then he sits there, this shooting star sits there and sits there, then wherever someone is dying, he smells the stink, and he comes, he comes and gets his heart and takes it away.

Finally, 4-19 exemplifies generic statements:


Distinctions of remoteness in tense systems, and particularly distinctions contrasting 'earlier today', 'yesterday' and a 'distant past', exist in many languages (Dahl 1984 gives a survey of such languages and the distinctions involved; Comrie 1985 also discusses degrees of
remoteness). Common also is the possibility of subjective contrast between the 'yesterday/recently' and 'distant past' tenses. What appears to be rare is a system with discontinuous tenses, where the fixed time reference of one tense is interrupted by part of the fixed time reference of the other tense. The tense system found in Gurr-goni is also found (in realis status) in its close relations Burarra, Nakkara and Ndjébbana, and the neighbouring but genetically distant language Djinang appears to have a very similar system, which perhaps developed through the influence of Burarra ${ }^{8}$. (Waters' 1989 analysis treats the Present, Yesterday Past, Today Past and Remote Past tenses as four distinct tenses, although in all conjugations one suffix marks Present/Yesterday Past, and one Today Past/Remote Past).

### 4.4.2. Minor Tense Categories.

Most verbs in Gurr-goni distinguish only the three tenses described above (although in one conjugation (Conj. 6, see below) the distinction betwe en contemporary and pre-contemporary tenses is neutralised). A small number of verbs apparently distinguish two contemporary tenses; two future tenses are found with one or two verbs; and a non-future suffix that appears to encode an aspectual distinction is used by some speakers, again with a very small number of verbs.

### 4.4.2.1. Contemporary Tenses 1 and 2.

The three way distinction between future, contemporary and precontemporary was evident from the earliest days of my fieldwork, and the relevant suffixes were easily elicited using the time words garnaway 'soon' or nungúrtdjungupu 'tomorrow' for the future, birdibirdi 'yesterday, recently' for the contemporary, and giyiniga 'earlier today' for the precontemporary. I also noticed that for a number of verbs, particularly those in Conj. 5, there was apparently more than one contemporary tense suffix. This variation was observed only in conversation, where, for example, when one of the children was holding on to my hat and basket and resisting all pleas to give someone else a turn, someone remarked "a-gopi-ga" (3MinA.3IO-keep to oneself-?), "she's keeping it to herself". Another time, in very similar circumstances, the same person said, "a-gopi-rdi"; again, "she's keeping it to herself". In most instances where these forms were noticed, they were used either

[^54]in referring to something taking place at the time of speaking, or to events that I knew had occurred the day before. Checking confirmed that both could be prefaced with the time word birdibirdi 'yesterday/ recently', and neither could co-occur with giyiniga 'earlier today'. When either form was used in a serial verb construction, only a serializing verb in contemporary tense was acceptable. Both forms clearly encode contemporary tense. My observations of the speech of the middle generation (20-30ish) is that these forms are used interchangeably; there was no observable and consistent distinction between them of tense or time frame. For these speakers, they could be described as allomorphs of the Contemporary tense suffix (allomorphs of which they were a little uncertain about the distribution).
Towards the end of my fieldwork I discussed the forms concerned with Nja-Bulanj, my main teacher in the older generation. She described a clear tense distinction: one form is used for "gu-goni git-gegu birdibirdi" (3IV-this,here 3IV-new yesterday): 'this time, new time, yesterday', and for "ngutjuwey" 'right now'; and the other for "gu-gapu, gu-bu-guyuguyu, birdibirdipu" (3IV-that far distant, there; 3IV-DER-before, ahead; the day before yesterday ), 'that far off time, the time before, the day before yesterday'. Thus it appears that, just as the distinction between contemporary and pre-contemporary is one of relative distance in time, so also the contemporary tense is divided into the present, and, within the time frame of "before today", time closer to the present (this constitutes $\mathrm{Con}_{1}$ ) and time further from it $\left(\mathrm{Con}_{2}\right)$. Nja-Bulanj claimed that this distinction is made for some, but not all, of the verbs where I had observed variant forms, and also for some where I had heard no variation at all. For example:

| 4-20a | ngu-yerrk-ganma-nga |
| :---: | :---: |
| IMinA.3MinO-take off-Trans-Conl | 3IV-this |
|  | I'm taking it off now, I took it off just yesterday. |


| 4-20b ngu-yerrk-ganma- $\boldsymbol{y}$ | (gu-bu-guyuguyu) |
| :--- | :--- |
| IMinA.3MinO-take off-Trans-Con2 | Loc3IV-Der-ahead |
| I took it off |  |

The b form occurred only in elicitation; no instances of -ganma(Conj. 2) verbs with this tense ending were found in texts or noted in conversation. As I noted above, two contemporary tense forms were heard in conversational speech for other verbs, but with no apparent
difference in meaning.
A distinction between contemporary tense 1 (the most recent) and Contemporary tense 2 (further in the past) would appear to be made only on 21 verbs comprising 2 subconjugations of Conjugation 2, 1 verb in Conj. 4, and between 10 and 17 of the 47 verbs in Conj. $5^{9}$ (at most, $9 \%$ of the total number of verbs). Further work is needed to confirm the description and scope of the distinctions with other speakers of the older generation. Younger speakers appear to be losing the distinctions, and the fact that so few verbs have them even in the speech of older people suggests that a process of neutralisation and loss has been underway for some time.

### 4.4.2.2. Future Tenses.

One verb, galiyi 'listen, hear, understand, feel', has two future tense suffixes, -n and -ngu. According to Nja-Bulanj, -n is used when "ngutju arr-ne-rre" (here $1+2$ MinS-be sitting-Con), 'we two are sitting right here (next to each other)'; the other, -ngu, is used when you are listening from a long way away, "balaypalay" (far + Redup). Both these forms were recorded in texts, and occurred in contexts not inconsistent with the interpretations given above. 4-21 illustrates -n, supposedly the 'close addressee', in the context of my language teachers listening to me speaking, and 4-22 illustrates -ngu, the 'distant addressee', where it occurred in a story about a man travelling to Goulburn Island to hear some news. Both forms were also used in the context of listening to a tape immediately after we had recorded stories, and it is clear that the functions of these suffixes require further research and confirmation. Other verbs in this conjugation, and other conjugations, were checked for a second future tense, mainly by suggesting possible suffixes: many were rejected as Burarra forms.

[^55]4-21 Nji-weku-n yandu ngaytjburru-ø njiwurr-galiyi-n 2MinS-speak-Fut so lAug-unm lAugS-listen-Fut ${ }_{l}$ nguku-lorndo gun-dakangurrngu nji-weku-n .. 2Min-Dat 3IV-hard 2MinS-speak-Fut<br>Gun-marrman nji-weku-n njiwurr-yiti-n<br>3IV-good 2MinS-speak-Fut lAugS-saythis-Fut nguku-lorndo<br>2Min-Dat<br>You'll speak, so we'll listen to you, you'll speak hard (ie Yirrjinga Gurr-goni; or well, not 'weakly, softly'), "You speak well (lit. it's good you will speak)", we'll say to you.

| 4-22 | A-bogi-ni | Martbalk | a-na-djeki-rri, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3IS-go-Pre | Goulburn Island | 3IS-twds-return-Pre |

gu-ga-tji a-na-djeki-rri ngaytjburru-ø 3MinA.3IVO-take-Pre/Con 3IS-twds-return-Pre IAug-Poss $_{C}$ wetji. Wulek a-weki-ni ngaytjburru-ø, word finish 3IS-speak-Pre 1Aug-Poss ${ }_{C}$
 He went to Goulburn Island and came back, he brought us news. He said to us, "Wait, we'll stay listening, we'll stay listening now. I'll go back again, I'll go back again (and) I'll hear how he is doing."
(XI/20)

### 4.4.2.3. The suffix -dji in Conjugation 1.

Younger speakers of Gurr-goni (the middle generation of 20-30 year olds) often use a suffix -dji with the verbs barrwa 'know, think, remember', the formally reduplicated verb babirrwa 'know where a place is', and gornagi 'bath, wet'. These verbs are all transitive, and, on the basis of their Precontemporary, Contemporary and Future tense suffixes, are members of Conjugation 1.

This suffix -dji encodes nonfuture time, but is not further specified as to tense: it contrasts with both -rri and -nga (the Conj. 1 suffixes for precontemporary and contemporary tenses respectively), and when one of these three verbs, suffixed with - $\mathbf{d j i}$, is used in a serial verb
construction, the serialising verb can be in either of these two tenses.
The function of -dji is most apparent with the verb gornagi: it can only be used when the object of the verb is made completely wet, for instance being drenched by rain or immersed in water, as in the following example (with -dji glossed CP for 'completely'):

| 4-23 | (Gut-djardi) | gu-bogi-ni | a-na-ni | Ii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3IV-rain | 3IVS-go-Pre | 3MinA.3IO-see-Pre | anthill |
|  | a-dji-ø. | Wulek | a-gornagi-dji, | ule |
|  | 3IS-be standing | -Pre finish | 3MinA.3IO-wet-CP | finish |
|  | njalkitj a-n |  | weleng a-guybi-ni |  | (III/54/12-14)

The rain went on and saw an anthill standing there. Then it soaked it, and after that the anthill was soft, and sank down.
-dji cannot be used when the object is only partially made wet, and so is unacceptable in the following sentence:

| 4-24 | burrkarl a-bugórtawi-rri ni-lorndo cushion, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| child $\quad$ 3IS-urinate-Pre | 3MinNf-Dat " |

The child peed on the cushion and wet it.
(VII/79)
This distinction was revealed with the help of LN, one of the younger speakers, and accords with the other uses I observed of (a-)gornagi-dji. I later attempted to confirm it with Nja-Bulanj, and to understand how it worked with the other two verbs, but she rejected the form completely, saying that it was a Burarra word ${ }^{10}$, or a Djowunga dialect form, not a Yirrtjinga Gurr-goni one. Whether it is regarded in the same way by all of the older generation of Gurr-goni speakers remains to be discovered; clearly, younger speakers are aware of its function and do use it in speaking Gurr-goni, albeit with only a very few verbs. (Younger speakers also use a future suffix -djin with the verb gornagi, but find it less acceptable with barrwa and babirrwa. -djin appears to encode the same semantic distinction in the future as -dji does in the non-future.) (For a comparison with the suffixes of Conj.6, see

[^56]below.)

### 4.5. Status.

The verb suffixes of Gurr-goni also encode distinctions of mood, or what Foley and Van Valin (1984) refer to by the less ambiguous label 'status'. They define status as "the variable of actuality of the event, whether it has been realised or not......[or] whether the action is necessary, or likely, or merely possible." (Foley and Van Valin 1984: 213)

Gurr-goni has a two way distinction of status, realis and irrealis, whereby events are differentiated as being more or less real. Only two tenses are formally distinguished in irrealis status: precontemporary (Irrealis 1), as in realis status, and non-precontemporary (Irrealis 2), corresponding to the contemporary and future tenses of realis status. It is usually clear from the context, however, whether the nonprecontemporary form is being used with contemporary (now/recently) or future time reference.

Clauses negated by the particle galu $N E G$ have irrealis status. These clauses describe events that did not happen, are not happening or will not happen. In the following examples, affirmative and negative statements are contrasted in precontemporary tense ( $4-25 \mathrm{a}$ and b ), in contemporary tense ( $4-26 \mathrm{a}$ and b , in time frame 3 (all time), and 4-27 a and b , in time frame 1 (today), and in future tense (4-28).

## 4-25a dji-na-ni wurrparn. <br> 3MinA.3IIO-see-Pre emu. <br> He (or she) saw an emu.

(III/47/11)
4-25b galu dji-na-dji+rni djit-bolupu nuyu.
NEG 3MinA.3IIO-see-Irrl 3II-mother 3MinNf+Poss $C_{C}$
He couldn't/didn't see his mother.

| 4-26a | gobu | m-ba-nga | bambam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | goose | 3MinA.3IIIO-eat-Con | bush wheat |

$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { 4-26b } & \text { at-gardi galu } & \text { a-bay- } & \text { dji-workiyi-ø. } \\ \text { 3I-flesh } & \text { NEG } & \text { 3MinA.3IO-eat-Irr2 } & \text { 3IIS-do always-Irr2 }\end{array}$
She doesn't eat meat.

```
4-27a Lay, ngu-na-tji ngu-ne-rre,
Hey IMinA.3MinO-see-Con IMinS-be-Con
guwa, arr-bamiyi-n.
come 1+2MinS-carry-Fut
[A hunter says when he finds the prey he has shot:] Hey, \(\underline{\text { I'm }}\) looking at it, come here and we'll carry it.
```


## 4-27b A-gornagorrmi-yi-ni ngapala, <br> 3IS-block-Intr-Pre IMin+Dat

```
galu ngu-na- \(\quad\) ngu-ni- .
NEG 1MinA.3MinO-see-Irr2 1MinS-be,sit-Irr2
He's blocking my view, I can't see it / I'm not seeing it.
4-28 Maka woku dji-bu-yi-ni, galu djitjitja
FaMo hand,foot 3IIS-hit-Intr-Pre NEG fish
a-bay-ø. \(\quad . .\). dji-ma-rdi-: niyi
3MinA.3IO-eat-Irr2 3IIS-go along-Fut-X alright waypu gu-bulun-miyi-n a-ba-rdi djarldjarl.
until 3IVS-old-Inch-Fut 3MinA.3IO-eat-Fut game (VII/99-100)
```

Grandma has lost her son (lit. has hit her hand/foot), she won't eat fish. ...She'll go on (like this) for a long time, then when time has passed (lit. it (a death) has become old), she'll eat game.

### 4.5.1. Irrealis Precontemporary (Irr 1).

The irrealis precontemporary has two functions aside from its use in negated clauses. One function, illustrated in the following examples, is to refer to events which have not happened, but which the speaker can imagine having happened: something almost happened, or might have or would have happened. We could call this function 'potential'.

## 4-29 Djungdjung dji-ba-nga+rni, ngu-bu-ni. dog 3MinA.3IIO-eat,bite-Irrl 1MinA.3MinO-hit-Pre A dog almost bit her, I hit it.

4-30 maka dji-na-djeka-nga+rni, nguwurr-bogi-ya+rni. FaMo 3IIS-twds-go back-Irrl $\quad 1+2 \mathrm{AugS}$-go-Irrl
Your grandma could have come back, (so) we could all have gone (implying if your grandma had come back, we could all have gone).

## 4-31 Wurru at-gardi nji-na-ga-tji-rni ngapala. but 3I-flesh 2MinA.3MinO-twds-take-Irr1 1Min+Dat (VII/89/22)

But you might have brought some meat for me.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 4-32 } & \text { Gi- }-\mathbf{y i n i} \text {-gi+rni } & \text { ngu-bogi-ya+rni } \\
\text { [3IVS-do thus-Irrl] } & \text { Nangak, worro. } \\
\text { =earlier today, unrealised" } 11
\end{array}
$$

## 4-33 Mundjarra ngu-rra-dji+rni <br> unrealised intention 1MinA.3MinO-shoot-Irr1 <br> wurru warrpura gu-numi-rri ngapala. <br> but underarm sweat 3MinA.3IVO-smell-Pre IMin-Dat (III/36/20)

I intended to shoot it, but it smelt my sweat (and ran off).
While several of these examples could be translated as "I wish I could have...", "I wish you/she had...", it is clear from 4-29 that this is not part of the meaning of this tense/status category, but is inferred from the actual meaning.

The second function of precontemporary irrealis is to refer to events characteristic of a time long past; we could call this 'past habitual'. It is used, for example, when someone is recalling the type of activities that took place when they were young, or the way they would go about doing something. These things did happen, and are referred to not as specific events taking place at a particular place on a particular day, but as typical events, events that would have happened at that time. For example, the following text tells about the traditional kind of "damper":

[^57]4-34 Njiwurr-bogi-yi+rni njiwu-me-ka+rni lAugS-go-Irrl 1AugA.3MinO-get-Irr1 at-balpi weleng njibu-wa-nga+rni. 3I-grinding stone then 1AugA.3MinO-throw-Irrl Njibu-wa-nga+rni njiwurr-ma-ø+rni: 1AugA.3MinO-throw-Irr1 1AugS-go along-Irr1-X djapu ganditjawa njibu-yolidji-ga+rni, like damper lAugA.3MinO-cook in ashes-IrrI mu-garrapu an-daka arrapu djitjitj. 3III-Anaph 3I-waterlily seeds and waterlily sp.seeds (III/84-85)
We used to go and get grinding stones, then we would throw it (=grind), we would grind it and grind it, we would cook it in the ashes like damper, waterlily seeds (?Nelumbo nucifera?) and seeds of another waterlily (?Nymphaea gigantea?) are the ones I'm talking about.

In another text, the narrator is describing a particular time when her father and several others went hunting brolga, and she uses Precontemporary tense for the storyline. After some dialogue, she explains that this is how her father used to propose a hunting trip:

| 4-35 | Gurrkal mi-dje-rre | njiwurr-ni-ø, | awurr-weki-ni |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $?$ | 3IIIS-stand-Con | lAugS-be sitting-Pre | 3AugS-speak-Pre |

Like a-goni mawa a-yini-ga+rni ni-lorndo of p196 wurru gakak, a-gabi djongok bami a-galiyi-rri. but MoMo(Bro) 3I-there Mo-in-law(Bro) [head 3IS-hear-Con] =father
(X/129-130)
We were staying at Gurrkal mi-djerre, and they said, "Hey? You

[^58]and I'll go, to Balpi gu-djerre (stone stands there). We'll all kill some whatsits with goose spears, we'll kill brolga, let's you and I go for brolga." Like this grandfather of yours would talk like this to him, your granny, your djongok over there's father.

Use of a single form to express past habitual and past potential or contrafactive is not unique to Gurr-goni. It is common among South Asian languages (of the Indo-Aryan, Dravidian and Munda families) (von Munkwitz 1995 and pc). In English, also, one way of expressing past habitual is to use the modal verb 'would'; and the Californian language Tolkapaya Yavapai ${ }^{13}$ has a modal affix, the semantic domain of which "includes such expressions as failed attempts, unfulfilled desires, descriptions of a state that formerly obtained but which no longer does, and situations where the realisation of one event precludes that of another" (Harvey and Gordon 1980:191). This range of functions is very close to those of the precontemporary irrealis in Gurr-goni.

### 4.5.2. Irrealis Non-Precontemporary (Irr2).

As mentioned above, there is no formal distinction between contemporary and future tenses in irrealis status. Often, however, it is clear from the context which is intended. In 4-36, the clause in which the Irr2 verb form appears is apposed to one in realis contemporary tense:

## 4-36 Mundjarra unrealised intention IUAnfS-go-Irr2 1 Min-Card and

Daryl wurpu burrkburrk gu-me-ka. name just badsickness 3MinA.3IVO-get-Con

Daryl and I intended to come (yesterday), but he got sick.
In 4-37, the non-precontemporary irrealis follows an imperative, and so clearly indicates a possible future outcome:

| 4-37 | $\boldsymbol{q}$-Wu- $\boldsymbol{q}$ | an-ngidjiyé-pu djaluwu |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2MinA.3MinOImp-give-Irr2 | 3I-3MinF-Card | otherwise |
| djin-duwdjiyi-ø |  |  |
| 3IIS-cry-Irr2 |  |  |
| Give it to her, it's hers, otherwise she might cry. |  |  |

[^59]As this example shows too, imperative mood is expressed by a combination of an Irrealis 2 verb form with an imperative pronominal prefix (given above in §4.3.2). The imperative mood is discussed more fully below in $\S 4.6$.

The habitual function identified above is also found with the nonprecontemporary irrealis. The following example describes a typical scenario, one that might happen any day, rather than a particular event. Again, the connection between the irrealis form and the aspectual meaning can be carried into the English translation by using the modal verb 'will'; among many other functions, 'will' also expresses 'habit' (Palmer 1986:216).
4-38 Mu-djungdjung-yerrtji arrbu-gorrmi,

Coll-dog-mob arrbu-gorrmi 1+2nsA.3nsO-put+Irrl
$1+2 n s A .3 n s O-p u t+I r r 2$
wipa awurr-dji-ø
camp 3AugS-stand-Irr1
wurru nguwurr-boy-ø gu-gu-warlpu ngalngi but $1+2$ AugS-go-Irr2 Loc3IV-Nom-hunt turtle gu-manj. .... Wulek ant-gumúpurda a-boy-ø purpose of hunt finish 3I-dingo 3IS-go-Irr2 burr-bay-ø mu-djungdjung-yerrtji 3MinA.3AugO-eat-Irr2 Coll-dog-mob (V/55)
We'll put the dogs (there), we'll leave them to stay at camp (lit. we will put them they will stand), but we'll go hunting for turtle. Then the dingo will come and eat the dogs.

Although I have taken the terms realis and irrealis as convenient labels that represent the two extremes of 'realised' and 'unrealised' events, both statuses are available when referring to future events, which are by definition unrealised.

Future tense in realis status is used to state the speaker's intentions and to make predictions about the behaviour of others; in using the future realis, speakers indicate that they consider the realisation of an event highly probable: it is only a matter of time before it happens. Nonprecontemporary irrealis, with future reference, on the other hand, is used where an event is merely possible: time will reveal whether it will happen or not, and, sometimes, timely action may perhaps be taken to avoid it. In 4-39, a child states his intention of moving to the back of the
canoe: this is in realis status. His mother sees that he won't be as safe there, and says "No, you might drown", using irrealis status:

| 4-39 | "Ngayi-pu | ngu-djeki-rdi | ngu-djarti | gabi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | lMin-Card | lMinS-go back-Fut | lMinS-go quickly | there |
|  | ngu-ni-ngu wulun." | "Galu, | nji-guybu-ø." |  |
|  | lMinS-sit-Fut back | No | 2MinS-sink,drown-Irr2 |  |
|  | "I'm going back there to sit in the stern." "No, you might fall in |  |  |  |
|  | and drown." |  | (V/114/5) |  |

Future realis is also often used in place of the imperative when telling people what to do (see $\S 4.6$ below), while future irrealis is used in making suggestions involving the speaker and addressee. 4-40 shows future irrealis used both in making a suggestion - "let's go", and in referring to a possible future event - "the sun might go down". The movement of the sun is of course entirely predictable, and the time of future events can be predicted or stated in relation to it, as in 4-41, where future realis is used. In 4-40, the implication is that unless we move now, the sun might set before we reach home: something that is possible, but can be avoided.

| 4-40 | Nguwurr-boy- $\varnothing$ | la? | djaluwu | djinj-yipdja- $\varnothing$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | l+2AugS-go-Irr2 | $?$ | otherwise | 3IIS-sun set-Irr 2 |

(VI/35/26)
Let's go, shall we? otherwise the sun might set.
4-41 "Djinj-yipdji-n yandu njirrbu-gorrmi-rdi
3IIS-sun set-Fut so InsA.2nsO-put down-Fut
njiwurr-ni-ngu wurru njina-bo-go
2AugS-be sitting-Fut but 1UAnfS-go-Fut
an-daparl njina-bu-n ant-gubútja.
3I-goose spear IUAnfA.3MinO-hit,kill-Fut 3I-brolga
A-garnagarra-n bipinerre," a-yiti-nji.
3IS-sit down-Fut evening 3IS-do,say like this-Pre
"The sun will go down so we will leave you here (lit. we will put you you will sit), but we will go with sticks and kill brolga. They will settle in the evening," he said.
(X/134-135)

### 4.6. Illocutionary Mood.

In the category of illocutionary mood, Gurr-goni distinguishes
two moods, declarative and imperative. It is only in the verbal pronominal prefixes that the distinction is made; there are no distinct imperative verbal suffixes. The declarative mood is signalled by the use of the declarative pronominal prefixes, which may co-occur with any of the tense/status suffixes. Imperative mood is expressed by the combination of imperative pronominal prefixes and the irrealis nonprecontemporary (Irr 2) suffix.

The imperative prefixes are shown above in $\S 4.3 .2$. These distinctive prefixes are used only in affirmative imperatives when no direction is specified, and in imperatives of the type 'Stop Xing'. Their use is illustrated in the following examples:

## 4-42 Arlay? Ngutju birrinjí-ni-ø, Hey? here 2UAfSImp-be,sit-Irr2 <br> wurru ngu-bo-go ngu-yerrk-ganmi-rdi. but 1MinS-go-Fut lMinA.3MinO-take off/out-Trans-Fut

 (X/47)Hey? You and your sister stay here, I'm going to take off (=cut) (bark).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 4-43 An-daparl bini-boy- } \varnothing, \quad \text { bini-boy- } \varnothing \\
\text { 3I-goose spear 2UAnfSImp-go-Irr2 } \quad \text { 2UAnfSImp-go-Irr2 } \\
\text { bini-gornda-ø ani-djata-n } \\
\text { 2UAnfA.3MinOImp-cut-Irr2 } & 1+2 U A n f S \text {-whittle-Fut } \\
\text { You two boys go for a goose spear, you two go and cut one and } \\
\text { we'll all three whittle it. } \\
(\mathrm{X} / 131 / 21)
\end{array}
$$

| 4-44 | njdjina-gogadarra-ø yandu | nji-na-ni |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2MinA. IUAnfOImp-show-Irr2 where matji a-dji-ø | 2MinA.3MinO-see-Pre |
|  | ghost 3IS-stand-Pre |  |
|  | Show us where you saw the ghost standing | g. (III/68/22-23) |

4-45 Wulek buwu-walkwu-ø Nicole!
finish 2AugA.3MinOImp-frighten-Irr2 name
Stop frightening Nicole!
(VIII/65/1a)

4-46 Wulek ø-wa-waligiyi-ø
finish 2MinSImp-Redup-play-Irr 2
Stop playing around!

When a directional prefix (see below, $\S 4.9$ ) is included, specifying the direction of the action, the declarative prefixes must be used. Thus:

| 4-47a | ¢-boy-ø! | but | b |  | nji-na-boy-ø! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2MinSImp-go-Irr2 |  |  |  | 2MinS-twds-go-Irr2 |
|  | Go! |  |  |  | Come here! |

To form a negative imperative, declarative prefixes are used with the non-precontemporary irrealis form of the verb, and the verb is negated by one of the particles galu 'NEG', wurpu 'don't just' or mangarraka 'mustn't'. For example:

| 4-48 | galu balay nji-boy- $\boldsymbol{\varnothing}!$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $N E G$ far | 2MinS-go-Irr2 |
|  | Don't go far! |  |


| 4-49 | mangarraka | nji-bay-ø | gu-woku, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | mustn't | 2MinA.3MinO-eat-Irr2 | Loc3IV-hand |
|  | spoon | ø-ma-ø. |  |
|  | " | 2MinA.3MinOImp-get-Irr2 |  |

You mustn't eat with your hands, get a spoon. (IV/153/8)
While wurpu and mangarraka have unambiguously imperative force (see §§5.7.4-5), galu does not. The same clause, for example:
4-50 at-gardi galu nji-bay-ø
3I-flesh NEG 2MinA.3MinO-eat-Irr2
can be understood, according to context and also intonation, as a statement ('you don't eat meat'), as a question ('don't you eat meat?'), or as a command ('don't eat (the) meat').

Declarative verbs in the future tense, realis status can also be used with imperative force, as illustrated in the following example:
4-51 Nji-na-wa-rdi ngaytjburru-ø mun-muka 2MinA.3MinO-twds-throw-Fut lAug-Poss ${ }_{C}$ 3III-lots Throw us down lots (of fruit).

### 4.7. Tense/Status Suffixes: The Conjugations.

Gurr-goni, like all the languages immediately surrounding it, has a large number of inflecting verbs ${ }^{14}$. The total number to date is around 400 , and further research would undoubtedly add to this. The tense/status/(aspect) categories which they inflect for are described above; any one verb can inflect for only 4 or 5 of the 8 distinct categories. The realis status tense categories each have a number of allomorphs: verbs select one of the 10 realis precontemporary allomorphs, one or two of the 10 realis contemporary allomorphs, and one or two of the 5 realis future allomorphs. The irrealis status suffixes, by comparison, show almost no variation. For all verbs excepting those of Conjs. 2c, 5a and 7 b , Irrealis 1 , the precontemporary tense, is encoded by a suffix -rni added to the realis contemporary tense suffix. For Conjs 2c, 5a, and 7b, the contemporary tense suffix is omitted and -rni is added directly to the stem. (Conj. 5c has two Irrealis 1 forms, one in which -rni is added directly to the stem, and one in which it follows a contemporary tense suffix.) In Irrealis 2, the non-precontemporary tense, all verbs take a zero suffix. (It is the Irr 2 form which has been chosen as the citation form for all verbs, as in this category, with an Imperative prefix, the bare verb stem appears. This stem is identical to that on which derived nouns are based.) In Conjugations 2, 3 and 8 there are also some irregularities between the root form and the stem to which tense suffixes are attached. ${ }^{15}$.

26 distinct patterns of inflection result from this selection of tense/status allomorphs. Many of these patterns are very similar, and can be grouped together. I have chosen here to group them into 8 major conjugations; other arrangements are no doubt possible.

Many of the conjugations established on this basis also show great homogeneity in the final syllable of the stem, and it is possible that many verbs are derived from ancient compounds of a noninflecting (or non-

[^60]verbal) part of speech with an inflecting, monosyllabic verb root, which is reflected synchronically in the stem final syllable. Thus, for instance, all di- or poly-syllabic verb stems in conj. 2 end in -ma~-mi, and the monosyllabic root ma 'go along' has a very similar pattern of inflection (it is grouped with this conjugation). All verbs (bar one) in Conj. 3a end in -dja or -tja, and there is a monosyllabic verb $\mathbf{d j a} \sim \mathbf{d j e}$ 'put vertically' which takes very similar tense allomorphs. Similarly, all verbs in Conj. 5 end in -bu/-pu (or - $\mathbf{p i}$ ), and the monosyllabic root bu 'hit' has an almost identical pattern of inflection. In a very few cases, the initial segment of the verb stems also exists as an independent word (these verb stems and the related morphemes are shown in (a) to (i) below). There are also a small number of pairs of intransitive and transitive verb stems, which share the same initial segment, and whose transitivity clearly derives from the final segment. Examples of these are shown in ( j ) to ( m ) below. For all verbs, the conjugational membership is shown in brackets.
(a) arruw tears
(b) garrbar bright moonlight
(c) ngek breath
(d) buka type of honey
(e) gurkur cough (n)
(f) girdéy lungs, laughter
(g) rarrk design of painting
(h) njok, njok woof, woof
(i) -rohrok same (adj)
rruw-dji-yi (8c) tears-?-Intr
warrbar-dja (3a) be white, bright ngeki (ngek-gi??) (1a) breathe, rest for breath
buka-bu (5a) soak up honey with grass
gurkur-dja (3a) cough (v)
girdétja (3a) laugh
rarrk-wa (1a) 'paint design on sth' njok-ganmi (2a) (dog) bark at (tr) rohrok-ganmi (2a) count
(j) gunji-wi (1a) trick, play joke
(k) ngurt-ganmi (2a) stop someone talking, shut someone up
gunji-yi (8c) tell a lie ngurt-dja stop talking, shut up, become quiet
(1) bengday-ganmi (2a) let know bengday-dja remind oneself (m) gart-ma (3b) hook up gartgart-dja (3a) be caught up $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{by}$ something
gart-dja (3a) be crowded in, stuck in together

Table 4.14. Tense / Status Allomorphs.

|  | Total | Pre | Con 1 | Con 2 | CP | Fut 1 | Fut2 | Irr 1 | Irr 2 | monosyllables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a | 39 | -rri | - ga \} |  |  | -rdi |  | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}+\mathrm{mi}$ | -ø |  |
| 1 b | 2 | [a]-rri | [a]-\{ga $\}$ |  |  | [a]-rdi |  | [a]-\{ga\}+rni | [ay]-ø | bay eat,bite way throw |
| 1 c | 3 | -rri | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}$ |  | -dji | -rdi | -djin | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}+\mathrm{rni}$ | -ø |  |
| 1d | 4 | -rday | \{-ga $\}$ |  |  | -rdi |  | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}+\mathrm{rni}$ | -ø |  |
| 2a | 21 | -nay | \{-ga\} | [a]- $\varnothing$ |  | -rdi |  | $-\phi+\mathrm{rni}$ | [i]- $\varnothing$ |  |
| 2 b | 12 | -nay | [a]- $\varnothing$ |  |  | -rdi |  | $-\phi+\mathrm{rni}$ | [i]- $\varnothing$ |  |
| 2c | 1 | -nay | -ma |  |  | -rdi |  | - $\varnothing$ +rni | meme | ma go along |
| 2d | 1 | -rri | [a]-ø | \{-ga |  | -rdi |  | ? | [i]- $\varnothing$ |  |
| 3a | 100 | [i]-nji | [i]-\{ga |  |  | [i]-n |  | [i]-\{ga\}+rni | [a]-ф |  |
| 3 b | 7 | [e]-nji | [e]-ka |  |  | [e]-n |  | [e]-\{ga\}+rni | [a]-ø | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { dja stand (tr) } \\ \text { ma get } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 3c | 5 | -ngu | - $\{\mathrm{ga}$ \} |  |  | -n |  | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}+\mathrm{rni}$ | -ø | djo scold rro burn (in) |
| 4 a | 7 | -ni | -tji |  |  | -n | * | -dji+rni | -ø | na 'see' <br> rra 'spear' <br> wu 'give' |
| 4 b | 20 | -ni | -dji |  |  | -n | * | -dji+mi | - $\varnothing$ |  |
| 4 c | 1 | -ni | -dji | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}$ |  | -n | * | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}+\mathrm{rni}$ | - $\varnothing$ |  |
| 5a | 33 | -ni | -rdi | * |  | -n |  | $-\phi+\mathrm{rni}$ | - $\varnothing$ |  |
| 5b | 7 | -ni | -rdi | \{-ga $\}$ |  | -n |  | ? | - $\varnothing$ |  |
| 5c | 5 | -ni | - \{ga $\}$ | -rdi |  | -n |  | $-\varnothing /\{\mathrm{ga}\}+\mathrm{rni}$ | - $\varnothing$ |  |
| 5 d | 1 | -ni | - $\{\mathrm{ga}$ \} | * |  | -n |  | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}+\mathrm{rni}$ | - $\varnothing$ |  |
| 5 e | 1 | -ni | -rndi |  |  | -n |  | -rndi+mi | - $\varnothing$ | bu 'hit' |
| 6a | 10 | -dji | -dji |  |  | -djin |  | -dji+rni | - $\varnothing$ |  |
| 6 b | 2 | -tji | -tji |  |  | -tjin |  | -tji+rni | - $\varnothing$ | ga 'take' |
| 7 a | 4 | $-\varnothing,-y$ | -rri, -rre |  |  | -ngu |  | CON+rni | -ø | dji 'stand' <br> ni 'sit' <br> yu 'lie' |
| 7b | 4 | -ni | -rri |  |  | -n | -ngu | $-\phi+\mathrm{rni}$ | -ø |  |
| 8 a | 2 | [o]-gi-ni | [o]-gi-ya |  |  | [o]-go |  | [o]-gi-ya+rni | [oy]- $\varnothing$ | boy 'go' |
| 8 b | 5 | [ki]-ni | [ki]-ya |  |  | [ku]-n |  | [ki]-ya+rni | [tij]- $\varnothing$ |  |
| 8 c | 102 | -ni | -ø |  |  | -n |  | - $\varnothing$ + rni | - $\varnothing$ |  |

[ x$]$ shows variable stem endings. * indicates that possible suffixes were rejected by Nja-Bulanj.
Allomorphs of the contemporary tense suffix $\{-\mathrm{ga}\}$ : This morpheme has three allomorphs. It is realised as $/-\mathbf{k a} /$ following an open syllable which receives primary stress (ie, an open monosyllabic root, and compound stems where such a root is the
second element). Following an open unstressed syllable beginning with a non-velar stop, it is realised as $/-\mathrm{ga} /$. Elsewhere (following unstressed syllables beginning with a velar stop, any nasal or any glide ${ }^{16}$, or following stressed closed syllables) it is realised as /-nga/.

Thus with /-ka/:
Conj. 3b: /ngu-me-ka/
IMinA.3MinO-get-Con
Conj. 3c: /ngu-rro-ka/
IMinS-burn-Con
With /-ga/:

| Conj. 3a: | /ngu-bitji-ga/ | Conj. 3c: | /ng-gornda-ga/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | IMinA.3MinO-tie, wrap-Con |  | IMinA.3MinO-cut-Con |

## With/-nga/:

Conj. 1a: /ngu-rrimi-nga/ Conj. 1c: /ngu-barrwa-nga/ Conj. 1d: /ngu-rrika-nga/ IMinA.3MinO-hold-Con IMinA.3MinO-know-Con IMinS-crawl-Con

Table 4.14 above displays the tense/status allomorphs for each of the 8 conjugations. (Asterisks * in the CON 2 and FUT 2 columns show that Nja-Bulanj, my main informant of the older generation, specifically indicated that the verbs in question did not encode that category.) In the section following, I briefly summarise the characteristics of each conjugation: the number of verbs to date, their characteristic final syllables, the prevailing transitivity, and the tense/status categories encoded, and I also list all monosyllabic members.

## Conjugation 1.

|  | Pre | Con1 | Con2 | CP | Fut | FutCP | Irr1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Irr2

In conjugation 1 , verbs take the allomorphs $/-\mathrm{ga} /$ and $/-\mathrm{nga} /$ of the morpheme $\{-\mathrm{ga}\}$, see note to Table 4.14 above.

[^61]Conjugation 1a contains 39 verbs to date, all di- or poly-syllabic. The final syllables of verbs in this conjugation are:

| - mi | 13 | - gi | 7 | $-n j i$ | 1 | -wa | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - pi | 3 | - ki | 1 | $-t j i$ | 1 | -wu | 4 |
| $-b i$ | 1 | $-k a$ | 1 | $-n a$ | 1 |  |  |

Just over two-thirds ( $27 / 39$ ) of the verbs in this subconjugation are transitive, as are all the verbs in subconjugations 1 b and 1 c .

Conjugation 1b contains only two verbs, both monosyllabic: bay 'bite, consume' and way 'throw'. These are irregular in that the rootfinal glide $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is deleted before overt tense suffixes:
$/ \mathrm{y} / \rightarrow \quad \emptyset \quad / \quad+\quad$ tense suffix
This deletion must be ordered after the Contemporary tense suffix is chosen (see note to Table 4.14 above), as bay and way both take /-nga/, which does not occur after stressed open syllables.

Conjugation 1c contains the three verbs gornagi 'wet, bath (tr)', barrwa 'know, think', and babirrwa 'know where place is' with which younger speakers use the completive (CP) suffixes -dji and -djin (see §4.4.2.3 above).

Conjugation 1d comprises four verbs which take an unusual precontemporary tense suffix -rday. In the remaining tenses they pattern like Conj. 1 verbs, taking Con $\{-\mathbf{g a}\}$ and Fut -rdi, and on this basis they have been placed within Conjugation 1. The verbs are djarrka 'spread out (tr)', djoka 'waken', rrika 'crawl' and rrirrga 'walk around'.

## Conjugation 2

|  | Pre | Con1 | Con2 | CP | Fut | Irr 1 | Irr2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 a | -nay | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}$ | [a]-ø |  | -rdi | - $\varnothing$ +rni | [i]-ø |
| 2 b | -nay | [a]-ø |  |  | -rdi | $-\phi+\mathrm{rni}$ | [i]-ø |
| 2 c | -nay | -ma |  |  | -rdi | - $\varnothing+\mathrm{rni}$ | [meme] |
| 2d | -rri | [a]-ø | - $\{\mathrm{ga}\}$ |  | -rdi | ? | [i] |

The final syllable of all verbs in this conjugation is -mV : the vowel is $/ \mathrm{a} /$ in contemporary tense, realis status, and $/ \mathrm{i} /$ in the nonprecontemporary irrealis form. The verb 'put' illustrates this:
ø-gorrmi-ø 'put it down!'
2MinA.3MinOImp-put down-Irr2
mu-gorrmi-ø 'he/she might put it (Cl.III) down'
3MinA.3IIIO-put down-Irr2
mu-gorrma-ø
'he/she puts it (Cl.III) down'
3MinA.3IIIO-put down-Con
Conjugation 2a, with 21 verbs to date, is completely transitive: all verb stems end in the transitive suffix -ganmi- (see §4.8.3 below). Conjugation 2 b , with 12 verbs, is primarily intransitive, and includes the verbs yinmi 'do what, how' and garlmi 'get up, set off', and three verbs derived from garlmi by a process of lexical nominal incorporation (not fully productive): bamagarlmi 'surface in water' (cf bami 'head'; or gin-minabámi 'water'17); djarnurragarlmi 'stretch neck up' (djarnurra 'neck'); and gondagarlmi 'wave arms, put arms up' (gonda 'arm'). The only transitive verbs in this subconjugation are gorrmi 'put down' and two verbs which appear to be derived from it, bologorrmi '(rain) fill up (creeks, ponds, etc) with water' (although bolo is otherwise unknown), and rrenjmugorrmi 'put to sleep, lay flat' (rrenjmu 'temple, side of head'). One mono-syllabic verb, ma 'go along, walk' is found in sub-conjugation 2 c ; it has irregular (and formally reduplicated) contemporary realis and non-precontemporary irrealis forms, mama Con and meme Irr2.

Conjugation 2d comprises one verb, gegimi 'dislike' (transitive), which, like verbs in Conjs. 1a-1e, takes -rri as the precontemporary suffix, but otherwise patterns with the verbs in Conj. 2 .

According to Nja-Bulanj, verbs in subconjugations 2a and 2c distinguish contemporary tense 1 and contemporary tense 2 , while those in subconjugation 2 b do not. In 2 a , the suffix $\{$-ga $\}$ is apparently used for CON 1, and the -ma-ø ending functions here to encode CON 2. The opposite applies in 2c, where CON 1 is encoded by -ma- $\varnothing$ and CON 2 by $\{-\mathbf{g a}\}$. No instances of -ma-ø used with 2 a verbs, nor of $\{-\mathrm{ga}\}$ with gegimi, were recorded or noted in conversation or elicitation sessions other than the session in which this information was given, and I was unable in the time remaining to check this with other older speakers.

[^62]
## Conjugation 3.

|  | Pre | Con1 | Con2 | CP | Fut | Irr 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a | [i]-nji | [i]-\{ga\} |  |  | [i]-n | [i]-\{ga\}+rni | [a]-ø |
| 3b | [e]-nji | [e]-\{ga\} |  |  | [e]-n | [e]-\{ga\}+rni | [a]- |
| 3 c | -ngu | -\{ga\} |  |  | -n | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}+\mathrm{rni}$ | -ø |

The allomorphs of $\{-\mathrm{ga}\}$ occurring here are $/-\mathrm{ga} /(3 \mathrm{a})$ and $/-\mathrm{ka} /(3 \mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{c})$; see note to Table 4.14 above.

This conjugation comprises several groups of verbs, all of which take $-\mathbf{n}$ in the future tense, $\{-\mathbf{g a}\}$ in the contemporary tense, and $-\mathbf{n j i}$ or -ngu in the precontemporary tense.

Of all conjugations and sub-conjugations, Conjugation 3a is the largest (apart from the open conjugation 8 c in which all derived intransitive verbs are found). 100 verbs have been recorded to date for Conj. 3a. The final syllable of 99 of the verbs is -tja or -dja (the hundredth is yita 'do, say like this'). The majority (75) are intransitive.

Conjugation 3b contains only 7 verbs: two monosyllabic roots $\mathbf{d j a} \sim \mathbf{d j e}$ 'stand something up, put vertically, name', and ma~me 'get', and 5 compounds of ma: djalk-ma '?strike and slash open?', djit-ma 'steal', gart-ma 'hook up', mornin-ma '?win from, take the place of', and wil-ma 'pull in or down with a long stick'.

Conjugations 3 a and 3 b have almost identical inflectional patterns. In both, the root (or $\operatorname{Irr} 2$ form) ends in $/ \mathrm{a} /$. Before all overt suffixes, the stem final vowel of verbs in Conj. 3a is $/ \mathrm{i}$ /; that of verbs in Conj. 3b is /e/. Other word forms in which a mid vowel is found in a stressed syllable, alternating with a high vowel in an unstressed syllable (for example, medjimidji 'hair', merdimirdi 'vein', worduwurdu 'shadow, spirit',) suggest that an alternation between i and e (and between $u$ and o) was once phonologically conditioned. Synchronically, this is not a valid analysis, however, and so two subconjugations are recognised here.

In Conjugation 3c, there are 5 verbs, monosyllabic djo 'scold (tr)', and rro 'burn, cook, be hot (intr)' disyllabic gornda 'cut', and two derivatives of it: gogurnda 'cut in half, cut in pieces?' and djamagornda 'cut horizontal section out of?'

Conjugation 4.

|  | Pre | Con1 | Con2 | CP | Fut | Irr 1 | Irr2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4a | -ni | -tji |  |  | -n | -tji+rn | -ø |
| 4b | -ni | -dji |  |  | -n | -dji+rni | -ø |
| 4 c | -ni | -dji | - $\{\mathrm{ga}\}$ |  | -rdi | *-dji/ | -ø |

* indicates that this form was rejected by Nja-Bulanj; $\sqrt{ }$ that this form was accepted.

Conjugation 4a contains three monosyllabic verbs, na 'see', rra 'spear (poke, stab, sew, etc)', and wu 'give', plus compounds of na and rra: burrkburrk-na 'recognise by sight' (compare burrkburrkgaliyi 'recognise by hearing', mornungu-na 'blame' (mornungu 'culprit, guilty one'), warle-rra 'raise and aim spear', and wetji-na 'ask' (wetji 'language; speak, talk'). All are transitive. In all the compound verbs, the verb root retains primary stress (and the first syllable of the left member of the compound takes secondary stress).

There are 20 verbs in Conj. 4 b , all of which are di- or polysyllabic. The majority (16) are transitive. The final syllable of 10 of the verbs is -wu, 8 end in -rra, 1 in -na (gana, 'watch, be awake'), and 1 in -ta (djata 'shave, whittle'). Gana 'watch, be awake' may well be derived from monosyllabic na 'see', as there is a clear semantic connection. Some of the verbs ending in -wu and perhaps -rra may also be derived from wu 'give' and rra 'spear' respectively. A semantic connection can be seen between 'give' and walkwu 'scare' ('give a fright to') and perhaps also gilikiliwu 'tickle' (?'give the giggles to?'), rraka(rraka)wu 'tie up tightly, prevent from doing something' (cf rraka 'hard, tight'), and rrirriwu 'tie up, tighten'. Others, such as bawu 'leave', djawu 'breastfeed' ${ }^{18}$, garra 'mix together, make one' and morra 'not know' appear to be monomorphemic, and some are themselves the basis for etymologically compound verbs (bap-morra 'father A die leaving children O', gal-bawu '(clothing) not fit (be too big for)', garna-garra 'sit down'.

Conjugation 4c contains only one verb, djarnda 'hurt (tr)', which is set apart in a separate sub-conjugation as it is the only verb in this conjugation which distinguishes contemporary tense 1 (-dji, the regular Con suffix for this conjugation) from contemporary tense 2 (-ga).

[^63]
## Conjugation 5.

|  | Pre | Con1 | Con2 | CP | Fut | Irr 1 | Irr2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5a | -ni | -rdi | * |  | -n | - $\varnothing+\mathrm{rni}$ | -ø |
| 5 b | -ni | -rdi | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}$ |  | -n | ? | - $\emptyset$ |
| 5c | -ni | - $\{\mathrm{ga}\}$ | -rdi |  | -n | $-\varnothing-/\{\mathrm{ga}\}+\mathrm{rni}$ | -ø |
| 5 d | -ni | - $\{\mathrm{ga}\}$ | * |  | -n | $-\{\mathrm{ga}\}+\mathrm{rni}$ | - $\varnothing$ |
| 5 e | -ni | -rndi |  |  | -n | - -rndi+rni | - $\varnothing$ |

$\{$-ga is realised as $/$-ga/ here; see note to Table 4.14 above.
Conjugation 5 , with 47 verbs, is as large as conjugation 1 , but more homogeneous in stem formation. All di- or polysyllabic verb stems end in -bu, -pu, or -pi, and one monosyllabic verb, bu 'hit, kill', belongs in this conjugation (with an irregular contemporary tense suffix, it is placed alone in subconjugation 5e). Although very few of the initial syllables in these verb stems are recognisable as independent morphemes, it seems likely that they result from very ancient compounding on the verb bu 'hit, kill'. Etymologically recognisable compounds include bukabu 'soak up honey with grass' (cf. buka 'grass type'), and possibly bamabu 'forget' (cf. bami (Burarra bama) 'head'.

Subdivisions $a, b, c$ and $d$ of this conjugation divide the verbs which have only one contemporary tense suffix ( $a$ and $b$ ) from those that have two (c and d). Although only two allomorphs are involved, it seems that $\{$-ga $\}$ is Con 1 for some verbs, and Con 2 for others; -rdi likewise is Con 1 for some verbs and Con 2 for others. Even with the verbs that have only one Contemporary tense, one selects $\{$-ga\}, and the others -rdi. This system seems tailormade to create confusion, which was in fact evident in the speakers' use of these allomorphs (see §4.4.2.1); it may well be that the complexity of the system represents a stage in which the contemporary tense distinctions are gradually going out of use, and the allomorphy of the suffixes is being lexicalised to some extent.

## Conjugation 6.

|  | Pre | Con1 | Con2 | CP | Fut | Irr1 | Irr2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 a | -dji | -dji |  |  | -djin | $-\mathrm{dji}+$ rni | $-\emptyset$ |
| $6 b$ | -tji | -tji |  |  | $-t j i n$ | $-t j i+$ rni | $-\varnothing$ |

This conjugation is small. 6 b comprises one monosyllabic verb, ga 'take', and a compound based on this, guyuga 'take (dogs) hunting' (cf. guyu 'nose', guyuguyu 'ahead'). 6 a contains 10 other verbs ending in -ka or -ga: balka 'stick (to something)', babilga 'be all over something', gaka 'chase, push, follow (tracks)', djurrgaka 'follow
behind, after' (cf -djurru 'behind'), rurrgaka 'drag', murniga 'carry child on shoulders', rreka 'go and get someone', warrka 'pull guts out', wirrka 'scrape, scratch' and yerrga 'stroke, fondle'. All except yerrga are transitive.

This is the only conjugation which does not maintain the distinction between the precontemporary and contemporary tenses. It is interesting that the tense allomorph that appears here, -dji, is that which in Conj. 1 appears as a non-future tense suffix, encoding ' $O$ completely affected', with no distinction between precontemporary and contemporary tense. (Its future counterpart in Conj. 1 is also -djin.) It may be that this aspectual distinction had wider distribution in some former stage of the language, and that the verbs of Conj. 6 selected it more often than the (possibly unmarked) aspect represented by the other tense suffixes. The verbs of Conj. 6 do not maintain the distinction, but may have retained the more frequently used suffixes, which formerly signified 'completely affected'. ${ }^{19}$

## Conjugation 7.

Conjugation 7a comprises the three monosyllabic, intransitive verb roots dji 'stand, be standing', ni 'sit, be (sitting)' and yu 'lie, sleep, camp', and also gogini 'be under water' (perhaps historically based on ni 'sit'). All of these are slightly irregular in the formation of the realis status tenses, and so are listed here individually.

| 7a | Pre | Con | Fut | Irr1 | Irr2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'stand' | dji- | dje-rre | dje-ngu | dje-rre+rni | dji- $\varnothing$ |
| 'sit' | ni- | ne-rre | ni-ngu | ne-rre+rni | ni- $\varnothing$ |
| 'lie' | yu-y | yo-rri | yu-ngu | yo-rri+rni | yu- |
| 'be under | gogini- | gogini- | gogini-ngu | CON+rni | ? |
| water' |  | rri |  |  |  |
| 7b | -ni | -rri | -n, (-ngu) | - $\varnothing+$ +rni | - $\varnothing$ |

Conjugation 7b contains 4 verbs (the stems of which all end in $-\mathbf{y i}$ ) which have been placed here as they also take -rri as the

[^64]contemporary tense allomorph. The verb stems are: galiyi 'hear, listen, feel, understand', burrkburrk-galiyi 'recognise by sound or voice', buburdiyi 'swell up, be swollen', and lokdjeyi 'have an opening in'. All are intransitive. One of the verbs, galiyi, has two future tense allomorphs -n and -ngu, as noted above (§4.4.2.2). The other three verbs in Conj. 7b take -n (the most common future tense allomorph), while -ngu is the future tense allomorph of Conj. 7a.
Conjugation 8.
The verbs of Conjugation 8 a and 8 b are also irregular, and the monomorphemic roots are listed individually.

|  |  | Pre | Con | Fut | Irr1 | Irr2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8a | 'go' | bo-gi-ni | bo-gi-ya | bo-go | Con+rni | boy- $\varnothing$ |
| 8b | 'go out, arrive' | be-ki-ni | be-ki-ya | be-ku-n | Con+rni | be-tji- $\varnothing$ |
|  | 'fall' | bu-ki-ni | bu-ki-ya | bu-ku-n | Con+rni | bu-tji- $\varnothing$ |
|  | 'speak, talk' | we-ki-ni | we-ki-ya | we-ku-n | Con+rni | we-tji- $\varnothing$ |
| 8c |  | -ni | $-\emptyset$ | -n | - $\varnothing+$ rni | - $\varnothing$ |

The verb boy 'go', and a compound stem warboy 'be dizzy' (cf. warbu 'sing (tr)') are the sole members of Conj. 8a, which differs from 8 b mainly in the future and root forms. The other members of Conj 8 b are compound stems based on the verbs 'fall' and 'come out, arrive': bambutji 'be born' (cf. bami 'head') and djurrkdjurrkbetji 'be greedy for' (cf. -djurrkdjurrk 'greedy'). All of these verb stems are intransitive, as are all members of Conj. 8c. This latter is the largest in Gurr-goni, and the only open conjugation, as it contains all intransitivised verbs (that is, all verbs derived by the suffix -yi- 'Intransitiviser' (§4.8.1) from transitive verbs of any conjugation). To date, 102 verb stems are attested in this subconjugation, of which at most half are productively derived from transitive verbs. We would thus expect further work to reveal more derived intransitives, as well perhaps as more stems for which a transitive counterpart does not exist synchronically. The fact that the final syllable of all Conj. 8c verb stems is -yi may explain the choice of $-\infty$ as contemporary tense allomorph (and is the reason for placing the subconjugation here, rather than with Conjugation 4 or 5 , which also take -ni Pre and -n Fut). It seems quite plausible to suggest that these verbs may originally have taken -ya as a contemporary suffix, as the verbs of 8 a and 8 b do, and that the -ya then dropped from the sequence -yi-ya.

### 4.8. Valence Changing Processes.

Three suffixes can be identified in Gurr-goni which change the valence of a verb stem. Only one, which derives intransitive stems from transitive ones, is fully productive. A second intransitivising suffix appears in only a few verb stems, and may be a relic of a formerly productive process. There is one semi-productive transitive suffix.

### 4.8.1. -yi- Intransitivising Derivational suffix.

An intransitive verb stem can be derived from any transitive verb stem by the addition of a suffix -yi-. These derived intransitive stems become members of conjugation 8 c , and take the tense/status suffixes of that conjugation (Pre -ni, Con - $\boldsymbol{\varnothing}$, Fut -n). The direferential prefixes appropriate to the transitive stem are replaced by monoreferential prefixes.

A number of meanings are expressed through this suffix. It derives reflexive verbs, where the A and O of the transitive stem from which it is derived are identical. For example, from the transitive verb root bu 'hit' can be derived the reflexive stem bu-yi- 'hit oneself':

## 4-52a nguna-bu-ni

2,3MinA.1MinO-hit-Pre
You or he/she hit me.

## 4-52b ngu-bu-yi-ni. <br> 1MinS-hit-Intr-Pre <br> I hit myself.

The action referred to can be either intentional or accidental.
-yi- also derives verbs with a reciprocal meaning, which must have a non-singular subject. For example:

## 4-52c awuni-bu-yi-ni. <br> 3UAnfS-hit-Intr-Pre

They two males are hitting each other.
This can also mean 'they (two males) are hitting themselves'. Where the meaning is not clear from the context, the reciprocal meaning can be made unambiguous by using a phrase gu-gapu gu-goni 3IV-that far away 3IV-this, literally 'that far Class IV one this Class IV one' (the use
of Class IV cross-referencing seems impersonal, referring to a place or side ('that side, this side') rather than the people involved), or by using two transitive clauses, to describe each part of the action separately. Both stategies are used in the following example:

## 4-53 gu-gapu gu-goni nguwurr-barrwi-yi-n 3IV-that far 3IV-this $\quad 1+2$ AugS-think-Intr-Fut nguwurr-ni-ngu, ngaytjburru-ø <br> $1+2 A u g S$-be,sit-Fut $\quad 1+2 A u g-u n m$ <br> nguwu-barrwi-rdi arrapu ngidjiyé-pu <br> 1+2AugA.3MinO-think-Fut and 3MinF-Card <br> arr-barrwi-rdi=ngutjuyu <br> 3MinA.1 +2 Min/AugO-think-Fut $=3$ MinF + Poss $_{A}$ <br> We will be thinking of each other - we will think of her and she will think of us. (III/10/4)

There are no special reflexive/reciprocal markers for oblique roles in Gurr-goni. Where a beneficiary or addressee or some other role marked by a Dative or $\mathrm{Poss}_{\mathrm{C}}$ pronoun is identical with the A or S argument of a verb, the Dative and $\mathrm{Poss}_{\mathrm{C}}$ pronouns are still used, as the following example illustrates:

| $4-54$ | Gu-gu-warlpu | ng-garlmi-rdi | ngayi-pu | o | bapa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Loc3IV-Nom-hunt | IMinS-get up,-Fut | IMin-Card | or | father | set off

a-bo-go, njiwurr-weku-n ngaytjburru- $\varnothing$
3IS-go-Fut lAugS-speak-Fut 1Aug-Poss ${ }_{C}$ (I/103/20)
I'll set off hunting or father will go, we'll all speak to each other.
Thus with 3 rd person referents, Dative and Poss $_{C}$ pronouns can be ambiguous between a reflexive/reciprocal reading and one where the referents are not identical:

4-55 a-weki-ni ni-lorndo
3IS-speak-Pre 3MinNf-Dat
Hei spoke to himi, ${ }_{\mathrm{j}}$.

The suffix -yi- is also used to derive intransitive stems which function as agentless passives: the O of the original transitive verb becomes the S of the derived intransitive, and the A is obligatorily
deleted. Sometimes the A may not be known, or may not be specific; in other cases, such as $4-56$, the A is known, and is subsequently mentioned. In all cases, the derived intransitive places the focus on the original O as the undergoer of the action or event, and defocusses the A.

4-56 Gukuk gu-wunapi-yi-ni, mburrklerrtji gubu-wunapi-ni. water 3IVS-finish-Intr-Pre children 3AugA.3MinO-finish-Pre The water is finished, the children finished it.

## 4-57 Shop gu-djakabi-yi-ø gi-dje-rre. " 3IVS-close-Intr-Con 3IVS-stand-Con

 The shop is closed.4-58 ngarr-pu big boy nji-munigi-yi-ø
2Min-Card "" 2MinS-carry on shoulders-Intr-Con You're a big boy (to be) carried on (my) shoulders.

| 4-59 matji awurr-djurnitbi-yi- $\varnothing$-pu | awurr-dje-rre. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dead people $3 A u g S$-bury-Intr-Con-pu | 3AugS-stand-Con |
| (where) the dead people are buried. |  |

4-60 Arrapu bapa a-garrapu a-djorrwi-rri
and father 3I-Anaph 3IS-jump, be born-Pre
Galu balanggit wurru manburrba,
NEG blanket but material
manburrba a-bitji-yi-ni.
material 3IS-tie,wrap-Intr-Pre (V/100/9)
And your father that I'm telling you about was born.....There was no blanket, but there was material, he was wrapped in material.

### 4.8.2. -miyi Frozen Inchoative.

Four verbs in conjugation 8c can be analysed as consisting of an adjective plus a suffix -miyi. The verbs in question, and the adjectives from which they appear to be derived, are:
bulúnmiyi 'become old'
-bulún 'old’ (and cf. wulun 'stern of canoe')
gurdólmiyi 'rot, become rotten'
marrmanmiyi 'get better'
rrakamiyi 'be fixed, rigid, still' (-rraka also found in -rrakangurrngu 'hard, tight', etymologically probably rrakarigid + ngurrungu muscles)
The meaning of all these verbs is 'become ADJ': -miyi may thus have once been a productive means of deriving inchoative verbs from adjectives. It cannot now be applied to any adjectives other than these four (the productive inchoative construction is a compound serial verb construction, ADJ ni 'be' meme 'go along' (see below, §5.3.2.1.7)).

There are also two intransitive verbs with this affix -miyi which appear to be derived from nouns; again, this is not productive:
weleberrmiyi 'carry bag slung around wele 'forehead', berr 'gear' forehead'
warmborrkamiyi '?find self children, get chidren'
warumbarrk 'rib bone, front of ribs, just under breast in women, "where we hurt" (KM)'

### 4.8.3. -ganmi Transitiviser, semi-productive.

All members of conjugation 2a ( 20 to date) end in -ganmi; all are transitive. Two of these verbs have intransitive counterparts in which, in place of -ganmi-, a segment -dja occurs, thus ngurtdja, 'shut up, be, become quiet' and ngurtganmi 'shut someone up, make someone be quiet'; bengdayganmi 'let someone know, inform someone' and bengdaydja 'remind oneself'. -ganmi appears to have been added to the whole verb stem in the case of two other intransitive verbs which end in -dja/-tja: warrtja 'ascend, climb' and warrtjiganmi 'put someone up', galtja 'enter, get or go in', galtjiganmi 'cause to go in' (as in making children go to school). Neither of these processes (of replacing -dja/-tja with -ganmi, or of adding -ganmi to an intransitive stem) is fully productive. The suffix is however clearly recognised by speakers as a separate segment, and as a marker of transitive verbs. As described above, some verbs have a mismatch between formal transitivity (determined by whether they take a mono- or di-referential pronominal prefix), and semantic transitivity. Dirrdirrdja 'pull hard on', for example, takes mono-referential pronominal prefixes, but clearly involves a patient or undergoer role (that which is pulled). Younger speakers were sometimes observed to replace -dja/-tja in verbs like this with -ganmi, thus making them formally, and unmistakably, transitive, in accordance with their semantic transitivity. Similarly, ngongerr-
ganmi (diref., transitive) was used for ngongerr-dja 'shake (monoref., transitive) and rdakrdak-ganmi for rdakrdak-dja 'chop(?)'.

### 4.9. Directional Prefixes.

### 4.9.1. -na-~-ngun(i)- 'Towards'.

A directional prefix indicating motion or alignment towards the speaker may be optionally added to any semantically appropriate verb. The distribution of the two allomorphs is, interestingly, another area of the grammar where the singular/non-singular distinction is active: -na-co-occurs with singular arguments, and -nguni- (which is often shortened to /-ngun-/ or even /-ng-/) with non-singular arguments (i.e. $1+2$ minimal, and all nonminimal categories $)^{20}$.

The 'towards' prefix is frequently used with intransitive verbs of motion, and can also be used with transitive verbs involving motion. Examples are:

| 4-61 | Gi-gegu-pu | nji-buki-ni | nji-na-bogi-ni |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Loc3IV-new-extr | 2MinS-fall,land-Pre | 2MinS-twds-go-Pre |
|  | balaypalay mern | gu-gabi |  |
|  | far+Redup cold | 3IV-there |  |
|  | nji-na-djarti | nji-na-ma-nay |  |
|  | 2MinS-twds-hurry | 2MinS-twds-go along-Pre |  |

In the first place when you came and landed here, you came from far away where it's cold.

| 4-62 | Burr-nguni-bo-y <br> 2AugSImp-twds-go-Irr 2 | nguwurr-bo-go <br> ngubu-gorndi-n. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1+2AugS-go-Fut |  |

(V/35/13)

## 4-63 Welenggen nji-na-ga-tjin <br> ngapala. <br> sole agent 2MinA.3MinO-twds-take-Fut lMin+Dat

Bring it to me yourself.
(IV/108/21)

## 4-64 Nji-na-wa-rdi ngaytjburru-ø mun-muka... 2MinA.3MinO-twds-throw-Fut lAug-Poss ${ }_{C}$ 3III-lots Throw us down lots (of fruit). (III/68/7)

[^65]The stance verbs ni 'be sitting', dji 'be standing' and yu 'be lying' have all been recorded with this affix; in some instances they are functioning as serial verbs with a verb of motion as the main verb, but they can also be used as main verbs with the directional affix indicating the position of the subject relative to the speaker.

## 4-65 Wulek gu-na-gepiyi-ni abu-ga-tji <br> finish 3IVS-twds-dawn-Pre 3AugA.3IO-take-Con/Pre awurr-warrtji-nji wulek ranba abu-gogurndu-ngu 3AugS-climb-Pre finish beach 3AugA.3IO-cut up-Pre yi-gapu, ngarritj-bu yiguwápu arr-nguna-ne-rre away-there far $1+2$ Min-Card this way $1+2$ MinS-twds-sit-Con wurru yi-gapu <br> but away-there far (V/91+) <br> Then the next day (lit. (when) it dawned) they took them (crocodiles, Cl.I) up and cut them up on the beach way out there (you and I were sitting more over this way (i.e. closer to Maningrida, where we were recording the story) the other day, but this was a long way away).

This example also illustrates the use of the 'towards' prefix with the verb 'to dawn'; with this verb it appears to be used without exception.

The 'towards' prefix has also been recorded with verbs of speech; some examples are given here.

4-66 Nji-na-wetji ninjokoni biy-bu-ni.
2MinS-twds-speak+Irr2 who 3MinA.2MinO-hit-Pre
Say (to me, this way) who hit you (as a mother called out to her child playing some distance away)

4-67 djaluwu ring up awurr-nguna-ni-ø
again " " 3AugS-twds-be,sit-Pre
They rang up here again.
(IX/131/5)
4-68 dji-galiyi-rri ngaytjbu-lorndo, dji-na-weki-ya,
3IIS-listen-Con 1Aug-Dat 3IIS-twds-talk-Con
njiwurr-galiyi-rri ngutju-lorndo
1AugS-listen-Con 3MinF-Dat
She listens to us, she talks back to us, we listen to her.

### 4.9.2. -yi- (~-y-~-i-) 'Away'.

The 'away' directional prefix can be used with any appropriate verbs, transitive or intransitive, to signify that one of the arguments is moving away from the speaker (or other focal point). The allomorphy is conditioned not by the number category encoded in the pronominal prefix, as with the 'towards' prefix, but by its phonemic shape: /-i-/ follows consonant-final pronominal prefixes, and $/-\mathbf{y i} / / \sim /-\mathbf{y}-/$ (in free variation) follow vowel-final prefixes ${ }^{21}$.

## 4-69 Welenggen gi-y-buku-n

sole agent 3IVS-away-fall-Fut It (mud) will fall away by itself (said to a child soaking his mudencrusted feet)
(VII/37/9)
4-70 A-garlma-nay a-ma-nay-pu
3IS-get up-Pre 3IS-go along-Pre-imm
yibénapu barlmarrk a-y-wa-rri-pu
that side wind 3MinA.3MinO-away-throw-Pre-pu
yi-garrapu a-y-guybi-ni
away-Anaph 3IS-away-sink-Pre
(V/114-115)
He got up (on the stern of a boat) and as soon as he went along the wind suddenly threw him off the far side, and he sank down away (from us).

[^66]
## Chapter 5: Syntax.

In this chapter, I investigate the structure of simple and complex clauses in Gurr-goni. Several elements are readily identifiable in the simple clause in Gurr-goni: the predicate; its obligatory cross-referenced arguments, $S$ (the subject of an intransitive verb and of an adjective), A (the subject of a transitive verb) and O (the object of a transitive verb) (I am here following Dixon's (1979) terminology in referring to these basic grammatical functions); and the optional NPs which set the scene of the event in time and space. This three-way division corresponds very neatly to the three layers of the clause proposed by Foley and Van Valin (1984); they term them the nucleus, which contains the predicate; the core layer, which contains the arguments selected by the predicate; and the periphery, comprising those optional NPs which provide the spatiotemporal setting. Van Valin (1993:41) further divides the core layer into direct core arguments and oblique core arguments, the former being those that are "morphologically unmarked ...or are cross-referenced on the verb" and the latter "those marked by an adposition or by an oblique case." Dixon has also used the terms core and peripheral in defining the elements of the clause: for him, the core NPs are those "that MUST be included in a sentence if it is to have a complete sense.......these are intransitive subject, transitive subject and transitive object' (Dixon 1980:296). In Dixon's scheme, however, all other NPs are peripheral, and "can be added, quite optionally, to any core" (ibid:268). Dixon divides peripheral NPs into local ones (those that refer to places) and syntactic ones ("indirect object, goal of activity, 'what is feared', and so on" (ibid)); this division makes no distinction between, for instance, locative NPs which are the complement of a verb like 'put', and those which indicate where an event takes place. Blake makes a very similar distinction between core relations ("the complements of one-place intransitive verbs and two-place transitives" (Blake 1987:13)) and noncore relations (all others (1987:31)).

My concern in the sections below will be to examine the languagespecific evidence for distinguishing between different layers of the clause. An initial question is what can function as the predicate. As we will see, both verbs and other parts of speech can fulfil this function, and in $\S 5.1$ below I firstly describe non-verbal clauses, and then move on in §§5.2-5 to discuss verbal clauses. Here, in $\S 5.2 .1$, I look firstly at the realisation of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{A}$ and O arguments. I then turn, in $\S 5.2 .2$, to those NPs which provide the spatial and temporal setting for the event described. By all the
definitions cited above, the former are core arguments, and the latter are peripheral (or non-core), and in Gurr-goni, their morpho-syntactic behaviour clearly reflects their status. A, S and O are obligatory, in that they are indexed on the verb through pronominal prefixes. Spatiotemporal setting NPs are never indexed on the verb; they are optional with any predicate, and are clearly not part of the thematic structure of any particular predicate. What of other NPs? The thematic structure of many Gurr-goni verbs includes semantic roles which are not indexed on the verb (themes, locations, goals, etc). In Foley and Van Valin's terms, NPs in these roles would be considered to be part of the core (or oblique core) layer. In Dixon's terms, they are all peripheral, as they may be present in the clause, or may be omitted from it. In §5.2.3, I examine the syntactic behaviour of these nominal arguments in Gurr-goni, in an attempt to identify language specific evidence for establishing an intermediate layer (or layers) between the core and the periphery.

In §§5.3-5.4, I discuss complex clauses - single clauses with a complex predicate. Foley and Olson (1985:57) define the clause as "a grammatical structure consisting of one and only one non-composite periphery", and allow for the possibility of composite cores or nuclei within a single periphery; ie, within a single clause. Thus as well as two clauses being joined together, either by co-ordination or subordination, nuclei, or nuclei and their core arguments, may be joined within a single periphery, giving a complex inner layer. There is strong evidence in Gurr-goni for considering some series of verbs, each indexing their own core arguments, as constituting a single clause; these are discussed at $\S 5.3$, Serial Verbs. In addition, just as nominals may function as the sole predicate of a clause, there appear to be cases where a nominal predicate and a verb are joined together within a single periphery; these are considered at $\S 5.4$. Juncture at the peripheral level, ie coordination and subordination of entire clauses, is covered in $\S \$ 5.5$ and 5.6 , respectively.

### 5.1. Verbless Clauses: Non-verbal Predicates.

5-1 djorndjorn galu yandu nguwurr-yita-ø mudskipper NEG what do $1+2$ AugS-do thus-Irr2
nguwu-dimi- $\varnothing^{1}$, giy nuyu, arrapu $1+2$ AugA.3MinO-grasp-Irr2 speed 3 MinNf $^{2}+$ Poss $_{C}$ and nguwu-dimi-ø, 1+2AugA.3MinO-grasp-Irr2 3I-smooth, slippery
We can't catch the mudskipper, he's fast, and if we catch him, he's slippery.

## 5-2 Wurru wulek an-ngarritj-bu, ay-ba-rdi. <br> but finish 3I-1+2Min-Card $\quad 1+2$ MinA.3MinO-eat-Fut <br> Galu ninjokoni ngarrkulu a-njatbu warrpura <br> NEG who $\quad 1+2$ Min + Dat 3I-thingy armpit sweat a-bu-ø, gun-yalang, wurru <br> 3MinA.3IO-hit-Irr2 3IV-nothing but <br> ay-ba-rdu-pu waymak." <br> 1+2MinA.3MinO-eat-Fut-pu anyway (IV/9/10-19)

But it's ours, we'll eat it (a buffalo). There's no one with us who can (ritually) hit it with sweat, but we'll eat it anyway.

Clauses such as those underlined in the examples above are not uncommon in Gurr-goni speech or narration, and in Australian languages generally, verbless clauses appear to be a common feature. There has been some discussion about what constitutes the true predicate in clauses like this. Wilkins (1989:220) analyses similar clauses in Mparntwe Arrernte as having a copular verb as predicate, which "need not appear when the tense is understood to be present tense but must appear when any other tense is intended. This means that the NP acting as subject complement.....may be the apparent predicate in present tense stative or equative clauses." McGregor (1990:308), on the other hand, states that in Gooniyandi verbal attributive clauses (similar structurally to Mparntwe Arrernte copular clauses) and verbless characterising clauses "are NOT synonymous, and the VP is NOT an optional place marking copula. In brief, the verbal type makes reference to a situation, which is a mode of being or existence of the Medium/Carrier, concomitant with its carrying the Attribute; in the verbless type the Carrier is characterised by its

[^67]possession of the attribute". McGregor appears to be saying here that, while the verbless clause attributes a single quality to its subject, the verbal clause conveys the information that its subject is in the state, posture or type of motion indicated by the verb, at the same time as it has the quality indicated by the non-verbal part of speech.

Before going on to describe the various types of verbless clauses found in Gurr-goni, I will consider the evidence which could help to determine whether they are best analysed as having an ellipsed verbal predicate, or as a distinct construction conveying a meaning different from that of verbal clauses.

Firstly, it is the case that in Mparntwe Arrernte, among other languages, verbless clauses can only be used with present or general reference; where some other time reference is intended, a copular verb must be used to show this. This is not the case in Gurr-goni, as the following examples will show (the non-verbal clause is underlined, and its immediate constituents separated by brackets):

## 5-3 [Dji-goni bapa Leila][gapu]. Ay, ngutju-pu, 3II-this $\quad \mathrm{Fa}(\mathrm{Zi})$ name there far hey here-pu <br> dji-ni-ø gul dji-bo-gini <br> 3II-be,sit-Pre school 3IIS-go-Pre

Your aunt Leila was out there. Hey (no), she was staying here, she was going to school.
(IX/24/26)

gu-negi-rri
3MinA.3IVO-tread on-Pre 3IS-go-Pre

But this father of yours, this one was big, he was walking.

## 5-5 Waymak djina-gaki-dji .... ngayi-pu <br> for no reason 3MinA.1UAnfO-chase-Pre/Con 1Min-Card ngu-warrtji-nji arrapu niyé-pu mut-burdja 1MinS-climb-Pre and 3MinNf-Card 3III-gun <br> m-bawu-ni a-dji-ø a-warrtji-nji. <br> 3MinA.3IIIO-leave-Pre 3IS-stand-Pre 3IS-climb-Pre [Mut]-[gagurru]. 3III-bad <br> (The buffalo) chased us for no reason. I climbed up, and he left the gun and climbed up. It (the tree they climbed, (Cl.III)) was rotten. <br> (IV/10/10)

In all these examples (and more could be adduced), the time reference is provided by the context. It is clear, however, that the speakers could have used a verbal predicate, as well as the nominal or adverbial, in these clauses (and indeed, in her self-correction in the second clause of example 5-3, the speaker does exactly that).

The corpus also provides instances of clauses with present time reference which do have a verbal predicate:

| 5-6 | Gun-ngak galu ni-lorndo, an-ngultji a-yo-rri maka. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3IV-fire $\quad N E G$ 3MinNf-Dat 3I-dark 3IS-lie-Con FaMo(Bro) |  |
| There is no fire for him, your great-uncle lies dark. |  |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 5-7 } & \text { Nguwunji, guwa } & \text { g-na-ø, } \\ \text { aunt (FaZi) } & \text { come here } & \text { 2MinA.3MinOImp-see-Irr2 }\end{array}$
a-goni an-marrman a-dje-rre.
3I-this 3I-good 3IS-stand-Con
Auntie, come and see, this (a tent) is standing up well.

## 5-8 awurr-gurdu awurr-bogi-ya awurr-workiyi-ø <br> 3Aug-uncircumcised 3AugS-go-Con 3AugS-do always-Con <br> They always go uncircumcised. <br> (VII/92/3)

In these instances, omitting the verbs a-yo-rri 'he lies', a-dje-rre 'it stands' and awurr-bogi-ya awurr-workiyi-ø 'they habitually go' would leave fully grammatical clauses, exactly like the second clause underlined in example 5-1, and the one underlined in example 5-5. But, while the speakers there chose not to use a verbal predicate, here they
have chosen to do so, and in so doing, are saying more than just 'he is dark', 'it is good', 'they are uncircumcised'. The three stance verbs ni 'sit', dji 'stand' and $\mathbf{y u}$ 'lie' are the verbs most often found following adjectives in constructions like these, but others, such as boy 'go', can also be used, allowing many distinctions to be expressed concerning the situation of the subject. These constructions, and the verbs which can occur in them, will be discussed in more detail in $\S 5.4$ below.

The facts then are that a verbal predicate is not necessary in a grammatical clause in any tense, and that a wide range of meanings can be conveyed when one is chosen, through the large number of possible verbal predicates. I conclude, then, that verbless clauses constitute a different clause type from verbal clauses, and convey a different meaning (generally, a more limited one than can be expressed through a verbal clause). The nominal or adverbial, which, I argue below (§5.4), combines with the verb in 'verbal' clauses to form a complex predicate, functions as the main, and only, argument-taking predicate in verbless clauses.

Several types of non-verbal clauses can be discerned. In identifying and naming these clause types I have been largely guided by Lyons' (1977:469-475) insightful discussion, but I add one type: existential clauses. Lyons appears not to distinguish these from locative clauses; in Gurr-goni, non-verbal existential and locational clauses have different structures, and also differ semantically. Existential clauses, consisting solely of an NP, assert the existence or non-existence of an entity. Locational clauses, consisting of an NP and an adverb, in either order, place a referent in relation to a focal point. (I use the term 'locational', rather than Lyons' term 'locative', for reasons that will become apparent in the discussion below.) A third structural type consists of an NP as subject and an NP as predicate; a subtype of this third construction has a possessive NP as predicate. This clause type has the function of identifying the referent of one nominal as the same as the referent of another nominal (the equative function); or of ascribing to a referent a particular quality or property, or membership of a certain class of entities (the ascriptive function).

All of these types will now be exemplified and discussed.

### 5.1.2. Existential Clauses.

## 5-9 Galu balanggit, wurru manburrba, manburrba NEG blanket but material material a-bitji-yi-ni. 3IS-wrap-Intr-Pre

There was no blanket, but there was material, he was wrapped in material.
(V/100/5-9)

## 5-10 Djirrin djin-muka! <br> centipede 3II-many <br> There were so many centipedes!

(IV/66/1)

## 5-11 Djuka galu lay, nguwurr-djeka-ø, <br> sugar NEG hey $1+2$ AugS-return-Irr 2 <br> baki galu ngaytibu-lorndo. <br> tobacco NEG $1+2$ Aug-Dat <br> (V/110/21) <br> Hey, there's no sugar, let's go back, there's no tobacco for us/with us (or in more idiomatic English, we haven't got any tobacco).

The positive existential clauses illustrated here consist of a single NP, which names the entity whose existence is being asserted. In the negative clauses, which assert the non-existence of an entity, the negative particle galu precedes or (more usually) follows this NP. The time or place in which the entity exists is often evident from the context, but both may be specified; in example $5-12$, this information is provided by the nominals butj '(in the) bush' and guwarr 'time long ago'.

5-12 Butj, guwarr butj, balanda galu.
bush time long ago bush white people NEG (III/88/13)
In the bush, long ago in the bush, there were no white people.
(There are also verbal clauses which have the function of predicating the existence or non-existence of some entity; in these, one of the three stance verbs 'sit', 'stand' and 'lie' is chosen as the predicate:

## 5-13 ngaytjburru-ø galu njiburr-ni-ø <br> lAug-unm NEG lAugS-be,sit-Pre

We did not exist (i.e, we were not yet born).

# 5-14 gu-na-ni gu-gatji-nji galu gu-yo-rri+rni gukuk 3MinA.3IVO-see-Pre 3IVS-dry up-Pre NEG 3IVS-lie-Irrl water 

 Lit. It saw it had dried up, water did not lie, i.e, it saw there was no water.(III/54/16)

## 5-15 Cordial gi-dje-rre? <br> " 3IV-stand-Con

Lit. Does cordial stand? ie, Is there any cordial?

## 5-16 gu-garrapu wetji galu gu-yu-ø <br> 3IV-Anaph word NEG 3IVS-lie-Irr2

That word does not lie (ie, does not exist).

As these examples indicate, the existential verbs are not completely bleached of their stance component, and often contribute meaning other than that of simple existence. Yu 'lie' is used of liquids forming a horizontal expanse, as in $5-14$, but when liquids are held within an upright container, such as a bottle, a tin, or even the tank of a car, they are said to 'stand'. $\mathbf{N i}$ 'sit' appears to be the unmarked choice for humans, and contributes least about the subject's actual "mode of being".)

Negative existentials can also be expressed through the NP [SUBJECT] NP [PREDICATE] construction (§5.1.4 below), using the adjective gun-yalang 'nothing' as a predicate, as in 5-17.

| 5-17 | Mutika | niyé-pu | gun-yalang |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| car,truck (Cl.I) | 3MinNf-Card | 3IV-nothing |  |

There was/were no truck(s).
(-yalang can also mean 'empty, naked' (perhaps 'nothing in/on'), and in such uses agrees with the noun class etc of its referent. But here, meaning 'nothing', it always takes class IV agreement (this is the class which contains abstract nouns); presumably 'nothing' cannot be a member of any other class. Gun-yalang is a frequent response to requests for food, money or cigarettes; this is an instance of a (negative) existential construction being used in Gurr-goni, where English would choose a possessive construction (for example, 'I haven't got any').)

Clauses which consist of a nominal and quantifier are structurally ambiguous. Djirrin djin-muka in example 5-10, for instance, could form one NP, 'many centipedes', and be interpreted as an existential clause ('many centipedes (exist)', '(there are) many centipedes'). It could also be understood as an ascriptive clause with djin-muka as predicate, and djirrin as the subject: 'centipedes are/were many'. In example 5-18 below, awurr-gabi awurr-muka can have three interpretations: as one NP forming an existential clause, roughly '(there are) many of them there'; as subject nominal plus predicate nominal, 'they are many'; and it could also be interpreted as two clauses, 'they are there, they are many', if each word were given clausal intonation.

```
5-18 Njiwurr-yu-y gu-na-gepiyi-ni a-na-bogi-ni
    1AugS-sleep-Pre 3IVS-twds-dawn-Pre 3IS-twds-go-Pre
    njirr-me-nji. "Arlay? Awurr-gabi
    3MinA.1AugO-get-Pre hey 3Aug-there
```

    awurr-muka, ngarr-pu orrongurru nji-gorrma
    3Aug-many 2Min-Card throat 2MinA.3MinO-put + Con
    arr-bo-go Mawurrk?"
    1+2MinS-go-Fut place name
    We slept, it dawned (= the next day), he came and got us. "Hey,
    there are a lot of people out there, do you want to go to Mawurrk
    with me?"
        (XI/31/9-13)
    
### 5.1.2.1. Clauses consisting of a Possessive NP (set C).

The clause giy nuyu in example 5-1 above consists of a single NP (a possessive NP, set C). Based on its morphological structure, the expected meaning would be ' speed to him', or 'his speed', but is always translated by informants with an ascriptive clause, 'he's fast'. This is not an isolated formation; other set C possessive NPs can also be used as attributive clauses:

## 5-15 mirdíla ngutjuyu

vulva $3 \mathrm{MinF}+$ Poss $_{C}$
It's a girl.

| 5-16 | Mut-guwábu | nguku |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3III-noise | 2Min + Poss $_{C}$ |
|  | You're noisy. |  |

## 5-17 Mut-gurdu borro 3III-uncircumcised 3 Aug + Poss $_{C}$ <br> They are uncircumcised.

Structurally, these most resemble the clauses described above, and they are probably best analysed as existential clauses (i.e., consisting of a single NP) where the noun identifies a property attributed to the referent of the oblique pronoun (the "possessor" of the property): 'your noise (exists)', 'his speed (exists)', and so on. Several adjectival stems are found in this construction in the data; it is not yet known whether it is fully productive for all adjectival stems. However, it is clear that for those adjectives, such as -guwábu and -gurdu, which can occur in this construction, there are many ways of forming clauses which function attributively. Example 5-16, for example, contrasts formally, and perhaps semantically, with 5-18 (a non-verbal ascriptive clause; see §5.1.3.2 below) and 5-19 (a verbal ascriptive clause; see $\S 5.4$ below):

## 5-18 nji-guwábu <br> 2Min-noisy <br> You're noisy.

## 5-19 guwábu nji-ne-rre <br> noisy 2MinS-be,sit-Con <br> You're being noisy.

It is possible that these three ${ }^{2}$ constructions encode subtle differences in meaning; further work may help to elucidate this.

### 5.1.3. Locational Predicates.

In example 5-3 above, the demonstrative adverb gapu 'there far' was bracketed as one of the immediate constituents; its function, I claim, is that of predicate of that clause. Other examples of adverbs functioning as matrix predicates are given below; they include arreyuwulupu 'close, near', balay 'far', djaluwu '(go) behind, after', demonstrative adjectives

[^68](-goni 'this, here', -gabi 'that, there', -gapu 'that /there far'), as well as demonstrative adverbs (ngutju(pu) 'here', gabi 'there', gapu 'there far', etc), and -gudjérrpam, an adjective derived from the adverb gudjérrpam 'somewhere else'. As these examples demonstrate, where the subject of the locational predicate appears as an NP, it may either precede or follow the predicate.

| 5-24 Wurru | gu-garrapu | wulek arreyuwulupu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | niyé-pu

5-25 A-bamiyi-ni a-na-djarti, $\quad$ ngayi-pu djaluwu.
3IS-carry on head-Pre 3 3IS-twds-hurry $\quad$ IMin-Card behind
He came hurrying on carrying it, I was behind. (IV/43/7)

| 5-26 | Dji-gapu | dji-warrtji-ga | dji-ma-ma, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3II-far there | 3IIS-climb-Con | 3IIS-go along-Con |  |
| gu-garrapu | ay-bu-n |  | arreyuwulupu, |  |
| 3IV-Anaph | I +2 2MinA.3MinO-hit-Fut | close |  |  |
| warri | galu | balay | wipa |  |
| because | NEG | far | camp | (VI/7/21) |

She's way over there climbing up, let's kill her there close by, because it's not far to the camp (or the camp is not far). (Or, the one far off there is climbing up......)

## 5-27 Arrapu awurr-warraka awurr-djarti gabi gudjérrpam

 and 3Aug-other 3AugS-hurry there somewhere else aburr-djidjigi-yi-ni. Arrapu djin-arraka dji-djarti 3AugS-hide-Intr-Pre and 3II-other 3IIS-hurry gudjérrpam arrapu djin-arraka dji-djartisomewhere else and 3II-other 3II-hurry
gudjérrpam. Awurr-gudjérrpam.
somewhere else 3 Aug-somewhere else.
(XI/27-28)
And the others rushed (off), they hid themselves somewhere else.
And another woman rushed off to another place, and another woman rushed off to another place. They were all somewhere else.

## 5-28 (Me: Maka gapu dji-ne-rre?) Ee, dji-gapu. <br> FaMo far there 3IIS-be,sit-Con Yes, 3II-far there

 Is Maka (grandma) staying out there? Yes, she's out there.In all non-verbal locational clauses, the adverb places the referent in relation to a focal point; it is a relative location, not an absolute one. I know of no instances of a noun in locative case, for instance, or a place name, being used as a predicate in a non-verbal clause. To say that someone or something is at a particular place, it is necessary to use a verbal predicate (often, one of the three stance verbs 'sit', 'stand', 'lie'). Locative nouns, and others indicating a location, do occur in non-verbal clauses, but I analyse them as adjuncts, not as matrix predicates. In 5-12 above, butj '(in the) bush' occurs in an existential clause, adding information about where there were no white people. In 5-29 below it could perhaps be argued that mu-djen.gele bayin (or manberrk; or both?) is functioning as the predicate. However, the clause also contains a demonstrative adjective, -gabi 'there', which unquestionably functions as a predicate in other examples, and the other two locational expressions appear to be acting as adjuncts to this, adding information that enables us to precisely locate the subject.

## 5-29 Wurru mu-djen.gele bayin awurr-gabi-: manberrk. But Loc3III-sand palm in 3Aug-there-X uplands

But they were some way off (lit. there not far) in among the sand palms, on the uplands. (VI/16/8)

### 5.1.4. NP [S] NP [PREDICATE] Clauses.

5.1.4.1. The Equative Function.

Clauses of this type "identify the referent of one expression with the referent of another" (Lyons 1977:472). In examples 5-30 and 5-31, the speaker uses a demonstrative adjective to refer to something or someone present at the time of speaking, and identifies this as being the same as the referent of the following nominal. In both cases, the characterisation provided by this second nominal had been previously given. The statement in 5-32 was made during a discussion about a broken-down car which was (hopefully) being fixed; Joe was identified as the one who was fixing it.

## 5-30 Gu-goni wulek wipa, gu-goni wipa. <br> 3IV-this finish place 3IV-this place <br> This is indeed the place, this is the place.

(IV/16/7)

## 5-31 Dji-garrapu djint-gu-werdagumi. <br> 3II-Anaph 3II-Nom-be frightened

She's the frightened one.

## 5-32 Bapa Joey ant-gu-djarlapu. <br> father name 3I-Nom-fix,make <br> Your father Joey is the one fixing it.

In all these examples, it is the contextual information that leads me to identify these as equative, rather than ascriptive, clauses (and to translate them into English using definite articles). All of these clauses could be used ascriptively, attributing to the referent of the first NP qualities identified by the second NP, as in 5-31 and 5-32 (so 'she is frightened/a frightened one', 'he is a fixer, one who fixes'), or membership of a class of entities ('this is a place') (see below for further discussion of ascriptive clauses). However, the function of ascriptive clauses is to say something new about a referent; here, the referent is new, and the qualities attributed to it have been previously discussed.

All the examples of equative clauses in the corpus have a similar structure: the second NP, which functions as the predicate, gives the known characterisation of the referent, while the first identifies it by a new expression. Further work is needed to establish whether the order of the NPs is permutable; as new information tends to be placed before the predicate (see §5.2.1 below), it may be that their ordering is largely constrained by pragmatic considerations.

### 5.1.4.2. The Ascriptive Function: Ascribing Class Membership.

In these clauses, the first NP, the subject, identifies a referent, while the second NP, which is functioning as the predicate, characterises that referent as belonging to a certain class of entities or beings. The examples given here illustrate classification by natural categories (in example 5-33, as human being, as opposed to fish), and by social categories (in 5-34, according to the subsection system, and in 5-35, according to the classificatory kinship system, which determines the kin
relationship between any two people in all communities in which the system operates.

```
5-33 A-djini-pu galu ant-gu-galiyi, a-djini-pu
    3I-AnEmph-pu NEG 3I-Nom-understand 3I-AnEmph-pu
    djitjitja, a-djini-pu djitjitja nji-weki-ya
    fish 3I-AnEmph-pu fish 2MinS-speak-Con
    ni-lorndo nji-ne-rre
    3MinNf-Dat 2MinS-sit-Con (IV/24/10+)
    That's not a man, that's a fish, that's a fish you're talking to.
```

| 5-34 | Ngayi-pu | Godjok |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Min-Card | male subsection name |
|  | I'm Godjo |  |

## 5-35 A-goni at-giwilak

3I-this 3I-my father/your child/you are his father
This is my father, your son.

### 5.1.4.3. Ascribing Properties or Qualities.

Examples of clauses ascribing a property or quality to an entity have been included above in 5-1 (second clause), 5-4 and 5-5 (all with adjectives as predicate), and examples 5-31 and 5-32, with nominalised verbs as predicate, can have both ascriptive and equative readings. Further examples of clauses with an ascriptive function are given here. The predicate adjective usually follows the subject NP, but may precede it, as in 5-38.

## 5-36 woku ngu-djerre ngu-djeltji <br> foot/hand 1 Min-Poss 1 Min-wet <br> My feet are wet.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 5-37 } & \text { At-tjondu } \\
\text { 3I-Carpentaria palm sp. 3I-goodín to eat } \\
\text { The carpentaria palm is good to eat. }
\end{array}
$$

5-38 Gun-arpurr rangin airport.
3IV-small same, still
The airport was still small.

### 5.1.5. Possessive Clauses.

In possessive clauses, a possessive NP (see §2.5) functions as the predicate. The possessor may be indicated solely by the stem of the possessive adjective, as in 5-40, or by a nominal as well, as in $5-39$. The subject of the clause is registered by a pronominal prefix on the possessive adjective, and may also appear as an NP, as in 5-39. These clauses may have both ascriptive and equative functions.

| 5-39 Gu-goni | wetji | gun-ngidjiyi-ø | maka |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IV-this story 3IV-3MinF-unm | FaMo |  |  |
| This story is grandma's. |  |  |  |

(I/61/20)

## 5-40 Galu njiwu-gegimi-ø, <br> NEG 2AugA.3MinO-dislike-Irr2 but 3II-2Aug-unm <br> Don't dislike her, she's yours. <br> (I/61/18)

### 5.1.6. Arguments of Verbless Clauses.

Non-verbal predicates clearly select subjects. As the examples given above illustrate, they can also have peripheral arguments, NPs in oblique or dative case, which cover a range of semantic roles. The peripheral NPs in the existential clauses in examples 5-6 (first clause, not underlined) and 5-11 could be translated by peripheral NPs in English (thus for example, for $5-6$, 'there is no fire for him'); their referents are perhaps conceptualised as people affected by the existence or the non-existence of the fire, the sugar, etc. The same relationship between people and objects is more often thought of as one of possession in English, and a more natural translation would involve the transitive verb 'have', with the Gurr-goni peripheral NP becoming the A NP, the possessor (so 'he has no fire', 'we have no bullets', and so on). The most natural translation of example 5-2, clause 2, on the other hand, is an existential construction with an oblique NP in English, as it is in Gurr-goni: 'there is no-one with us'.

We have also seen that a nominalised verb may function as the predicate of a nonverbal clause (see 5-31 and 5-32 above). These could in fact be described as nominalised clauses, as when the verb stem is transitive, its object may be retained in the nominalised clause, as several examples show:

## 5-41 Mint-girr-baykirdi mut-djowunga ngika 3III-juice-long 3III-djowunga moiety $N E G$ munt-gu-bay, woku munt-gu-rra. 3III-Nom-eat foot/hand 3III-Nom-spear,stab

Lit. The djowunga moiety bush sugar cane is not an eating one (or one for eating), it's a foot stabbing one (while the yirrtjinga kind is eaten).
(VI/86/15)

## 5-42 Mundjarra djalmirri gut-gu-way <br> failed intention stick,wood 3IV-Nom-throw

(VII/88/8)
Lit. It was meant to be wood throwing (operation) (ie, it was meant to be an operation to remove the piece of wood).

More research is needed to establish how freely these object NPs may occur.

### 5.2. Verbal Clauses.

5.2.1. Realisation of the Core Arguments S, A, O.

Foley and Van Valin (1984: 79) note that "[t]he main coding feature distinguishing core from peripheral arguments is that core arguments tend to occur in unmarked morphological or syntactic forms and peripheral arguments in marked, often adpositional codings $\qquad$ Correlating with the unmarked morphological status of core arguments is the possibility of their being cross-referenced on the verb." Gurr-goni verbs do cross-reference core arguments - the subject of an intransitive verb ( S ), the subject of a transitive verb (A), and the object of a transitive verb (O), are indexed on the verb through the pronominal prefixes analysed in $\S 4.3$. They may also be represented by an NP in direct case, shown by a direct case pronoun (as in 5-43 and 5-45), a direct case prefix on adjectives and nouns which have overt marking of their noun class (as in 5-44), and by lack of any marking on other nouns (for example, those in $S$ function in 5-43 and $O$ function in 5-45):

# 5-43 Ngayi-pu ng-golokdji-n, ng-golokdji-n 1Min-Card 1MinS-chop-Fut 1MinS-chop-Fut ngu-ma-rdi, gu-buku-n djalmirri 1MinS-go along-Fut 3IVS-fall-Fut tree (V/132/9) I will chop at the tree, I'll chop away and the tree will fall. 

## 5-44 gun-ngak gu-rro-ka fire 3IVS-burn-Con The fire is burning.

## 5-45 Botiyu-pu wulek guypu awuni-djarlapi-ni 3UAnf-Card finish already 3UAnfA.3IO-make,prepare-Pre gupa arrapu lagayan steel bar and ?bone spear (V/53/19)

The two men had already prepared the steel bar and bone spear.

NPs are not necessary in these functions; an inflected verb, with pronominal prefix, constitutes a fully grammatical clause in and of itself:

## 5-46 Galu ngunabu-ngutja-ø, burr-ngurtdja- $\varnothing$ : <br> NEG 2AugA.1MinOImp-tell-Irr2 2AugSImp-be quiet-Irr2 Don't tell me, shut up! <br> (IV/148/15)

In fact, in naturally occurring speech and narration, the majority of verbal clauses have no NPs in S, A or O functions. In a survey of four texts (extracts of which are given in Appendix A), a total of 545 clauses with a verbal predicate were counted ${ }^{3}, 326$ ( $59.8 \%$ of the total) with an intransitive verb as the main argument taking predicate, and 219 ( $40.18 \%$ of the total) with a transitive verb. 389 ( $71.37 \%$ ) of the total of 545 clauses had no NPs in S, A or O functions.

Looking at the transitive and intransitive clauses separately, we see that 269 of the 326 intransitive clauses, or $82.5 \%$, have no S NP. More core NPs are found with the transitive clauses: just over half (120/219; $54.79 \%$ ) have neither an A nor an O NP, while 76 (34.7\%) have an O NP only, 17 ( $7.76 \%$ ) an A NP only, and only 6 ( $2.7 \%$ ) have NPs in both A and O functions.

[^69]These figures are shown in Table 5.1 below, which also shows the frequency of the orders in which the S, O and A NPs occurred in relation to the verb. The order of these constituents is by no means fixed, and yet it is clear from this table that some patterns occur more frequently than others. Where only one core NP occurs, be it S, A or O, it is more likely to occur before the verb than after it: the total count is 115 before, and 49 after, the verb.

|  | A | Texts |  |  | Total as \% of: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vintr only | 8 | 125 | 29 | 107 | 269 | 49.3 | 82.5 |  |
| V tr only | 6 | 72 | 2 | 40 | 120 | 22 |  | 54.8 |
| Total V only | 14 | 197 | 31 | 147 | 389 | 71.2 | 82.5 | 54.8 |
| SV | 3 | 29 | 3 | 12 | 47 | 8.6 | 14.4 |  |
| OV | 3 | 22 | 4 | 17 | 46 | 8.4 |  | 21 |
| AV | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 15 | 2.5 |  | 6.4 |
| Total NP V | 8 | 52 | 8 | 40 | 108 | 19.8 | 14.4 | 27.4 |
| VS | --- | 5 | --- | 5 | 10 | 1.8 | 3.1 |  |
| VO | 3 | 12 | 2 | 13 | 30 | 5.5 |  | 13.7 |
| VA | --- | 2 | --- | 1 | 3 | . 6 |  | 1.4 |
| Total V NP | 3 | 19 | 2 | 19 | 43 | 7.9 | 3.1 | 15.1 |
| AVO | --- | 1 | --- | --- | 1 |  |  |  |
| OVA | --- | 1 | --- |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| AOV | --- | --- | --- |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| OAV | --- |  | --- | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Total 2 NPs | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 1.1 |  | 2.7 |
| Total Clauses | 25 | 270 | 41 | 210 | 546 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 5.1: NPs in Core Argument Functions. ${ }^{4}$
Clauses with both A and O NPs occur in Gurr-goni with approximately the same frequency as reported for other languages with pronominal affixes representing these functions; compare the study by Mithun (1992) of Ngandi, also a non-Pama-Nyungan language, and Cayuga and Coos, both North American; Mithun gives the rates of 2\%,1$2 \%$ and $2-3 \%$, respectively, for the occurrence of clauses with both A and O NPs. As Mithun noted (ibid:20), "since such constructions appear so

[^70]rarely, strong statistical evidence for any [basic] order is simply lacking." In the texts tabulated above, four of the possible permutations of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{O}$ and V occur; the remaining orders (VOA and VAO) have also been found, and all are illustrated here:

| 5-47 | A | O |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| niyé-na | baladji | a-me-nji. |
| 3MinNf-Emph | bag | 3MinA.3IO-get-Pre |
| He got the bag. |  |  |

## 5-48 O A V

Nganaparru bapa njina-da-ni
ngatiyu-ø. buffalo dad IUAnfA.3MinO-shoot-Pre IUAnf-unm/Poss ${ }_{C}$ Dad and I shot a buffalo (for ourselves).

5-49 djunja muwu-ba-nga, wukali awu-ba-nga, yam sp 3AugA.3IIIO-eat-Con goanna 3AugA.3IO-eat-Con O V wami guwu-ba-nga mburrklerrtji djapanji honey 3AugA.3IVO-eat-Con children so much (V/137/13-21)
They ate yam, they ate goanna, the kids ate so much honey.
5-50 [gakak
a-goni] a-rra-ni wulek [an-maliyirri.] MoMo(Bro) 3I-this 3MinA.3IO-spear-Pre finish barramundi This "granny" of yours speared a barramundi.
(V/118/5)

5-51 arrapu gun-narraka, gun-narraka gi-djini-pu
and 3IV-other 3IV-other 3IV-AnEmph-pu
V
mu-gornda-ga [a-gabi djongok] [wupunj]
3MinA.3IIIO-cut-Con 3I-there Mo-in-law('sBRO) canoe And another (story, Cl.IV), another one is this, that djongok (of yours) carved a canoe.
(VIII/69/15)


Your grandfather An-ngulinj remembered the arrawun dreaming.
Gurr-goni, then, is a language for which "it is not particularly insightful to brand a particular order of grammatical relations as basic" (Payne 1992:1). As is the case in Ngandi, Cayuga and Coos, word order in Gurr-goni is probably determined by pragmatic considerations, but a full study of this issue is beyond the scope of this thesis. A preliminary study ${ }^{5}$ of the four texts given in the appendix shows that if an NP conveys new information, it will probably be placed before the verb ( 35 new NPs before : 5 after). Most NPs placed after the verb convey old information ( 27 old : 5 new), but as there are more old NPs in preverbal than in postverbal position (the count is 40 before to $26-27$ after the verb), it is clear that the categories of new, old and accessible information are not adequate in predicting word order, and that other factors, such as contrast with another referent, emphasis, topicality, etc, need to be taken into account.

An issue relevant to the question of word order is whether the core argument positions are in fact filled by NPs, or whether this role is borne by the verbal pronominal prefixes. It has been claimed that in languages which are like Gurr-goni in having a full set of bound pronouns, "the pronouns themselves bear the primary case relations to the verb. The associated noun phrases function grammatically more as appositives to the pronominal affixes, rather than directly as verbal arguments themselves" (Mithun 1992:58; the same idea was previously developed at length by, among others, Jelinek (1984) for Warlpiri, Bresnan and Mchombo (1987) for Chichewa, and Foley (1991) for Yimas).

[^71]In Yimas, the pronominal affixes are not obligatory; in Chichewa, the S/A affix is obligatory while the O affix is not; in Warlpiri, as in Gurr-goni, the pronominal clitics are obligatory, but Jelinek (1984:44) argues that, in Warlpiri, "the clitic pronouns do not constitute agreement .. with a nominal, since .... a clitic may be coindexed with a nominal that does not agree with it in person, number or case." This is the case in Gurr-goni also, as the following examples illustrate:

5-53 3 (Min) 2UA ( $=2 \mathrm{Min}+3 \mathrm{Min})$
Gengurdu njina-bo-go
FaFa 2UAnfS-go-Fut
Grandpa will go with you / You and Grandpa will both go.
(Lit. Grandpa you two will go.)
5-54

| 3Min | 3UA ( $=3 \mathrm{Min}+3 \mathrm{Min}$ ) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| [A-goni | mawa] [awuni] |  |
| 3MinI-this | FaFa 3i-ni. |  |
| 3UAnfS | -go-Pre |  |
| This grandpa of yours and another man went. |  |  |
| (Lit. This grandfather they two men went.) |  |  | (V/107/17)

5-55
3Min
1 Aug $(+1+3+3(+3+3 \ldots))$

| [Dji-njatbu |  | ni] | [njiwurr] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3MinII-thingummy |  | 3MinII-this | $1 A$ |
| Sister Hammer, |  | i-garrap | dji |
|  |  | MinII-Ana |  |
| 3Min | $1 \mathrm{Aug}(=1+3+3(+3+3 \ldots)$ |  |  |
| [Hammer] | er] [njiwu | r] -ni-ø, |  |
| name | 1AugS | -sit-Pr | 3MinII-An | (V/105/13)

What's the name of this woman we were with (lit. we all stayed), Sister Hammer, she was the first one, yes, Hammer and us were together (lit. Hammer we all stayed), she's the one.

In these examples here, the number specified by the NP (minimal in both 5-54 and 5-55; not specified in 5-53, but minimal in the context in which it occurred) is less than that encoded by the verbal prefix (unitaugmented in 5-53 and 5-54, and augmented in 5-55). In 5-53 and 5-55, the person specification also differs: the NP refers to a 3rd person, and the pronominal prefix to 1 st and 3 rd persons, or 2 nd and 3 rd persons. In
both cases, the NP refers to someone who is part of the group referred to by the pronominal prefix: grandpa is the person going with the addressee in 5-53, and one of the two men going in 5-54; in 5-55, Sister Hammer is one of the other people in the group 'I and other people'.

To say that the verbal pronominal prefix copies person/number information from the NP, we would have to claim, that, underlyingly, these NPs also make reference to the whole argument. (This is the position taken by McKay (1975:255) for Rembarrnga.) Following this analysis, for the Gurr-goni example given in 5-53 the full NP would be ngarr-pu (arrapu) gengurdu '2Min-Card (and) FaFa : you (and) grandpa' (or perhaps even ngarr-pu arrapu niyé-pu (3MinNonfemCard) gengurdu, as the nominal gengurdu gives no information about number (this can only be given in the NP by pronouns, demonstratives and adjectives), nor about the sex of the referent (gengurdu means father's father and father's father's sisters and brothers)). The sum of the pronominal categories in this NP would then be copied on to the verb ( 2 Minimal +3 , Class I (number unmarked, but minimal in context) $=$ 2UAnon-feminine), after which part or all of the NP may be deleted.

I do not find this convincing - of course, ngarr-pu '2Min-Card' could be added to the NP, but in Gurr-goni, as in Warlpiri, "independent pronouns are used primarily for emphatic contrastive reference; and sentences with an independent pronoun in adjunction to a pronominal affix or clitic are the marked constructions .... There is no reason to assume that these languages should match English in requiring an independent lexical subject, which is then dropped, in the unmarked construction" (Jelinek 1984:48-49).

Furthermore, Gurr-goni not only has instances where the number category encoded by the pronominal prefix is greater than that of the NP, but the opposite situation is also found:

5-56

## Ngirrepu [Bulanj

only male 3UAnf-Card 3MinA.3AugO-see-Pre

## awurr-garrapu

3Aug-Anaph
Only Bulanj's father (lit. Bulanj they two males) saw those people.

Here, the number specified by the pronoun in the A NP is greater than that registered for that function on the verb. The verbal prefix indicates that the A is 3 rd person minimal, and the O is 3 rd person augmented. We have an NP in 3rd person augmented number (awurrgarrapu 'those ones mentioned'), and we have another NP in 3rd person, but containing a unit-augmented number pronoun. This NP, in this context, actually refers to one person, the father of the boy identified as Bulanj. Using the name or subsection of a child, with a unit-augmented number pronoun, is a conventional way of referring to the child's parent, while avoiding the use of an adult's name. However, the same NP can refer to two people (two boys or men of the Bulanj subsection) as it does in the following example.

## 5-57 Bulanj <br> male subsection name <br> botiyu-pu awuni-bogi-ni. <br> 3UAnf-Card 3UAnfS-go-Pre <br> The two Bulanjs went.

It is the pronominal prefix which determines the actual reference of the NP.

However, whether or not we take the pronominal prefixes to be the real instantiation of the core arguments, the question arises of how NPs are linked to the prefixes - how do we determine what role the NPs are representing?

Jelinek (1984:52) proposes a 'Linking Rule' for Warlpiri; in essence: "A clitic pronoun may be coindexed with a nominal, providing [they] ..... are compatible "; she later states that "Primary L-case ${ }^{6}$ marked nominals must be co-indexed with a clitic verbal argument" (ibid:63). Foley (1991:228) similarly says for Yimas "any noun phrases filling what seem to be the core argument positions of the verb are actually only indirectly linked to it, by being in apposition to a pronominal affix which bears the same noun class, person and number specifications as the noun phrase."

For Gurr-goni, then, we could propose that NPs are linked with the grammatical functions indexed on the verb by comparing the person, number, class and case specifications of the NPs with those given for each argument by the pronominal prefix; NPs and pronominal arguments with compatible specifications may be matched. This would allow the matching

[^72]of the NPs in examples 5-53-5-55 above with the pronominal prefixes in S function, as the persons, classes and/or numbers of the NPs are compatible with those encoded in the prefix. Where two NPs are present, and both are compatible with the specifications for A and O arguments on a transitive verb, either NP may be matched with either argument function, and as word order also gives no clues regarding the assignment of grammatical function, such clauses are ambiguous. For example, a child telling about someone travelling overland from Darwin said:


The pronominal prefix indicates that the A is 3 rd person, minimal number (the A function is not marked overtly here), and that the O is Class 1 (3rd person, and either minimal in number, if human or higher animate, or unmarked for number). Both motor vehicles and crocodiles are 3rd person, and Class I; either could have been the referent of the Class I prefix indexing the object, O , and either could equally well be matched with the A function, being grammatically compatible as well as possible agents in the real world. To clarify the situation, his listeners had to ask questions like

## 5-59 mutika a-negi-rri <br> car, truck 3MinA.3IO-put weight of body on-Pre crocodile

Did the car run the crocodile over?
using a predicate where there could be no mistaking the agent and the object. (Negi means 'put the weight of one's body on (something)'; with animate objects, it often means 'squash', and with cars as agents, 'run over'. Thus while a crocodile could hit a truck, it would be nigh on impossible for it to squash it.)

The linking principle proposed above would exclude all NPs that are marked for local cases, whether by adpositions or case affixes. There are, however, many examples of clauses with unmarked NPs - NPs that appear to be in direct case, and certainly have no local case markers where these NPs are not linked to a pronominal prefix argument, even when they are grammatically compatible. Consider the following examples:

## 5-60 bila a-na-bamiyi-ni.

pillow(case) 3MinS-twds-carry (on head)-Pre
Class I Class I
He came along carrying a pillowcase.
*The pillowcase came along carrying (something).

## 5-61 git-gelu a-mukdji-nji at-djondu

hunger $3 M i n S-d i e$, be sick-Pre 3Min-palm sp., Carpentaria Class 1 Class I acuminata
He was hungry for Carpentaria palm.
*The Carpentaria palm was hungry.

## 5-62 balanggit a-wu-ni <br> blanket $3 M i n A$-give-Pre <br> Class I 3MinO,ClassI <br> $\mathrm{He} /$ she gave him a blanket. <br> *The blanket gave him (something).

All of the underlined nouns are unmarked - they have neither a local case affix nor an adposition. In terms of case, then, they could be linked to the core argument prefixes on the verbs; in person and in class, where this is marked on the verb, they are all also compatible with the verbal prefixes. None of them, however, is in fact linked to a core argument position.

In 5-60 and 5-61, the prefix indexing the $S$ function on the intransitive verbs is 3rd person, Class I, in both cases. Both clauses contain a Class I noun - bila 'pillow(case)' in 5-60, and at-djondu, 'Carpentaria acuminata, the Carpentaria palm', in 5-61. It is the meaning of the predicate that tells us these are not subjects, nor linked to the subject prefix - both bamiyi 'carry (on head)' and mukdja 'be sick, die'/ gitgelu mukdja 'be hungry', require animate subjects.

In 5-62, the noun balanggit (Class I again), is compatible in terms of person and class with the specifications for both A and O : A is 3rd person minimal, and O 3rd person, Class I. However, the verb wu 'give' not only requires an animate agent, but also an animate O : for this verb, it is the recipient which is indexed as O , not that which is given. This latter role may be represented in the clause by an unmarked NP; it is this role that 'blanket' fills.

How then do we know what role to assign to these nominals? It seems that we must specify for each verb a number of semantic roles, whether or not they must be filled by animate beings, and which argument positions in the verb they are linked to.

Even with these specifications, it may not be possible to determine, out of context, whether an NP is to be linked to one of the core arguments indexed on the verb, or whether it fills a semantic role which is not indexed on the verb. In all of examples 5-63 to 5-67, the clause is ambiguous: the translation given in (a) is that which represents the meaning intended in the context in which it occurred; the (b) translation presents an alternative, equally grammatical reading.

## 5-63 manburrba a-bitji-yi-ni <br> material 3MinS-tie,wrap-Intr-Pre <br> Class I Class I

(a) He was wrapped up in material.
(b) The material was wrapped/tied up.

## 5-64 djop awu- bu-ni awurr-djarti <br> soap 3AugA- hit-Pre 3AugS-hurry

Class I 3MinO,ClassI
(a) They cleaned (lit. hit) it thoroughly/quickly with soap.
(b) They went on quickly hitting the soap.

| 5-65 | a- | rra-ni | gayut |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3MinA- | spear-Pre | metal tipped spear |
|  | 3MinO,ClassI | Class I |  |

(a) He speared him with a metal tipped spear.
(b) The metal tipped spear stabbed/pricked him.

5-66 Djambakang biy-wirrki-dji.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { iron } & \text { 3MinA.2MinO-scratch-Pre }\end{array}$
(a) The iron scratched you.
(b) $\mathrm{He} /$ she scratched you with the iron.

(a) $\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{i}}$ spoke to that grandfather ${ }_{\mathrm{j}}$.
(V/113/25)
(b) That grandfather $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}$ spoke to $\mathrm{him}_{\mathrm{j}} /$ to himself $_{\mathrm{i}}$.

In 5-63, manburrba 'material' could be the subject of the verb bitji-yi 'tie, wrap self up; be tied, wrapped up'. In fact, it is clear from the context that the $S$ prefix refers to the speaker's newborn baby, mentioned previously, whom she had wrapped in material. In 5-64 and 565, the nouns djop 'soap' and gayut 'metal tipped spear' refer to instruments which the human agents of the respective verbs use in performing the actions. In a different context, 'soap' could be linked to the $O$ prefix, but here, the O is known from the previous discourse to refer to crocodile skins. Similarly, in 5-65, we know that someone is hunting buffaloes, and that this human agent and a buffalo are the referents of the A and O prefixal arguments. Conversely, in the context in which 5-667 occurred, no human agent was involved: I had scratched myself on a piece of corrugated iron forming the roof of a shelter, and seeing blood on my arm, someone made this remark. Had someone picked up a piece of iron and scratched me with it, the same utterance could have been made.

In example 5-67, the first NP, in direct case, could be coreferential with the $S$ prefix on the verb; in other words, it could be functioning as the subject of the clause. In the context in which it occurred, however, the use of the direct case and preverbal position serves to focus on this NP8, which is in fact coreferential with the dative pronoun, as in the (a) reading.

In examples 5-63 to 5-64, the referents of the pronominal prefixes have been previously mentioned in the discourse. It seems then that any rule linking NPs and pronominal prefixes must have more options than recognised by Jelinek for Warlpiri, and must look beyond the single clause, as the pronominal prefix may have anaphoric reference to an NP mentioned in a previous clause. The potential for ambiguity exists where, within a single clause, an NP and a pronominal prefix could be interpreted as being coreferential, or the NP could be interpreted as filling a non-indexed argument position and the pronominal prefix as

[^73]referring to a previously mentioned participant. Bresnan and Mchombo (1987) discuss a similar ambiguity with respect to the obligatory subject marking prefix in Chichewa, where, they argue, "all simple SuV sentences are functionally ambiguous: the apparent subject NP could be either a true subject with which the verb shows grammatical agreement ... or it could be a topic NP related by anaphoric agreement to the subject pronominal in the verb." (Bresnan and Mchombo 1987:787). Furthermore, "the subject NP must be local to the verb; but .. the floating topic NP may be non-local to the verb [and] ... the SM [subject marking prefix] can be used .. for non-local anaphora to the topic. However, on our analysis, the SM is ambiguous: besides being an incorporated pronominal, it can also be used as a true agreement marker" (ibid:788). (An example of a floating topic NP ('pig') can be seen in Appendix A, Text 4 , line 17 ; the predicate of the second clause following this NP registers anaphoric agreement with this topic.)

### 5.2.2. Peripheral Arguments: Place, Time, Manner.

In Gurr-goni, the clearest distinction between core and peripheral NPs is not on the NP itself, but on the verb: core arguments are indexed on the verb, peripheral ones are not. Many peripheral arguments can occur in a local case, or with an adposition - 5-68, 5-70, 5-71, 5-73 and 5-74 show examples of this. However, as noted in $\S 2.3 .4$, many temporal nominals, place names and other nouns referring to places cannot inflect for the locative case, and those which can take adpositions often do not ${ }^{9}$. Thus in 5-72, no marking is possible with mokol 'night'; in 5-69, gabi 'there; at, to' could be used, as it is in 5-68, but it is not necessary: in both 5-69 and 5-72 the role of the underlined nominal is unambiguous.

> 5-68 Nguwurr-bo-go nguwurr-gornagi-yi-n $l+2 A u g S$-go-Fut $\quad I+2 A u g S$-bath-Intr-Fut gabi Gapan gu-ne-rre there white clay $3 I V S$-sit-Con and = place name

We'll all go and swim at Gapan gu-nerre and Waypordo.

[^74]5-69 Numángiya djuwu-me-nji ngalngi place name 3 AugA. $3 I I O$-get-Pre turtle They got turtles at Numángiya.(IX/29/25)
5-70 Gu-goy nguna-na-ni.
Loc3IV-road 3MinA.1MinO-see-Pre He saw me on the road.(VIII/18/13)
5-71 Arr-ngorrungurru a-garrapu ant-gu-galiyi
Loc1+2Min-sleep 3I-Anaph 3I-Nom-listen

$$
=m a n
$$

a-na-meme.3IS-twds-go along +Irr2(V/56/12)
(Say) that man came along in our sleep (ie, while we slept).
5-72 Mokol awurr-bogi-ni. night 3AugS-go-Pre They went at night.(V/91/25)
5-73 Gi-gegu-pu ngarr-pu nji-na-buki-ni
Loc3IV-new-pu 2Min-Card 2MinS-twds-fall-Pre (III/1/1)
In the first place / the first time when you landed (lit. fell) here...
5-74 Burr-yorritji awurr-bogi-ni.
Comit-rain 3AugS-go-PreThey went in the rain.(IX/125/15)

### 5.2.3. Other Arguments Revisited.

We have seen above that in Gurr-goni, case-marking cannot be used as the basis for determining syntactic function or semantic role - or rather, while the presence of local case-marking indicates that the NP is not linked with a core argument function, the absence of local case marking does not imply such a link. Unmarked NPs may function as core arguments, peripheral arguments, and, contra Van Valin as quoted above (page 221), other, non-crossreferenced, non-peripheral arguments also. Like peripheral NPs, NPs in some of these latter functions may receive overt marking of their role, while others may not.
5.2.3.1. The semantic role of THEME has been illustrated above, as the non-crossreferenced argument of verbs like wu 'give' (5-62) and bamiyi 'carry' (5-60). Other direferential (or morphologically transitive) verbs which, like wu 'give', involve a third semantic role are gatjbu 'deprive of', gogadarra 'show', njimi 'paint', and (in one of its argument frames) ngutja 'tell story, call name'. Other monoreferential (or morphologically intransitive) verbs like bamiyi 'carry' are weleberrmiyi 'carry in bag slung around forehead', bin.ngarriyi 'lay (egg), give birth to (babies), shit', galiyi 'hear, understand, feel', wetji 'speak', and bipidja 'blow', etc. The unindexed argument, when it appears in the clause, always appears as an unmarked NP - it cannot take local case marking.

## 5-75 djungdjung burrkarl dji-bin.ngarriyi-ni <br> The dog had puppies. <br> > 5-76 a-bipidji-ga $\quad$ mut-burdja 3IS-blow-Con He's blowing the didjeridoridoo. <br> <br> 5-76 a-bipidji-ga mut-burdja <br> <br> 5-76 a-bipidji-ga mut-burdja 3IS-blow-Con 3III-didjeridoo 3IS-blow-Con 3III-didjeridoo He's blowing the didjeridoo.

 He's blowing the didjeridoo.}dog baby 3IIS-give birth to, drop-Pre
(IV/20/20)
5-77 Gun-dakngurrngu dji-galiyi-rri Gurr-goni, arrapu 3IV-hard 3IIS-understand-Con lang. name and gun-njalkitj arrapu galu dji-galiyi-ø 3IV-soft and NEG 3IIS-understand-Irr2

She understands "hard" Gurr-goni, and she doesn't understand
"soft" Gurr-goni too.
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { 5-78 } & \text { Ngayi-pu } & \text { ngu-weleberrmiyi- } \mathbf{n i} \\ & \text { IMin-Card } & \text { IMinS-carry around forehead-Pre }\end{array}\right)$

5-79 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wipa njirrbu-gogadarra-n mburrklerrtji } \\ & \text { country } \\ & \text { InsA.3nsO-show-Fut children }\end{aligned}$ We'll show the children the country.

## 5-80 Beki biy-njimi-rdi purple paint. name 3MinA.2MinO-paint-Fut <br> Rebecca will paint you with purple paint (ie, gentian violet, for fungal infections).

Lee (1987:251) identifies a similar clause type in Tiwi, and refers to this unindexed argument as a Secondary Object (SO): "The SO [Secondary Object] is a nominal argument required by certain verb stems but which is not indicated on the verb by a personal prefix. It may occur in the verb as a nominal incorporated form .......or expressed overtly in the clause .... In some cases there is no reference to the secondary object in the clause at all but it has to be inferred from the context."

There is some evidence in Gurr-goni that, with the transitive verbs at least, this argument is treated like the O argument which is indexed on the verb. We have seen $(\S 4.8 .1)$ that identity between the referents of the A and O arguments of a direferential, or transitive, verb, triggers reflexivisation of the verb: the intransitivising derivational suffix -yi- is added to the verb stem, and the direferential prefix replaced by a monoreferential one. There is an example in the texts of reflexivisation being triggered by identity between the A and the extra object (XO) arguments of a transitive verb:

## 5-81 ant-gegu a-mukdji-nji a-na-bogi-ni a-gogadarri-yi-ni. 3I-new 3IS-die-Pre 3IS-twds-go-Pre 3IS-show-Intr-Pre The new one who died came and showed himself. (III/69/16)

This is a small piece of evidence, which of course cannot be matched for the intransitive verbs with XO arguments; it is not even certain that it applies to the other semantically ditransitive, morphologically transitive verbs (identity between the A and XO arguments of gatjbu 'deprive of' and njimi 'paint' would probably be semantically anomalous, and I do not know whether 'give oneself to someone' is a possible meaning of the reflexive form of wu 'give').

We can add a further fact, however, suggesting that these extra arguments form an outer or oblique core layer in the clause. In the causative serial verb construction described in §5.3.4.2.3 below, we find NPs occurring between the verbs in the complex. In all cases, these NPs fill roles in the semantic structure of both verbs; in the example given
below, this role is linked to a core argument position on the second verb, but it appears as an unindexed extra object of the first:

## 5-82 galu ngunabu-wu-ø mitja ngu-bay-ø <br> NEG 2AugA.1MinOImp-give-Irr2 food 1MinA.3MinO-eat-Irr2 <br> Don't give me food to eat / don't feed me (lit. don't give me food I won't eat it). <br> (V/63/2)

As I describe below, there is a strong constraint in serial verb constructions such that core arguments must be shared by all verbs in the complex. This resultative type of serialisation has not yet been explored with informants, so that I have as yet no evidence as to what cannot occur within the complex. However, the presence of extra objects (and of subcategorised local NPs, see below) does suggest that they are treated as part of the core layer of the clause, and as they are not indexed on the verb, and hence are not obligatory, must belong to an outer layer of the core.

### 5.2.3.2. Instruments.

We have seen above that NPs in instrument role are unmarked for case. The locative prefix does appear on some nouns acting as instruments; in all spontaneously occurring examples, these are body part nouns, and in many instances, the prefix does not vary for person, class or number, but is Class IV regardless of the class of the owner of the body part:

## 5-83 Ngu-mibilu ngu-bu-ndi a-djapirdiyi-ø a-ne-rre LoclMin-eye IMinA.3MinO-hit-Con 3IS-sing-Con 3IS-sit-Con "I know him that man who was singing"; appears to be literally 'I hit him with my eye he was singing'.

| 5-84 Mangarraka | nji-bay- $\boldsymbol{y}$ | gu-woku, |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| don't | 2MinA.3MinO-eat-Irr2 | Loc3IV-hand |

spoon ø-ma-ø.
2MinA.3MinOImp-get-Irr2
Don't eat with your hand (by hand?), get a spoon.
(Cf
5-85 A-rrimi-rri $\quad$ nu-woku
3MinA.3IO-hold-Pre Loc3I-hand
He held it in his hand.
(VII/41/21)

She always goes on foot.

Other nouns in instrumental function do not take this prefix. Use of the prefix was accepted for some nouns in elicitation sessions, but where the referent of a noun could logically be an instrument or a location, use of the prefix was given the latter interpretation. Thus:

5-87 djalmirri burrbu-bu-ni<br>stick, tree 3nsA.3nsO-hit-Pre

means 'They hit them with sticks', while

## 5-88 gu-djalmirri burrbu-bu-ni <br> Loc3IV-stick,tree 3nsA.3nsO-hit-Pre

was interpreted as 'They hit them at the tree'.

Instruments, then, are like extra objects in that they cannot take case marking. While case marking is often optional with NPs referring to locations, here it is not: the presence of a locative prefix changes the meaning of the NP, giving it a peripheral function. Thus while the absence of casemarking is not always a determiner of non-peripheral status, here it appears to be, suggesting that instruments also are part of an outer core layer.

### 5.2.4. Dative and Possessive ${ }_{C}$ NPS.

The range of semantic roles covered by the dative and possessive cases was illustrated in $\S \S 2.3 .2$ and 2.3.3 Some of these roles (for example, beneficiary, maleficiary) appear to be optional with all predicates; others fill argument positions of particular predicates, and are thus more like core NPs. Is there any evidence, other than semantic, for their status?

For neighbouring Nakkara, Eather (1990:81) proposes an outercore layer with two components, one of which is "the oblique pronoun which is an associated non-peripheral argument which immediately follows a VC [verb complex]" (ibid:385). Eather borrows the term 'outer-core' from Morphy (1983), whose proposal to consider the dative case in Djapu "as the marker of an outer core function", "intermediate between core functions and peripheral functions" (Morphy 1983:81) is based on the following facts about Djapu:

1) "there are two sets of verbs with which DAT NPs behave like core NPs, in that they are necessary if the sentence is 'to have a complete sense'. They are: (a) SEMITRansitive verbs [eg 'desire', 'become knowledgeable about', 'seek', 'wait for', 'accompany']. These require an intransitive subject (S) NP and an obligatory DAT-marked NP in object function. (B) Ditransitive verbs [eg 'give', 'tell, call']. These require a transitive subject (A) NP, and two other core NPs. One is always marked as an O NP ....... The other may be marked either as an O NP or as a DAT NP" (ibid) (my emphasis).
2) An NP in a subordinate clause may be deleted if it is coreferential with one in the main clause, if, in the subordinate clause, it is in any of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}$ or dative functions (ibid:129).

While Eather does not discuss the applicability of these criteria to Nakkara, it is clear that these facts cannot be matched in Gurr-goni. Gurr-goni subordinate clauses can be identified on the basis of intonation and embedding within another clause; morphologically and syntactically, they are identical to main clauses, with the verb fully inflected with pronominal prefixes as well as tense/mood suffixes. There is thus no deletion of core arguments in subordinate clauses.

As for alternative argument frames, only one verb in the entire corpus has more than one argument frame. This is ngutja 'tell story, tell about X to someone'10, where the speaker is always in A function and the addressee may be in O function (indexed on the verb), and the story or name may appear as an 'extra object' (ie, as an unmarked NP within the clause); or the story, name, etc, is indexed on the verb in O function, and the addressee takes the dative (or possibly $\mathrm{Poss}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) case. For instance,

[^75]
# 5-89a Gu-goni wetji ngu-ngutji-n nguku-lorndo. 3IV-this story 1MinA.3MinO-tell-Fut 2Min-Dat 

I'll tell this story to you.

## 5-89b Gu-goni wetji ngiy-ngutji-n. <br> 3IV-this story 1MinA.2MinO-tell-Fut

I'll tell you this story.

There are other transitive verbs with which a dative NP can occur, representing a role which is part of the thematic structure of the predicate. Djitma 'steal' and mulwu 'keep secret' are examples: the theme is indexed on the verb in O function, and the person from whom something is stolen or kept secret is represented by a dative NP. Similarly with intransitive verbs like the speech act verbs wetji 'speak', gogidja 'call out', where the speaker is indexed as the subject ( S ) on the verb, and the addressee appears as a dative NP; motion and transfer of location verbs too can take a dative NP representing a human or higher animate as the goal, source or location. Thus:

## 5-90 Wetji gu-mulwi-ni <br> story 3MinA.3IVO-keep secret-Pre 1Aug-Dat <br> $\mathrm{He} /$ she kept the story(/news) from us. <br> ```5-91 Ø-Gogidja-ø \\ borro-ø! \\ 2MinSImp-call out-Irr2 3Aug-Poss \\ Call out to them!```

ngaytjbu-lorndo.
(VII/74/2)

With none of these verbs, however, is a dative NP obligatory, any more than they are where they do not fill a semantic role determined by the predicate:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 5-92 } & \text { Nji-mulwu-n } \\
\text { 2MinA.3MinO-keep secret-Fut } & \text { story } \\
\text { (You'll) keep the story secret. } \tag{VII/74/1}
\end{array}
$$

5-93 Yey, awurr-gabi a-nji awurr-gogidji-nji?
hey 3Aug-there 3I-what 3AugS-call out-Pre
Hey, what did those people over there call out?

Are there then any grounds other than that of semantic "completeness" on which to claim a non-peripheral status for dative and possessive ${ }_{C}$ NPs? There may be some evidence to be found within serial verb complexes ( $\$ 5.3 .1$ below). These comprise two or three verbs in an ordered sequence: any verb can occur in the first slot, while the second two slots can be filled only from a small set of verbs. All verbs index their core arguments, and NPs in core functions may also occur, either before or after the serial complex; they may not occur between the verbs in the complex. Dative and possessive ${ }_{C}$ pronouns, however, can occur in this position between verbs. Two of the relevant examples from §5.3.1 are repeated here:
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { 5-94 A-djini-pu djitjitja nji-weki-ya } & \text { ni-lorndo nji-ne-rre. } \\ \text { 3I-AnEmph-pu fish 2MinS-speak-Con } 3 \text { MinNf-Dat } & \text { 2MinS-sit-Con } \\ \text { That's a fish you're talking to. } & \text { (IV/24/16) }\end{array}$
5-95 dji-gorrmi-yi-ni ngaytjburru- $\quad$ dji-bogi-ni,
3IIS-put-Intr-Pre lAug-Poss $_{C}$
3IIS-go-Pre
dji-weki-ni ngaytjburru-g dji-bogi-ni.
3IIS-speak-Pre lAug-Poss ${ }_{C}$ 3IIS-go-Pre
She went about establishing herself (lit. putting herself down) with us, she set about speaking with / to us.
(III/16-22)

The dative pronoun can also follow the complex: ${ }^{11}$

| 5-96 | Galu | ng-galiyi-ø | ngu-ni-ø | ngutju-lorndo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NEG | IMinS-hear-Irr2 | IMinS-sit-Irr2 | 3MinF-Dat |  |
| yandu | djinj-yiti-ga | dji-ne-rre | ngapala | ngayi-pu. |
| what | 3IIS-say thus-Con | 3IIS-sit-Con | IMin+Dat | IMin-Card |
| I can't hear what she's saying to me. | (I/65/14) |  |  |  |

These facts may suggest that there is a tendency for dative and possessive ${ }_{C}$ pronouns to gravitate to the verb with which they are associated. (This is a tendency only, as a preverbal position is possible.) However, the post verbal position is the most frequent; and in serial complexes, this can mean that the pronoun remains with the verb which determines its semantic role, rather than following the complex as a

[^76]whole. In this, the dative and possessive ${ }_{C}$ pronouns behave like the pronominal prefixes, which occupy a fixed position on the verb regardless of whether it is in a serial construction or not, rather than like NPs in (or linked to) core argument positions, which cannot occur within a serial verb complex. It may be, then, that these pronouns are thought of as encoding inflectional categories of the verb, like the pronominal prefixes. They are clearly not accorded identical status to the core functions, however, as there are no serial constructions of the type:

*ngu-weki-ya nguku-lorndo nji-ne-rre<br>lMinS-speak-Con 2Min-Dat<br>2MinS-sit-Con

where the dative pronoun encoding the addressee of the first verb is identical to the subject ( S ) of the second verb. The verbs in a serial complex must share at least one core argument; this constraint can be met by $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{S}$ (or rarely, A ), but not by DAT $=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{A}$.

The data contains very few examples of dative and possessive ${ }_{C}$ pronouns within serial verb complexes; those that occur involve the verbs wetji 'speak', gorrmi 'put' and gorrmi-yi 'put oneself' (this last used metaphorically) ${ }^{12}$. With all these verbs, the dative/possessive ${ }_{C}$ pronoun encodes a role that is determined by the predicate. Further investigation is needed to determine whether the "dative of affect" can also occur midcomplex; this may provide clues as to whether, if it is the case that dative and oblique pronouns have a status intermediate between the core and oblique layers, this applies only when they encode a semantic role predicated by the verb, or to these cases in all circumstances.

### 5.2.5. Other Goals, Sources and Locations.

Like NPs referring to the setting of an event, in time or in space, NPs referring to places which play a role in the thematic structure of the verb are not indexed on the verb. Again, like spatio-temporal setting NPs, some receive overt marking of their role (as in 5-97 and 5-98 below), while others do not or cannot (as in 5-99).

[^77]| 5-97 | A-gorrma-ø | gengurdu | gi-djel. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3MinA.3IO-put-Con | FaFa | Loc3IV-ground |  |
| Grandpa put it on the ground. |  |  |  |


| 5-99 | Ngayi-pu | njina-djarti | njina-ma-nay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1Min-Card | lUAnfS-hurry | 1UAnfS-go along-Pre |
|  | ranba | jina-beki-ni. |  |
|  | beach | UAnfS-come (out | Pre |
|  | I hurried along with him, we came to the beach. |  |  |

(V/103/17)

As mentioned above, when humans and higher animates are the goals, sources or locations of an action, they are represented by dative (or possessive ${ }_{C}$ ) pronouns, which may occur within a serial verb complex. There are no examples of nominals referring to goals, sources or locations occurring in this intra-verb complex position (in fact, no nominals whatsoever occur here). If, as discussed above, the acceptability of this position for dative pronouns indicates a tendency to treat them like core arguments, then, in the case of these semantic roles, coreness is determined by animacy: human and higher animate goals, etc, are more core-like, and lower and in-animate ones are less core-like. (This is the position taken by Eather for Nakkara.)

On the other hand, there is some evidence from a second type of verb serialisation, where $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{A}$ (the meaning is basically causative, see below §5.3.4.2.3), that inanimate locations, etc, may be treated like core arguments. Here, nouns are found between the verbs in the complex; in the examples noted, these nouns fill roles in the semantic structure of both verbs. In example (a), for instance, the object and goal arguments of the first verb are, respectively, the subject and location of the second:

# 5-100 Wulek njirr-gorrma-nay=ngutjuyu mibitja njiwurr-ni-ø. finish 3MinA.1AugO-put-Pre $=3 M$ inF + Poss $_{A}$ shade 1AugS-sit-Pre Then she put us at the shade. (VII/53/14) 

Much work remains to be done on this causative type of serialisation, and it remains to be seen whether only non-cross-referenced arguments that are common to both verbs can occur within the complex, or whether other nouns - spatio-temporal setting NPs, for instance, or NPs in core argument functions can also occur here. If spatio-temporal setting NPs are found not to occur, this would suggest that the shared argument constraint on serial verb complexes applies in this case also to those arguments which are not cross-referenced, but which are subcategorised for by the verbs; these goal, source and location NPs would thus be seen to have a different status syntactically, as well as semantically, from the peripheral, scene-setting, NPs.

### 5.3. Serial Verbs in Gurr-goni.

| $5-101 \mathrm{i} \quad$ | Njiwurr-bupiyi-ni wotjbil, |
| :---: | :--- |
|  | lAugS-go down-Pre hospital |
|  | We went down to the hospital, |

ii abu-wu-ni awurr-bogi-ni Kimbies niyé-pu, 3AugA.3IO-give-Pre 3AugS-go-Pre nappies 3MinNf-Card they gave him nappies,
iii wulek, njina-bogi-ni,
finish IUAnfS-go-Pre then he and I went,
iv ngayi-pu njina-djarti njina-ma-nay, lMin-Card lUAnfS-hurry lUAnfS-go along-Pre I hurried along with him,
beach IUAnfS-arrive-Pre we arrived at the beach
vi "Aya, abu-wu-ni awurr-ni-ø ya?"
oh 3AugA.3IO-give-Pre 3AugS-sit-Pre Qu "Oh, they've been giving him (things), have they?"
vii djongok djinj-yiti-nji.
Mo-in-law 3IIS-say like this-Pre
Djongok said.

This example, an extract from a story telling of the birth of one of the narrator's children, illustrates a construction characteristic of Gurrgoni, in which a stance or motion verb follows another verb, and together, the two verbs refer to one event. Thus, in line (iv), njinadjarti njina-ma-nay 'we two hurried we two went along', the actions of hurrying and going along are simultaneous; they are part of one event. In lines (ii) and (vi) the verbs boy 'go' and ni 'sit' do not refer to actions at all: these lines do not mean 'they gave him and they went' or 'they gave him and they sat', nor even 'they went giving him', 'they sat giving him'. Rather than carrying their full lexical meaning, the function of these verbs here appears to be to provide aspectual modification of the preceding verb. Constructions like these occur frequently in both casual speech and narration, and they are also found in the other languages of the Maningrida subgroup, as well as in neighbouring, but not closely related, Djinang. While they have been described as auxiliary constructions (see McKay 1984, Glasgow 1984 and Waters 1989), they have many features identified as typical of serial verbs (cf. Foley and Olson 1985, Schiller 1990, Durie nd; and, indeed, within Australia, I. Green (1989) and Reid (1990) have described similar constructions in the Daly languages Marrithiyel and Ngan'gityemerri as serial verbs.) The construction contains an open slot, which can be filled by any verb, followed by one or two restricted slots, filled from a small set of (mainly intransitive) stance and motion verbs. The two (or three) verbs are inflected for the same tense/mood category, and share polarity. Each verb takes pronominal prefixes indexing its core arguments; at least one of these arguments must be shared by all the verbs. The verbs are covered by one intonation contour, appropriate to a mono-verbal clause, and few elements can occur between them. As the example above shows, the second verb is sometimes bleached of its full lexical meaning, and modifies the first verb; in identical constructions in different contexts, however, the second verb could refer to motion or stance, and carry its full lexical meaning. These verbs are therefore not coordinate (the construction is not equivalent to two coordinate clauses, whether marked as such by conjunctive particles or by intonation). Nor is one subordinate to the other, in the sense of being an argument of, or an adjunct to, the other. Perhaps we could say that the second verb is dependent, as in some cases, it does appear to modify the other; but as we will see, the second verb may also carry more information about the core arguments than does the first verb. It is clear that they are not independent, as they refer
to one event, and, must share tense, mood and at least one (or part of one) of their core arguments; Foley and Olson's (1985) term 'co-subordinate' seems to capture their relationship very neatly.

In the sections following, I will firstly discuss the constituents of this construction, and the order in which they occur, and then look in turn at each of the serialising verbs (those that can occur in the restricted slot), illustrating their functions. I then take up the question of what constitutes a common core argument - as we will see, this constraint can be satisfied by the identity, or partial identity, of the $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{S}$ or O of the non-restricted verb with the $S$ (or, less frequently, the $A$ ) of the serialising verb. Some $O=S / A$ serials appear to behave differently with respect to the constraints observed for $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{S}(/ \mathrm{A})$ serialisations, and may need to be treated as a distinct construction.

### 5.3.1. The Serial Verb Construction: Order of Constituents.

Each verb in a serial construction is fully inflected, taking tense/mood suffixes (the tense/mood category is shared by all the verbs), and pronominal prefixes indexing the subject, or subject and object.

## 5-102 awu-ba-rri

3AugA.3IO-eat-Pre 3AugS-go along-Pre
They went on eating it. / They ate on.
OR They went along eating.

## 5-103 nguna-na-ni

3MinA.1MinO-see-Pre 3 IIS-twds-go along-Pre
"She saw me all the way", She came along watching me. (perhaps also: She went on looking this way at me.)

Subject and object NPs can precede or follow this complex:

```
5-105 Nguna-na-ni dji-na-ma-nay ngayi-pu
    Ngayi-pu nguna-na-ni dji-na-ma-nay
    1Min-Card 3MinA.lMinO-see-Pre 3IIS-twds-go along-Pre
    She came along watching me / (?went on watching me.)
```

When a subject or object NP is inserted between the main verb and the serialising verbs, the two verbs are interpreted as referring to separate, usually sequential events. Thus, when I proffered 5-106a, attempting one clausal intonation over the three constituents:

## 5-106a *Awu-ba-rri mburrklerrtji awurr-ma-nay

it was corrected to the two separate clauses of 5-106b (ie, each with its own clausal intonation):

5-106b | awu-ba-rri |
| :---: |$\quad$ mburrklerrtji,

3AugA.3IO-eat-Pre children
awurr-ma-nay, $\quad$ awurr-borldji-nji
3AugS-go along-Pre $3 A u g S$-be full-Pre
The children ate, they went on (eating) and became full.

When I proffered the following construction, as an alternative to 5-105, and with a single clause intonation

| 5-107a | nguna-na-ni | ngayi-pu | dji-na-ma-nay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3MinA.IMinO-see-Pre | 1Min-Card | 3IIS-twds-go along-Pre |

it was repeated with clausal intonation for both the first verb (plus object pronoun), and the second verb ${ }^{13}$, and translated as :

| 5-107b | nguna-na-ni | ngayi-pu, | dji-na-ma-nay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3MinA.lMinO-see-Pre | IMin-Card | 3IIS-twds-go along-Pre | She saw me and then she came.

[^78](A similar situation is reported by Crowley (1987:43) for Paamese: "There is no independent marking of the subject noun phrase of the second verb ........ If there is a filler of the subject slot, then the only reading that is possible is a conjoined reading.")

Note, however, that if a $\operatorname{Poss}_{\mathrm{A}} 3$ rd person minimal pronoun is used with a transitive verb to unambiguously identify the agent of that verb as 3rd person (see §2.4.3), this possessive ${ }_{\mathrm{A}}$ pronoun must immediately follow the transitive verb both in a serial construction as well as when the verb stands alone:

```
5-108 nguna-na-ni=ngutjuyu dji-djarti dji-ma-nay
    3MinA.lMinO-see-Pre=3MinF+PossA 3IIS-hurry 3IIS-go along-Pre
    *nguna-na-ni dji-djarti=ngutjuyu dji-ma-nay
    *nguna-nani dji-djarti dji-ma-nay=ngutjuyu
    She stared at me / she hurried along looking at me.
```

Like the pronominal prefixes, the possessive ${ }_{C}$ pronouns form a complex with the transitive verb, and just as each verb in the serial construction is inflected individually, each taking pronominal prefixes and tense/mood suffixes, the possessive ${ }_{\mathrm{A}}$ pronouns remain cliticised to the transitive verb.

Possessive pronouns functioning as agent markers are not the only possessive pronouns found in serial verb constructions, and dative pronouns too can be found between the main verb and the serialising verb, as in 5-110-5-112:

| 5-109 | dji-gorrmi-yi-ni | ngaytjburru-ø | dji-bogi-ni, |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IIS-put-Intr-Pre | IAug-Poss | 3IIS-go-Pre |  |

She went about establishing herself (lit. putting herself down) with us, she set about speaking with / to us.
(III/3/16-22)

## 5-110 A-djini-pu djitjitja nji-weki-ya ni-lorndonji-ne-rre. 3I-AnEmph-pu fish 2MinS-speak-Con 3MinNf-Dat 2MinS-sit-Con That's a fish you're talking to.

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 5-111 Niyé-na } & \text { ng-gorrma-nay } \\ \text { 3MinNf-Emph } & \text { IMinA.3MinO-put-Pre } \\ \text { ngu-bogi-ni } & \text { nu-bobulu. } \\ \text { IMinS-go-Pre } & \text { Loc3I-back } \\ \text { I set about putting it on him on his back. }\end{array}$
ni-lorndo
3MinNf-Dat
3Minf
(VI/36/5)

5-112 Bapa dji-gabi Leila dji-weku-n nguku-lorndo dji-ni-ngu Fa(Zi) 3II-there " 3IIS-speak-Fut 2Min-Dat 3IIS-sit-Fut
like ngu-weki-ni ngutju-lorndo ngu-workiyi-ni. " 1MinS-speak-Pre 3MinF-Dat IMinS-do always-Pre (IX/90/9)

Your aunt Leila there will talk to you like I've always talked to her.

Aside from these pronominals, only an aspectual particle, munguy 'keep on', has been found to occur within the serial verb complex:

## 5-113 Gi-djirritji-nji munguy dji-ni-ø-:. <br> 3MinA.3IVO-light fire-Pre keep on 3IIS-sit-Pre-X

She kept on sitting lighting the fire. (IV/70/13)

Like core argument NPs, peripheral NPs such as goals either precede or follow the serial verb complex:
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { 5-114 } & \text { Burr-gorrma-nay } & \text { a-bogi-ni wipa } \\ & \text { 3MinA.3AugO-put-Pre } & \text { 3IS-go-Pre } & \text { home, camp }\end{array}$
He went putting them at (their) homes.
(VII/76/8)

## 5-115 Niyé-pu mu-garrapu mut-bulun-pu rangin wurere <br> 3MinNf-Card 3III-Anaph 3III-old-pu still reeds <br> njiwurr-galidji-nji njiwurr-bogi-ni <br> lAugS-paddle-Pre IAugS-go -Pre <br> We went paddling through the same old reeds. <br> (V/118/5)

### 5.3.2. The Serialising Verbs

A serial construction can be composed of up to three verbs, comprising a main or lexical verb followed by one or two serialising verbs; the table below shows these serialising verbs. All but three are intransitive. The verbs in column 1 can freely occur in a serial
construction following any other verb. Those in column 2 can occur as the second serialising verb, following any of those in column 1. (Any one verb can only occur once, however, whether as lexical verb or serialising verb.) The verbs in group $B$ are used only to indicate associated motion; those in group A have aspectual functions also. The functions of each verb are described and illustrated below.

## Serialising Verb 1

Serialising Verb 2
A ni
'be (sitting)'
dji 'be (standing)'
yu 'be (lying)', 'sleep'
boy 'go, move towards a goal
or with a purpose'
meme 'move along steadily' meme
djartabiyi, 'move quickly' djarti
djarti
workiyi 'do always' workiyi
*mukbu 'complete' (tr)
mukbi-yi- 'be complete'
Compound Serial Verbs
djarti meme 'do intensely'
ni meme 'become'
B. djirritbu 'go, turn around'
betji~beku- 'move out, arrive'
djeka 'return'
djorrwa 'jump’
warrtja 'climb, move up’
bupiyi 'descend, move down'
*(way 'throw')
*(mupu hold tight')
An asterisk * identifies the transitive verbs. Brackets () indicate that the verb has limited use in this position as a serialising verb.

Foley and Olson (1985:41) propose a "hierarchy of verb types accessible to the restricted slot" in nuclear and core layer serial constructions, with, from left to right, the most favoured to the least favoured: "motion verbs > stance or postural verbs > stative intransitive verbs > transitive verbs" (ibid:48). As well as encapsulating crosslinguistic tendencies, Foley and Olson (ibid:42) suggest that this hierarchy
predicts access to the restricted slot within languages. As can be seen here, Gurr-goni serialisation involves many motion verbs and all the stance verbs. There are fewer transitive verbs, and, as will be seen below, they are less productive, but there is no serialisation with stative intransitive verbs (be sick, be afraid, be closed, be clean, etc). In Gurr-gan, then, the order of Foley and olson's last two categories is reversed.
5.3.2.1. Ni 'stay, be (sitting)', dji 'be (standing)', yu 'be (lying), sleep, stay': Durative/lterative.

These three stance verbs are used as serial verbs with the aspectual meaning of 'last for some time'; this aspect could be called durative. With main verbs describing actions of an inherently short nature (for example, cough, hit, stab oneself), the action is understood to have lasted sometime by occurring several times. Thus:

## 5-116 dji-ngawkdji-nji <br> 3IIS-yawn-Pre <br> She yawned.

says merely that someone yawned; it is not explicit about how often, while

## 5-117 dji-ngawkdji-nji dji-ni-ø <br> 3IIS-yawn-Pre 3IIS-sit-Pre

She was (sitting) yawning.
does imply that the subject yawned more than once.
As well as indicating that an action lasts for some time, $\mathbf{d j i}$ and $\mathbf{y u}$ also specify the subject's posture or orientation ${ }^{14}$. Thus in $5-118$, a child is lying smiling (in fact, smiling in his sleep), while in $5-119$, someone is told to smile standing (to have their photograph taken).

[^79]
## 5-118 A-girdétji-ga a-yo-rri <br> 3IS-smile-Con 3IS-lie-Con <br> He's smiling in his sleep.

5-119 Ø-Girdétji-ø
$\varnothing-\mathrm{dji}-\varnothing$ !
2MinSImp-smile-Irr2 2MinSImp-stand-Irr2
Smile!

Similarly, 5-120 describes a buffalo lying submerged in the water, while 5-121 refers to someone standing under water (while having a shower):

## 5-120 A-gogini-ø a-yu-y yigi-minabámi <br> 3IS-be under water-Pre 3IS-lie-Pre Loc3IV-water

He was lying submerged in the water.

> 5-121 Dji-gogini-ø dji-dji-ø
> 3IIS-be under water-Pre 3IIS-stand-Pre
> She was standing under the shower.
$\mathbf{D j i}$ is the verb used to describe the action of water dripping down, rain falling - or anything else, like bombs, which has a salient vertical extension or trajectory. $\mathbf{Y u}$ is used to describe horizontal movement, as with sewerage seeping out of a pipe, or nutrients entering a hospital patient through a tube:

## 5-122 Niyé-pu gukuk gu-garrapu gu-djawi-rri gi-dji-ø 3MinNf-Card water 3IV-Anaph 3IVS-seep,leak-Pre 3IVS-stand-Pre That water was dripping down. <br> (IX/71/25)

## 5-123 Mu-garrapu bomb m-buki-ni mi-dji-ø djapanji <br> 3III-Anaph " 3IIIS-fall-Pre 3IIIS-stand-Pre so many

So many bombs were falling.
(IX/100/1)

## 5-124 Ngukulenjdji mu-djawu-nga mu-yo-rri <br> shit 3IIIS-seep, leak-Con 3IIIS-lie-Con

The sewerage flows out.

## 5-125 Mitja mu-galtji-ga mu-yo-rri. <br> food 3IIIS-enter-Con 3IIIS-lie-Con <br> The food enters horizontally.

Ni can be used with its literal meaning of sitting; 5-126 below is an example of this: In many cases, however, ni does not convey any reference to posture. It is used with many verbs of motion, as in 5-127, and even with verbs describing actions or states which are not incompatible with a sitting posture, use of ni does not necessarily imply that the subjects were seated. Thus in 5-128, the referents of njiwurr-gogi-ni njiwurr-ni-ø may have been sitting in the water, but their lying or standing in the water would also be consistent with the use of ni, which appears to be the unmarked durative, indicating only that they were there for some time.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 5-126 Mun-medjimidji djen.gele mu-gornda-ga } & \text { a-ne-rre. } \\
\text { 3III-hair } & \text { Livistona sp. 3MinA.3IIIO-cut-Con } & \text { 3IS-sit-Con } \\
\text { sand palm } & \\
\text { He's sitting down cutting the palm frond. } & \text { (I/9/1) }
\end{array}
$$

| 5-127 | Djin-arraka | burr-gaki-dji | dji-ni-q. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3II-other | 3MinA.3AugO-chase-Pre/Con |  |

Awurr-yurrburridji-nji awurr-ni-g mburrklerrtji
3AugS-run-Pre 3AugS-sit-Pre children
wulek awurr-warrtji-nji.
finish 3AugS-climb-Pre
Another (pig) chased them. The children ran on, then they climbed up.
(V/128/1)

## 5-128 Njiwurr-ni- $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ : $\quad$ njiwurr-rro-ngu njiwurr-ni- $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. 1AugS-sit-Pre-X lAugS-burn-Pre lAugS-sit-Pre njiwurr-ma-nay, njiwurr-gogi-ni njiwurr-ni- $\varnothing$ <br> 1AugS-go along-Pre 1AugS-be under water-Pre IAugS-sit-Pre <br> We stayed for a long time, we were very hot, we went along and submerged ourselves in the water. <br> (V/37/9-13)

$\mathbf{N i}$ can also be used in a serial construction following dji or $\mathbf{y u}$ (5-130). The reverse does not apply, nor is the same verb used
twice in a serial construction. Meme 'go along' and boy 'go' can be used following ni (and in fact, following dji and $\mathbf{y u}$ ); see below for examples.

## 5-129 Djama awurr-dji- $\boldsymbol{\emptyset}^{15}$ awurr-ni-ø-: gabi. <br> work 3AugS-stand-Pre <br> They stayed working there. <br> 3AugS-sit-Pre-X there <br> (Mutjarra tape) <br> 5-130 Weleng njinj-yu-y nji-ni-ø djinj-yipdji-nji ya. then 2MinS-sleep-Pre 2MinS-sit-Pre 3IIS-sun set-Pre Qu (V/124/25)

Then you were sleeping and the sun went down, didn't it?

The sole use recorded of $\mathbf{y u}$ as the second serial verb is following djirritbu, 'go around', when that is itself used as a serial verb describing associated motion:

## 5-131 Gu-ba-rri dji-djirritbi-ni djinj-yu-y <br> 3MinA.3IVO-eat-Pre 3IIS-go around-Pre 3IIS-lie-Pre

She went around drinking.

### 5.3.2.2. Meme 'move along steadily through space/time'.

Meme has the literal meaning of 'move along steadily'; with humans, usually 'walk'. Used as a serial verb, it can have the literal meaning of ' V while walking or moving along steadily', as in 5-132.

## 5-132 ditjburrk ngu-bamiyi-ni <br> ngu-ma-nay <br> axe 1MinS-carry-Pre <br> 1MinS-go along-Pre

I went along carrying the axe.
(V/33/21)

Its aspectual meaning is a restriction of this meaning to movement through time only: 'go along steadily through time Ving (in the manner of/doing the action of the main verb).

[^80]
## 5-133 Wulek njiwurr-gorrmi-yi-ni njiwurr-yu-y. finish lAugS-put down-Intr-Pre lAugS-sleep-Pre

 Njiwurr-yu-y njiwurr-ma-nay, gu-na-gepiyi-ni 1AugS-sleep-Pre lAugS-go along-Pre 3IVS-twds-dawn-Pre wulek njiwurr-bo-gini.finish 1AugS-go-Pre
Then we lay down and slept. We slept on, day came (or perhaps 'we slept until dawn'), then we went.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 5-134 Ganday } & \text { dji-djini-pu } & \text { o-na-ø } \\ \text { doe kangaroo } & \text { 3II-AnEmph-pu } & \text { 2MinA.3MinOImp-see-Irr2 } \\ \text { bapa, } & \text { dji-goni } & \text { dji-ne-rre } & \text { dji-ma-ma }\end{array}$

In these last two examples, it is clear that the aspectual meaning is intended. There are other examples, however, where the meaning is ambiguous, where both the aspectual and the associated motion functions are compatible with the meaning of the main verb. This ambiguity is discussed in §5.3.3 below.

In a very few of the examples, meme adds the meaning 'go gradually/ steadily into the state of the main verb'; for example:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 5-134 Nji-borldji-ga nji-ma-ma } \\
& \text { 2MinS-be full-Con 2MinS-go along-Con } \\
& \text { You're getting full. } \tag{V/1/29}
\end{align*}
$$

It appears that there can also be ambiguity between the two aspectual meanings 'go steadily through time Ving' and 'gradually/steadily go into Ving'. The following example was said of a child gradually dropping off to sleep, and contrasts with the use of yu meme in 5-133, which describes sleeping through the night:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 5-136 A-yo-rri } & \text { a-ma-ma. } \\
\text { 3IS-sleep-Con } & \text { 3IS-go along-Con } \\
\text { He's going to sleep. }
\end{array}
$$

Meme can be freely used as a second serialising verb, as for instance in:

## 5-137 Ngu-warrbardji-n ngu-beku-n ngu-ma-rdi 1MinS-be white-Fut 1MinS-arrive, go out-Fut 1MinS-go along-Fut I'll arrive white (said by someone painting herself with white clay before a funeral). <br> (VII/106/1)

### 5.3.2.3. Boy 'go'.

Boy as a main verb has the meaning 'go'; it often takes a goal or purpose NP, while meme rarely does. As a serial verb, it can be used to describe motion associated with doing the action of the main verb, as in going treading on the ground (5-138) or going taking something (5-139). Its aspectual function is illustrated in (5-101) above, and in (5-140) here; it appears to have the meaning 'set about doing', 'go about the business of doing', deriving from the connotations of purposefulness associated with the lexical meaning of boy.

5-138 A-goni wulek wana, gu-negi-rri a-bogi-ni. 3I-this finish big 3MinA.3IVO-tread on-Pre 3IS-go-Pre This one was big, he was walking (lit. going treading on it (the ground, Cl.IV).)
(IV/20/16)

## 5-139 Awuni-mukupu ngudjina-ga-tji <br> 3UAnf-two I went taking two. <br> ngu-bogi-ni. IMinS-go-Pre. (V/118/5)

## 5-140 Njiwurr-bo-gini gun-ngak, .. njiwu-djirritji-nji

lAugS-go-Pre firewood IAugA.3MinO-light fire-Pre njiwurr-bo-gini, midjikit njiwu-gupi-ni, lAugS-go-Pre mosquito net IAugA.3MinO-put up-Pre gu-rro-ngu gi-dji-ø, mokol gi-ni-ø. 3IVS-burn-Pre 3IVS-stand-Pre night 3IVS-be-Pre We went for firewood .. we set about lighting the fire, we put up a mosquito net, the fire blazed high, it was nighttime. (V/144/21)

### 5.3.2.4. Djartabiyi ~ djarti 'be quick'.

Djartabiyi (and its shortened form djarti, which does not take tense/ mood suffixes) has the lexical meaning 'be quick, move quickly', and as a serial verb means 'move quickly Ving' and 'V quickly'.

5-141 Wulek njiwurr-warrtji-nji njiwurr-djartabiyi-ni
finish lAugS-climb-Pre $\begin{aligned} & \text { IAugS-be quick-Pre } \\ & \text { Then we climbed quickly up. }\end{aligned}$ (IV/52/25)

5-142 Ngu-yiti-nji ngu-njimi-rri, 1MinS-do like this-Pre 1MinA.3MinO-paint-Pre ngu-njimi-rri ngu-djarti
1MinA.3MinO-paint-Pre IMinS-be quick I painted like this, I painted quickly.
(IV/82/25)

Unlike meme and boy, djarti does not occur after the stance verbs, barring yu when it is used with reference to rivers, creeks and roads:

```
5-143 Gu-garrapu wulek gut-goy gu-yo-rri gu-djarti 3IV-Anaph finish 3IV-track 3IVS-lie-Con 3IVS-be quick That's where the road runs (lit. lies quickly). (V/14/9)
```

Djarti can also occur as the second serialising verb, as in:


### 5.3.2.5. Workiyi 'walk about': Habitual aspect, 'do always'.

As a main verb workiyi means 'walk about, walk from place to place'. Unlike the other verbs described so far, it does not appear to be used as a serial verb to describe associated motion; all serial uses of this verb are aspectual. Speakers often translate it as 'always'; it is used to describe habitual states and actions. Workiyi freely occurs as either the only serial verb, or as the second of two.

## 5-144 "Ey?" Bobo a-weki-ni, gun-niyé-pu a-weki-ya eh? name 3IS-speak-Pre 3IV-3MinNf-Card 3IS-speak-Con <br> a-workiyi- $\varnothing$, "Ey?" a-bogi-ni. <br> 3IS-do always-Con eh? 3IS-go-Pre <br> "Eh?" Bobo (a buffalo) said, (in) his (language: Cl.IV) he always speaks, "Eh?" he went.

## 5-146 Gabi djel a-djurnitbi-yi- a-yo-rri a-workiyi- $\varnothing$

 there ground 3IS-bury-Intr-Con 3IS-lie-Con 3IS-do always-Con It (a frog) always lies buried in the ground.5.3.2.6. Mukbu 'complete'(tr) 'do properly', mukbi-yi 'be, do forever'. Mukbu is one of only three transitive serialising verbs in Gurrgoni, and the only one with an aspectual function. Its lexical meaning is 'complete, finish'; as a serial verb, it has the same terminal meaning 'do to completion', and is sometimes used in an adverbial sense 'do completely, thoroughly', and hence 'do properly':

## 5-147 Buwu-dirrwu-ø buwu-mukbu-ø

2AugA.3MinOImp-tie up-Irr2 2AugA.3MinOImp-complete-Irr2 yandu galu yandu a-yita-ø a-boy-ø
so NEG what do 3IS-do like this-Irr2 3IS-go-Irr2
Tie him up properly so he can't go. (VI/91/4)

| 5-148 Baladji | a-me-nji | a-mukbi-ni. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| bag | 3MinA.3IO-get-Pre | 3MinA.3IO-complete-Pre |
|  |  | (IV/6/19) |

Informant's translation: "He got that bag and he kept that bag."
The intransitivised form mukbi-yi means not 'complete oneself' or 'be complete', but 'be or do (as if) forever', as in going somewhere and staying (semi) permanently, and as a serial verb, it has the same meaning:

| 5-149 Worro gut-djardi | gu-buku-n | gu-mukbi-yi-n, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pity | 3IV-rain | 3IVS-fall-Fut | 3IVS-complete-Intr-Fut |
| gu-dje-ngu-pu | gu-dje-ngu-pu | gu-dje-ngu-pu |  |
| 3IVS-stand-Fut-pu | 3IVS-stand-Fut-pu | 3IVS-stand-Fut-pu |  |
| gu-dje-ngu-pu | gu-na-gepiyi-n |  |  |
| 3IVS-stand-Fut-pu | 3IVS-twds-dawn-Fut |  |  |
| What a pity, the rain will fall forever, it will fall and fall and fall |  |  |  |
| and fall until dawn. | (VII/1 1/16) |  |  |

### 5.3.2.7. Compound Serial Verbs: Djarti meme and ni meme.

While three verbs, meme 'go along', djarti 'move quickly' and workiyi 'do always', can freely occur following other serial verbs, there are two collocations of serial verbs which have developed meanings not entirely predictable from the sum of their parts. These are djarti meme, literally 'go quickly + go along', and ni meme, literally 'sit, stay + go along'.
5.3.2.1.7. A: Djarti meme can describe associated motion, 'moving along steadily and quickly in the manner of $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{Ving}$ ':

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { 5-150 ngu-yurrburridji-nji } & \text { ngu-djarti } \\
\text { ngi-yi-ma-nay } \\
\text { I MinS-run-Pre } & \text { IMinS-hurry } \\
\text { I ran quickly away. } & \\
\text { (IV/121/26) }
\end{array}
$$

5-151 balatji a-garrapu an-malátja njina-ga-tji
bag 3I-Anaph 3I-lacking IUAnfA.3MinO-take-Pre/Con
njina-djarti njina-ma-nay.
1UAnfS-hurry lUAnfS-go along-Pre
We hurried along taking that bag with nothing in it.
It is also used where there is clearly no movement through space involved, nor necessarily any quickness of action; this use is exemplified in 5-152 and 5-153. Here, djarti meme appears to describe the intensity with which the action is performed. ${ }^{16}$

[^81]
(V/13/5-9)
I stopped and looked hard (to see) if a ghost was coming.
djinj-yu-y dji-djarti dji-ma-nay.
3IIS-sleep-Pre 3IIS-hurry 3IIS-go along-Pre (L17/3/89)
Daryl went to school, but Nicole went on sleeping soundly.
5.3.2.1.7. B: Ni meme. Using the serial construction ni meme is the productive means of forming inchoatives from adjectives and nouns. In $\S 5.4$ below, I described the formation of complex predicates comprising a nominal followed by a verb, often one of the stance verbs, and in §5.3.2.2 above, describing the functions of meme as a serial verb, I illustrated its (infrequent) inceptive function with stative verbs. The compound serial construction ni meme, marking inchoative aspect, may thus result from a nominal plus ni, the most copula-like of the stance verbs, taking meme as a serial verb with this inceptive meaning. It is used frequently with nominals, and one example appears in the data where it follows another verb:

| 5-154 | Nji-bambay | nji-ne-rre | nji-ma-ma |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2Min-old woman | 2MinS-sit-Con | 2MinS-go along-Con |

You're getting to be an old woman.

## 5-155 Ngorrungurru a-bu-ndi a-ne-rre a-ma-ma. <br> sleep 3MinA.3IO-hit-Con 3MinS-sit-Con 3MinS-go along-Con He's getting sleepy (lit. he's sitting sleep hitting him).

### 5.3.2.8. Associated Motion Serialisation.

While the verbs described thus far have both literal and aspectual functions, the remaining serialising verbs have not been found to have aspectual meanings. They describe motion of a particular kind (jumping, going around), or in a particular direction (moving up, moving down, coming out or arriving, returning), concurrent with the action referred
to by the first verb; most commonly (but not exclusively) this first verb is 'take' or one of the verbs referring to carrying. Concurrent motion is identified by Koch (1984) as one distinction in a verbal category he terms 'associated motion'. This category is common in Australian languages; it has been described in detail for languages such as Kaytej (Koch 1984 and 1990:204), Kayardild (Evans 1985:250-253), Adnyamathana (Tunbridge 1988), Arrernte (Wilkins 1989) and Arabana-Wangkangurru (Hercus 1994). The markers of associated motion are described as verbal affixes or compound verbs in all of these languages excepting Kayardild, which, like Gurr-goni, expresses associated motion through inflected verbs in a serial construction. Some examples containing these verbs of associated motion have already appeared: djirritbu 'go around' in 5-131 above, betji 'arrive, come out' in 5-137, and djorrwa 'jump' in 5-144. Further examples are given here:

| 5-156 | Nguwu-da-n | gobu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | l+2AugA.3MinO-shoot-Fut | goose | l+2AugS-carry-Fut nguwurr-warrtji-n

1+2AugS-climb-Fut
We'll shoot geese (and) carry them up.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 5-157 Weleng a-garrapu } & \text { an-maliyirri } \\ \text { then } & \text { 3I-Anaph } & \text { 3I-skin }\end{array}$
njibu-ga-tji njiwurr-nguna-djeki-rri mandunuyu
1AugA.3MinO-take-Pre lAugS-twds-return-Pre crocodile Then we brought back that crocodile skin.

| 5-158 | A-rduburdji-nji | a-beki-ni |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3IS-be startled-Pre | a-ma-nay-: |  |
| He moved out startled. | (V/29/1) |  |

Transitive serialising verbs appear to be less common, and less productive. I know of only two: way 'throw' which occurs only with golidjirritja 'turn over' ${ }^{17}$, and mupu 'keep hold of, hold tight', which is in fact known only from this serial construction, where it follows rrimi 'hold'.

[^82]
# 5-159 Gurrgábu ng-golidjirritji-n ngu-wa-rdi. other side 1MinA.3MinO-turn over-Fut IMinA.3MinO-throw-Fut 

 I'll turn it over to the other side. (V/40/21)$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 5-160 Ay-rrimi-rdi ay-mupu-n, } \\
& \text { l+2MinA.3MinO-hold-Fut l+2MinA.3MinO-??keep in hands-Fut } \\
& \text { galu ay-bawu-n. } \\
& \text { NEG I+2MinA.3MinO-leave-Fut } \\
& \text { We'll hold it tight, we won't let it go. } \tag{VI/64/4}
\end{align*}
$$

All four of the Maningrida languages use the three stance verbs, and 'do always', 'go', and 'go along' as serial verbs, with aspectual and literal functions. Nakkara and Burarra, like Gurr-goni, also have a small set of serial verbs which describe associated motion. In this set of verbs, there is considerable variation between the languages, although some meanings are shared. It may be that this set of 'associated motion' verbs is open, and other verbs of motion could be used as serialising verbs when appropriate; this remains to be investigated.

### 5.3.3. Ambiguity between Associated motion/stance and aspectual modification.

## 5-157 At-balpi njiwu-me-ka+rni weleng njibu-wa-nga+rni. <br> 3I-stone 1AugA.3MinO-get-Irrl then 1AugA.3MinO-throw-Irrl

 Njibu-wa-nga+rni njiwurr-ma- $\varnothing+$ rni-:1AugA.3MinO-throw-Irr1 1AugS-go along-Irr2
djapu ganditjawa njibu-yolidji-ga+rni
like flour, damper IAugA.3MinO-cook in ashes-Irr1
We would get grinding stones, then we would throw them (ie, grind with them). We would grind away, on and on, (then) we would cook it in the ashes like damper.
(III/84/22-25)

In this example, it is clear that meme in the underlined phrase does not refer to movement through space, but to movement through time (continuing to wield the grinding stones): meme has an aspectual function here, rather than an "associated motion" function. The same phrase, however, in another context, could mean 'we went along throwing it' or 'we threw it on our way'. In other words, where compatible with the meaning of the lexical verb, meme is ambiguous as a serial verb: its
function could be either to provide aspectual specification of the first verb, or to describe associated motion. The other serialising verbs, like boy 'go' and djarti 'move quickly', are similarly ambiguous; consider example 5-152 above. The underlined serial construction in that example could mean "I hurried along looking"; here it clearly does not, as the clause immediately preceding it is "I stopped". A very similar ambiguity exists also in Yoruba (Lord 1993, quoting Obilade 1977), where a serial construction containing the verb meaning 'eat' followed by lọ can mean either 'eat along the way / eat before going', or 'continue to eat'. The fact that one and the same construction can have both aspectual and literal meanings calls into question the distinction Foley and Van Valin (1984:210) seek to make: "One of the ways for aspect to be indicated is by a serial verb construction with a stance verb like 'sit', 'stand', or 'live' for progressive aspect and 'finish', 'throw away', or a similar verb for perfective aspect. These are not verbs in a nuclear juncture, but rather an aspectual operator realized by a verb stem and a predicate within its scope." (my emphasis). While it is clear that in some languages there is a contrast between serialisation (whether at the nuclear level, or, as in Gurr-goni, at core level), and aspectual marking derived from such constructions (this is the case in Fijian, as demonstrated by Foley and Van Valin), there is equally clearly no syntactic or morphological contrast in Gurr-goni (or in Yoruba, with lo). In Foley and Van Valin's terms, we have core level cosubordination (or serialization), where one of the verbs in the complex can act as an aspectual operator over the other.

### 5.3.4. Shared Core Arguments.

### 5.3.4.1. What constitutes "the same"?

Foley and Olson (1985:26) identify as one of the defining features of serial verb constructions that they "are formed only on the basis of the same subject or object-subject constraints". While it has been pointed out (Crowley 1987; Schiller 1990) that in some languages this constraint is violated, it does apply in Gurr-goni, assuming a more pragmatic interpretation of "same" than one based solely on the person/number categories indexed on the verbs. ${ }^{18}$ In most of the examples of serial verb

[^83]constructions in the corpus, there is identity of person and number between the subject or object of the main verb, and the subject of the serialising verb. All examples thus far have shown identical subjects, and object-subject identity is discussed below at §5.3.4.2. There are, however, a substantial number of examples where, instead of complete identity, there is "referential overlap" (Roberts 1988), where the referents of the indexed arguments overlap. The subject of the main verb may be part of the subject of the serial verb, as in the following examples:

5-163 Munguy dji-ngartbi-ni njiwurr-ni-ø.
keep on 3IIS-warm self-Pre IAugS-be,sit-Pre We stayed while she kept on warming herself.(IV/70/1)
5-164 Wulek Finish
ngu-me-nji
1MinA.3MinO-get-Pre
njiwurr-ni-ø.
IAugS-be,sit-PreThen we stayed while I got it.(IV/25/1)
5-165 Ngidjiyé-na maka djit-burrpu 3MinF-Emph FaMo 3II-gutsdji-warrka-nga njiwurr-ne-rre.3MinA.3IIO-pull guts out-Con 1AugS-be,sit-Con (III/64/23)We sat while grandma herself pulled the (turtle's) guts out.

\author{

5-167 Mit-djeyirri mubu-wa-nga+rni, njirrbu-na-ni, 3III-spittle 3AugA.3IIIO-throw-Irrl InsA.3nsO-see-Pre = smoke or chew tobacco <br> | "E, | e | mu-garrapu | burr-bu-ni." | Butj |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yes | yes | 3III-Anaph | 3MinA.3AugO-hit-Pre | bush |
| mu-yo-rri | muwu-ba-rri | njiwurr-ni-の. |  |  | <br> They would smoke, we saw them, "Yes, yes, that (plant thing) has hit them (ie affected them)." We stayed with them consuming that tobacco that grows in the bush. <br> (IX/86/9-17)

}

It is almost impossible to give a mono-clausal translation for these Gurr-goni examples - English requires one of the verbs to be subordinated to or co-ordinate with the other. However, in Gurr-goni these constructions have the intonation contour appropriate for single clauses, as well as shared tense, mood and polarity, and it seems that however hard it may be to express in English, the events being described are thought of as one event, rather than two separate actions or events. The serialising verb gives the total picture of the participants, and to some extent of the event (in these examples, either going or sitting/staying for some time), while the main verb spotlights an activity of one or more members of that group of participants, which is carried on concurrently with, and appears to be central to, the actions of the whole group. (Similarly, in a mono-verbal clause, the "subject" NP can also be used to mention one member of a group of participants, while the subject prefix on the verb refers to the group as a whole; this was discussed and exemplified at §5.2.1 above.)

A discussion of serialisations where the number of the $A$ or $S$ of the first verb is smaller than the number of the $S$ of the second verb ( $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}<\mathrm{S}$ ) would not be complete without mention of a construction common in describing the existence or location of inanimates and lower animates. Here, a stance verb, with a prefix indexing the noun class of the species or object, is followed by the verb boy 'go' with a 3rd person, unitaugmented number prefix. The reference of the unit-augmented pronominal is not clear, nor is the meaning of the complex as a whole; speakers have given translations like 'that's where they are', 'the tea was waiting for them'. The following examples will, however, illustrate its use:

| 5-167 | Buwu-gogadarra-n | wetji, djalmirri, mi-nji |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1AugA.2MinO-show-Fut | language, story tree | 3III-what |
|  | mi-djini getjigra | mu-dje-rre | awuni-bogi-ya |
|  | 3III-AnEmph something | 3IIIS-stand-Con | 3UAnfS-go-Con |
|  |  |  | (I/109/10-16) |

We'll show you language/stories, trees, any kind of Cl.III things (ie plants and bush tucker) that are in the bush.

| 5-168 At-bu-burrkarl djapanji | awu-na-ni | a-yu-y |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3I-Der-child | so many | 3AugA.3IO-see-Pre | 3IS-lie-Pre |
| arrapu a-bimidjiyi-ni, an-arpurr djapanji |  |  |  |
| and 3IS-float-Pre 3I-little | so many |  |  |
| a-yu-y awuni-bo-gini |  |  |  |
| 3IS-lie-Pre 3UAnf-go-Pre |  |  |  |
| They saw so many baby (crocodiles) lying and floating, so many |  |  |  |
| little ones were there. | (VIII/84/1) |  |  |

5-169 Guwu-djinji-rri awurr-ma-nay, di niyé-pu
3AugA.3IVO-cook-Pre 3AugS-go along-Pre tea 3MinNf-Card
gi-dji- $\quad$ awuni-bogi-ni, gitgal, milk,
3IVS-stand-Pre 3UAnfS-go-Pre milk
gitgal gi-dji-ø.
milk 3IVS-stand-Pre
They cooked (tea), the tea was waiting for them, milk, there was
milk.
(VI/13/5)

## 5-170 Njiwurr-beki-ni gabi ngalngi djin-yo-rri awuni-bogi-ya. 1AugS-arrive-Pre there turtle 3IIS-lie-Con 3UAnfS-go-Con (V/146/17)

We came to "where the billabong [is] where that turtle is."

Other relationships may also hold between the subject of a serialising verb and the arguments of the main verb. The pronominal category indexed as subject on the serialising verb may represent the combination of subject and object of the main verb:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { 5-171 Ngiy-gilikiliwi-dji } & \text { arr-ne-rre. } \\
\text { IMinA.2MinO-tickle-Con } & \text { l+2MinS-be,sit-Con } \\
\text { I'm tickling you. } &
\end{array}
$$

| 5-172 | Dji-bu-ndi | awurrinji-ne-rre. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 3MinA.3IIO-hit-Con | 3UAfS-be,sit-Con |  |
| He is/was hitting her. |  |  |


| 5-173 | Ngu-rrimi-rri, | a-gogidji-nji | a-ni-ø, |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IMinA.3MinO-hold-Pre | 3IS-callout-Pre | 3IS-be,sit-Pre |  |

ngu-rrimi-rri njina-ni-ø.
1MinA.3MinO-hold-Pre 1UAnfS-be,sit-Pre
I held him, he was calling out, I was holding him.

## 5-174 Dji-weki-ni ngaytjburru-ø, "Nguwurr-bo-go, 3IIS-speak-Pre 1Aug-Poss $\quad 1+2 A u g S$-go-Fut

## ngunabu-gogadarra-n nguwurr-bo-go."

2AugA. 1 MinO -show-Fut $\quad 1+2 \mathrm{AugS}$-go-Fut
She said to us, "Let's go, let's go showing me (it)."

This is also found in Ndjébbana (McKay 1984), and Crowley (1987:48) notes a similar construction in Paamese, which is "used when both the subject and object are involved as actors in the action represented by the second verb". It can certainly be said of example 5-174 above, and perhaps of 5-171 also, that both subject and object of the transitive verb are actors in the action represented by the serialising verb. In 5-172 and 5-173, however, the function of the serial verb seems more to be that of an aspectual marker, indicating that the subject and object of the main verb were both involved for some time in the action referred to by the main verb.

### 5.3.4.2. Object $=$ Subject Serial Constructions.

5.3.4.2.2. Experiencer Serials.

In the majority of serial verb constructions, the subject ( A or S ) of the first verb is identical to, or overlaps with the referent of, the subject (S or A) of the serial verb. There are also instances where the object of the first verb is the same as the subject ( S or A ) of the second. This is a very common construction in many of the serialising languages of the world, and is often used to express causation or result (Li and Thompson 1976, Foley and Olson 1985, Durie nd). For some of the object = subject serial constructions in Gurr-goni, the action of the second verb is caused by, or allowed to happen through, the action of the first verb; such
constructions will be discussed below. In other instances, however, this is not the case. Consider the following examples:

5-175 Njirr-rre+rrmi-rri<br>njiwurr-ma-nay<br>3MinA.IAugO-pound + Redup-Pre lAugS-go along-Pre gut-djardi wana.<br>3IV-rain big<br>We went along being pelted by heavy rain.

(VII/1 1/4)

Here, in 5-175, the rain is not causing the referents of the verb njiwurr-ma-nay to go; rather, their going and the rain pelting down on them were simultaneous. In 5-176 and 5-177 below, the agents (rain and sleep respectively) are not making their objects (the subjects of serial 'sit') sit, but concomitantly with their sitting, rain is spraying them, and sleep hitting (ie affecting) them. These serialisations appear to be focussing on the subject as the experiencer of the action of the first verb: the pragmatic equivalent, perhaps, of a passive voice.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { 5-176 } & \text { Biy-wirrpi-rdi } & \text { nji-ne-rre? } \\
& \text { 3MinA.2MinO-spray-Con } & \text { 2MinS-sit-Con }
\end{array}
$$

Lit. Are you sitting it spraying you? or, Are you getting rained on?

| 5-177Ngorrungurru nguna-bu-ndi <br> sleep 3MinO.1MinA-hit-Con | ngu-ne-rre. | MinS-sit-Con |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Lit. I'm sitting sleep hitting me, or I'm getting sleepy.

### 5.3.4.2.3. Causative Serials.

Those serial constructions where the action of the first verb does cause the action of the second involve a limited number of verbs; the collocations observed are shown here, and exemplified below ${ }^{19}$. With the sole exception of bay 'consume', the set of verbs occurring in the second position in these causative complexes is a subset of that found with aspectual/associated motion serialisation.

[^84]| A | djawa <br> wu | 'suckle, give drink to' <br> 'give' | + bay | 'consume' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | gorrmi | 'put down' | $\mathbf{n i}$ | 'sit' |
|  | bawu <br> ngepi | 'leave' | 'lift' | +dji |
| 'stand' |  |  |  |  |
| C | way <br> galtji-ganmi | 'throw' | 'enter-tr' | + |

A.

5-178 galu ngunabu-wu-ø mitia ngu-bay-ø
NEG 2AugA.1MinOImp-give-Irr2 food IMinA.3MinO-eat-Irr2 an-ngayi-pu burrkarl ngu-barrwi-dji
3I-1Min-Card child 1MinA.3MinO-think of-CP
Don't give me food to eat (or, don't feed me), I'm thinking of my (dead) son.

5-179 A-djawu-ni
gu-ba-rri.
3MinA.3IO-give drink to, suckle-Pre 3MinA.3IVO-eat-Pre
$\mathrm{He} /$ she gave him a drink; she breastfed him.
(VII/78/18)
B.

| 5-180 Wami | njiwu-bawu-dji | gi-dje-rre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sugarbag | IAugA.3MinO-leave-Con | 3IVS-stand-Con | wurru wukali djunja njiwu-gapi-ga but goanna long white yam lAugA.3MinO-dig-Con We left the sugarbag standing (there), but we dug for goanna and yams.


| 5-181 | Galu | njin-da-ø | djaluwu | wurru |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | NEG | 2MinA.3MinO-shoot-Irr2 | again | but | nji-bawu-n-pu a-yu-ngu.

2MinA.3MinO-leave-Fut-pu 3IS-lie-Fut
Don't shoot him again, but just leave him lying (there). (IV/13/4)

5-182 Awurritj-bawu-ni a-ni-ø.
3UAfA.3IO-leave-Pre 3IS-sit-Pre
They two left him.

5-183 Djibu-ngepi-rri dji-ni-ø.
3AugA.3IIO-lift-Pre 3IIS-sit-Pre
They sat her up. (IX/131/25)
5-184 $\mathrm{O} \quad=\quad \mathrm{S}$

| $\frac{\text { Ng-gorrma-nay }}{}$ | a-yu-y <br> IMinA.3MinO-put down-Pre <br> A |
| :---: | :--- |
| AIS-lie-Pre |  |
|  | $=\quad \mathrm{S}$ |

djapanji ng-gorrma-nay ngi-dji-ø
so much 1MinA.3MinO-put down lMinS-stand-Pre
I lay them down, I put down so many.
(IV/23/13)

5-185 Ngubu-gorrmi
$1+2$ AugA. 3 MinO-put down + Irr 2 food and mu-djungdjung-yerrtji arrbu-gorrmi,
Coll-dog-mob $\quad 1+2 n s A .3 n s O-p u t$ down+Irr2
arrbu-gorrmi wipa awurr-dji-ø
$1+2 n s A .3 n s O-$ put down $+I r r 2$ camp $3 A u g S$-stand-Irr2
wurru nguwurr-boy-ø gu-gu-warlpu.
but $1+2$ AugS-go-Irr2 Loc3IV-Nom-hunt
Say we put food down, and we put all the dogs, we put them at the camp, but we go hunting.
(V/55/5-7)

## 5-186 Wulek njirr-gorrma-nay=ngutjuyu

finish 3MinA.1AugO-put-Pre $=3$ MinF + Poss $_{A}$
mibitja njiwurr-ni- $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$.
shade 1AugS-sit-Pre
Then she put us at the shade.
(VII/53/14)
C.

5-187 Yama ngubu-way-ф a-boy-ф
how about $1+2$ AugA. 3 MinO-throw-Irr2 3IS-go-Irr 2
abu-na-ø murrpu a-djerre
3AugA.3IO-see-Irr2 chest 3I-Poss
a-nji a-ba-rri
3I-what 3MinA.3IO-eat-Pre
= happen to
How about we send him (to Darwin) (so) they can see what happened to his chest.
(V/62/20)

## 5-188 Galu arrapu njirrbu-galtji-ganmi-ø+rni school NEG and InsA.3nsO-enter-tr-Irrl " awurr-bogi-ya+rni <br> 3AugS-go-Irr1 <br> And we couldn't send them to school. <br> (III/17/1)

It will be apparent from these examples that there are differences between these causative constructions and the associated motion, aspectual and experiencer serialisations discussed above. Goal NPs occur between the two verbs in 5-185, 5-187 and 5-188, and an extra object NP in 5178; in all cases, this NP argument is common to both verbs. This has not been found with the other types of serialisation in Gurr-goni, but, as Durie (nd:5) remarks, "what seems appropriately regarded as genuine verb serialisation comes in a variety of syntactic forms, even within a single language".

The illocutionary mood may also differ between the two verbs:

## 5-189 Wulek buwu-bawu-ø a-dje-ngu <br> finish 2AugA.3MinOImp-leave-Irr2 3IS-stand-Fut <br> Just leave him there.

(VI/14/25)

This may still be consistent with Foley and Olson's (1985:24) "constraint which prohibits any independent choice of mood for verbs in serial constructions." Crowley (1987:44) notes in Paamese that "there is a close dependence between the mood and polarity categories that are marked on the initial verb in the series, and the second verb", and "when the initial verb is in the imperative, subsequent verbs receive marking for either the immediate or distant moods" (p.46). It may be the case that, in Gurr-goni, an imperative first verb determines that the second verb is in the future. This is an important area for future research.

### 5.4. Nominal + Verbal Complex Predicates.

In $\S 5.1$ above, I showed that adjectives (among other parts of speech) can stand alone as the primary argument-taking predicate of a clause. Several examples were included where a verbal and an adjectival predicate were both present; these are repeated here for ease of reference:

# 5-190 Gun-ngak galu ni-lorndo, an-ngultji a-yo-rri maka. 3IV-fire $\quad$ NEG 3MinNf-Dat 3I-dark 3IS-lie-Con FaMo(Bro) There is no fire for him, your great-uncle lies dark. 

## 5-191 Nguwunji, guwa ø-na-ø, aunt (FaZi) come here 2 MinA.3MinOImp-see-Irr2

a-goni an-marrman a-dje-rre.

3I-this 3I-good 3IS-stand-Con (I/65/26)
Auntie, come and see, this (a tent) is standing up well.

| 5-192 awurr-gurdu | awurr-bogi-ya | awurr-workiyi- $\varnothing$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3Aug-uncircumcised | $3 A u g S$-go-Con | 3AugS-do always-Con |
| They always go uncircumcised. | $(V I I / 92 / 3)$ |  |

The verbs in these examples are yu 'lie', dji 'stand' and boy 'go' (with workiyi 'do always'). Ni 'sit, stay' occurs very frequently following adjectives, and appears to be relatively unmarked for posture/physical orientation, while dji 'stand' and yu 'lie' retain much of the postural component of their meaning: yu in 5-190 above refers to someone actually lying down, and dji is used in 5-191 above of a tent, which stands upright. The contrast between dji for erect, vertically oriented objects and ni either for objects in a squatter position, or simply unmarked, is nicely illustrated in the following example, taken from a children's story in which the rain travels along seeing how dry everything is. The grass firstly 'sits' dry:

| 5-193 gu-na-ni | golborr | gorla | mu-ni-ø |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3MinA.3IVO-see-Pre | grass | $d r y$ | 3IIIS-be,sit-Pre |

It saw the grass was dry.

But when the grass has been refreshed by the rain, and begins to grow again, it sees that is 'stands' good:


It saw it was good.

By contrast, when the rain first sees an anthill, the anthill 'stands', but then 'sits' when it begins to dissolve after being rained on:


These are not semantically empty copular verbs then; both the adjective and the verb contribute meaning. Motion verbs can also be used in conjunction with an adjective, as with boy 'go' in 5-192 above, and meme 'go along' and djeka 'return' in the following examples:

## 5-196 djit-gegu dji-na-ma-nay

3II-new 3IIS-twds-go along-Pre
"She was too new" (lit. She came new.)
(III/3/7)
$\begin{array}{rlr}\text { 5-197 djit-gegu dji-na-djeki-rri } & \text { dji-na-ma-nay. } \\ \text { 3II-new } & \text { 3IIS-twds-return-Pre } & \text { 3IIS-twds-go along-Pre } \\ & & \text { (III/3/10) }\end{array}$
"She was too new coming from her home." (lit. she returned new.)

The examples given here are all taken from texts, and illustrate all the verbs which have so far been found in this construction. Comparing them with the list of serialising verbs on p.265, we see that the verbs which can follow adjectival predicates are a subset of those which act as serialising verbs after a verbal predicate: the first five of the serialising verbs with both aspectual and associated motion functions occur here, as does one of the group $B$ verbs, indicating associated motion only.

The compound serialiser ni meme 'become' (lit. 'sit go along') of course also occurs with adjectives, as was noted above in §5.3.2.7B. It may well be that others of the set of serialising verbs shown on p .265 can also occur following an adjectival predicate, but this remains to be investigated.

Comparisons with serial verb constructions are suggested because, like the two (or three) verbs in a serial complex, the adjective and verb(s) here occur in a fixed order, and are covered by a single intonation contour. It appears then that just as two verbal predicates combine to form a single clause in serial verb constructions, here an adjective and a
verb also form a single clause. Whether all the syntactic restrictions which apply to serial verbs also apply to these constructions remains to be seen. All examples found comply with the shared argument constraint on serial verbs (and in fact have identical arguments); the shared tense/mood constraint clearly does not apply, as adjectives do not inflect for tense (but the adjective is dependent on the verb for its time reference). Semantically, the verbs appear to be used more in the 'associated motion' sense; there are no examples where the verb carries an unambiguously aspectual function.

As I noted briefly in §5.1.2.1, (footnote 2, this chapter), there are in fact two constructions here; both are illustrated in examples 5-193 -5-195 above. There, in 5-194, the attributive predicate -marrman 'good' has a prefix indexing the noun class of the subject (mun-, Cl.III). In 5-193 and 5-195, on the other hand, the attributive predicates gorla 'dry' and njalkitj 'soft' do not have noun class prefixes. -Marrman has not been recorded without a prefix ${ }^{20}$, and gorla has not been recorded with one; njalkitj occurs both with and without a noun class/pronominal prefix, as do many other attributive stems. There may well be a subtle difference in meaning between the two constructions, but it has not yet been discovered. However, on a syntactic level, we can note that while prefixed attributive stems can occur alone as argument taking predicates, unprefixed stems cannot. Moreover, ni 'sit, stay' is the only stance verb found to occur with unprefixed attributives; testing may show that dji 'stand' and yu 'lie' can occur in this construction, but no spontaneous examples have been recorded to date. It may be, then, that the prefixed attributive stem plus verb represents the nexus of two primary argument taking predicates, while the unprefixed stem plus verb complex involves a secondary predicate plus a copular verb, ni.

I have previously argued that $\mathbf{n i}, \mathbf{d j i}$ and $\mathbf{y u}$ are not semantically empty copulas, precisely because a choice is available, and that choice is significant. Here there appears to be no choice, and ni, the least marked member of the set of stance verbs, does appear to function simply to encode tense/status distinctions and to index the person and number of the subject. (Ni also appears to function as a copular verb where a noun, which cannot be prefixed, functions as a predicate, as in equative clauses and those ascribing class membership:

[^85]| 5-198 Monday gu-ne-rre | nji-na-bogi-ya? |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | " | 3IVS-be,sit-Con |
| 2MinS-twds-go-Con |  |  |

It was Monday when you came?
$\begin{array}{cll}\text { 5-199 Gerard burrkarl a-ni-ø } \\ \text { name child } & \text { 3IS-be-Pre }\end{array}$
Gerard was a child.
(IV/20/4)
$\begin{array}{rll}\text { 5-200 } & \text { ngayi-pu worri } & \text { ngu-ne-rre+rni } \\ \text { lMin-Card man } & \text { lMinS-be-Irrl }\end{array}$
If I had been a man.
(VII/93/26)

I have no examples of such clauses with verbs other than ni.)

Un-prefixed attributive stems can occur with verbs other than ni. The most common and most productive of these is the transitive verb negi. The lexical meaning of this verb is 'put the weight of one's body on (O)'; following attributive stems (and it can occur with both prefixed and unprefixed stems) it appears to function as a transitive copula meaning 'make be'. Both the lexical and copular uses are illustrated in the following example:

## 5-201 Awuni-goni ngarna awuni-djerre ngarna awuni-djerre 3UAnf-this thigh 3UAnf-Poss thigh 3UAnf-Poss

 burrbu-negi-rri lorr, burrbu-murdórdubi-ni, 3nsA.3nsO-put weight on-Pre hard 3nsA.3nsO-press-Pre gu-garrapu-kuwa awurr-mayidja burrbu-negi-rri 3IV-Anaph-Abl 3Aug-circumcised 3nsA.3nsO-make be-Pre They sat down hard on these two boys' thighs, they pressed them down, and after that they made them circumcised men (informant's translation: they made them young men).(VII/93/15-20)
and 5-198 shows its use following an unprefixed attributive:

## 5-202 Djeltji gi-negi-rri

wet 3MinA.3IVO-make be-Pre
He /she made it wet.

These constructions with a transitive verb following an attributive stem share the features of fixed order and one intonation contour common to serial verb and adjective plus intransitive verb constructions, and do appear to be treated as one clause. They add, then, a further possibility for shared arguments, as here the $S$ of the (prefixed) adjective is the same as the O of the transitive verb.
A few examples of an unprefixed attributive with a verb other than ni or negi have been found in texts; they are given here:

## 5-203 Njan ng-ngalganmi-ø+rni <br> if 1MinA.3MinO-find-Irr1 gulámun.nga ngu-djeki-nga+rni wipa. <br> raw IMinA.3MinO-return-Irrl home, camp <br> (III/34/13)

If I had found it, I would have returned home (with it) raw.

| 5-204 | Njirrinji-na-ni | a-na-djarti | a-na-ma-nay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | IUAfA.3MinO-see-Pre | 3IS-twds-hurry | 3IS-twds-go along-Pre |

baladji awuni-mukupu yalang a-ga-tji
bag 3UAnf-two empty 3MinA.3IO-take-Pre/Con
a-na-ma-nay.
3IS-twds-go along-Pre
We two women saw him hurrying along this way bringing two bags empty (or bringing empty two bags).
(V/122-123)

## 5-205 Galu arrarrka njiwurr-bogi-ya+rni. <br> NEG ?properly lAugS-go-Irrl

We didn't go properly (ie we kept stopping and going slowly, because we had a sick person with us).

## 5-202 Ngowolk dji-djeni-yi-ni. <br> quiet 3IIS-look for-Intr-Pre

"She didn't have any company."

Much remains to be investigated regarding these constructions. In all cases here, the unprefixed attributive precedes the primary predicate of the clause, but it is not certain that this position is fixed (in 5-204, for example, it may perhaps be an adnominal secondary predicate, rather than being linked to the main predicate. If it is linked to the main
predicate ga meme 'take go along', then we appear to have a different set of verbs to which secondary predicates can be linked, from the set of serialising verbs found with verbs and (probably) prefixed attributives). 5-193 is particularly interesting, as the unprefixed attributive gulámun.nga does not appear to refer to any argument of the clause in which it appears. Gulámun.nga means 'raw' (of food that needs cooking) or 'unripe' (of fruits, etc, that ripen); in no way can it be predicated of the subject of djeka 'return'. Rather, it refers to the object (a buffalo, or other game) of the predicate in the first clause, ngalganmi 'find', with which the hunter (the subject of both clauses) returns home but his catch is not overtly expressed in the second clause except through this secondary predicate.

Very little can yet be said about this unprefixed attributive plus verb construction from a cross-linguistic perspective. The other construction, comprising a prefixed attributive (or adjective) plus a stance or motion verb, shares many features with a "productive construction type" identified by Nichols (1978a:115) in English and Russian (and other languages), comprising "verbs of motion or position ... with adjectives of quality" (ibid). Nichols (1978b:320) terms these adjectives (for example, 'happy' and 'drunk' in 'walk along happy', 'come home drunk') "copredicates". (Copredicates in English (and Russian?), however, have "no preferred position relative to the controller or the verb per se" (ibid:332); syntactically, therefore, the Gurr-goni construction is quite distinct.)

Elsewhere (Larson 1991, Tenny 1994), adjectives such as 'happy' and 'drunk' in constructions like these have been termed 'depictive secondary predicates'. Larson (1991) notes that, cross-linguistically, serial constructions display a range of functions which is also found with secondary predicates. He suggests that "verb serialization might actually be a form of secondary predication similar to what is found in English" (1991:205) and that the difference between serialising and non-serialising languages is a question of what can function as a secondary predicate: "Serial languages show non-nominal secondaries, whereas nonserial languages show non-verbal secondaries" (1991:206).

We have seen evidence from Gurr-goni that an adjective can fill the open slot in a serial predicate construction. Larson does not treat adjectives as nominal; since "in serializing languages, notions typically expressed by AP or PP are quite pervasively grammaticalised with intransitive verbs, ... these minor categories are largely assimilated to the
category of VP" and "are understood as essentially a subcase of VP" (1991:206). This position is not tenable in Gurr-goni: adjectives do function as predicates, and do index their subjects, as verbs do, but they do not inflect for tense, and are thus not verbs.

It seems, then, that any distinction between serialising and nonserialising languages cannot be reduced to quite such a simplistic parameter. Further research on these Gurr-goni constructions may contribute much to discussions on this question.

### 5.5. Co-ordination of Clauses and Phrases .

As is evident from the texts included in the appendix, and from many of the examples given above, clauses are often linked by parataxis alone, without the use of connective particles; the same is true of NPs. There are, however, a number of connective particles, which will be discussed and illustrated here.

### 5.5.1. Arrapu 'and'.

Arrapu is used to co-ordinate both nouns within an NP (and presumably also NPs), and clauses. The first use is illustrated in the following examples:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { 5-207 } & \text { Njiwu-gogadarra-n } & \text { mitja } & \text { mun-ngaytjburru-ø } \\
\text { IAugA.3MinO-show-Fut } & \text { plant food } & \text { 3III-IAug-unm } \\
\text { an-daka } & \text { arrapu } \\
\text { munt-gadjila arrapu } & \text { djitjitj }
\end{array}
$$

| 5-208 | Ngayi-pu | arrapu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Min-Card and | mburrklerrtji <br> children |  |
| njirrubu-gaki-dji | mokol. |  |
| 3nsA.lnsO-chase-Pre/Con night |  |  |

Arrapu can be used to link clauses describing sequential actions:

## 5-209 Guwu-na-n wipa, njiwurr-yu-ngu arrapu <br> 3AugA.3IVO-see-Fut country lAugS-sleep, stay-Fut and

gu-warraka njiwurr-bo-go.
Loc3IV-other lAugS-go-Fut
They'll see the place / the country, we'll sleep and we'll go to another place.

More often, however, it links clauses describing several parts of one event, or which describe referents which are thought of as belonging together. Thus 5-210 describes my actions, and those of my informants, when I first began learning Gurr-goni; these actions did not necessarily occur in the order in which they are described, but were parts of the whole process:

arrapu njiwurr-bogi-ya njiwurr-workiyi-ø
and 1AugS-go-Con lAugS-do always-Con gun-ngidjiyé-pu wipa
3IV-3MinNf-Card place
We translated for her, little by little she spoke, we came back here, and she comes up, she sees us for a while, we translate for her, she puts it down in a book, and she speaks to us .. and we go to her place all the time.

5-211 similarly describes the actions of the father and son when the buffalo they were hunting turned on them:

```
5-210 djina-gaki-dji, ngayi-pu ngu-warrtji-nji
    3MinA.1UAnfO-chase-Pre/Con 1Min-Card IMinS-climb-Pre
    arrapu niyé-pu mut-burdja m-bawu-ni
    and 3MinNf-Card 3III-gun 3MinA.3IIIO-leave-Pre
    a-dji-\varnothing a-warrtji-nji
    3IS-stand-Pre 3IS-climb-Pre (IV/10/7)
    It chased us, I climbed up and he left the gun (and) climbed up.
```

5-212 describes the two Gurr-goni languages, or dialects: one is Yirrtjinga moiety, and the other is Djowunga:

```
5-212 gu-goni nguwurr-weki-ya nguwurr-ne-rre
    3IV-this 1+2AugS-speak-Con 1+2AugS-be, sit-Con
    ngaytjburru-pu gin-yirrtjinga arrapu djowunga
    I+2Aug-Card 3IV-yirrtjinga moiety and djowunga moiety
    gurr-goni gun-arraka.
    language name 3IV-other, different
        (I/115/16-22)
    This language we're speaking is Yirrtjinga and the Djowunga
    language is different / another one.
```


### 5.5.2. Wurru 'but'.

Wurru links two clauses describing contrasting actions or attributes, whether of the same or different referents:


We put all the dogs, we put them at the camp, but we go hunting for turtle.
5-214 Awurr-gornagi-yi-ø awurr-dje-rre wurru 3AugS-bath-Intr-Con 3AugS-stand-Con butmaka ngalngi dji-djeni-nga.FaMo turtle 3MinA.3IIO-look for-Con
They were swimming, but grandma looked for turtles.

| 5-215 Galu | dji-wetji | dji-djarti, burriyin | dji-boy-ø |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NEG | 3IIS-speak+Irr2 | 3IIS-go quickly fast | 3IIS-go-Irr2 | wurru djapu dji-weki-ya dji-bamabi-rdi but like 3IIS-speak-Con 3IIS-forget-Con

She doesn't speak quickly, she doesn't go quickly, but like she speaks (and) she forgets.

## 5-216 Djapu gomorlo wurru an-arpurr. like egret but 3I-little

It's like an egret but it's little.
A particle wurpu is sometimes interchangeable with wurru. Both have occurred in sentences where an initial clause describes something that did not happen, but was going to, or might have; the following clause, linked to the first by wurru or wurpu, describes what happened to prevent it, as in:

## 5-217 Ngayi-pu mundjarra <br> 1Min-Card unrealised intention <br> ngu-bogi-ya+rni mokol <br> 1MinS-go-Irrl night

wurru/wurpu gut-djardi gu-gornagorrmi-yi-ni,
but/?just 3IV-rain 3IVS-block-Intr-Pre
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { wana } & \text { gu-buki-ni. } \\ \text { big } & \text { 3IVS-fall-Pre }\end{array}$
I was going to come last night, but the rain intervened, it was
falling heavily.

In other contexts, wurpu translates as 'just'21, so its function here may be to say 'the only thing was (the rain started)'.

[^86]
### 5.5.3. O 'or'.

O links clauses and phrases describing alternative actions, participants or descriptions. While it looks suspiciously like the English word 'or', and thus may be a loan word from English, it is used by speakers of all ages, and is well integrated into the syntax of the language. This suggests that it is either a native form, or, perhaps, has replaced one (as 'but', for example, is sometimes used in place of wurru - but not by all speakers, all of the time).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 5-218 } & \text { Gu-gu-warlpu } \quad \text { ng-garlmi-rdi } & \text { ngayi-pu } \\
\text { Loc3IV-Nom-hunt } & \text { lMinS-set off-Fut } & \text { lMin-Card } \\
\text { o bapa } & \text { a-bo-go. } & \\
\text { or father } & \text { 3IS-go-Fut } & \\
\text { I'll set off hunting, or my father will go. } \tag{I/103/17}
\end{array}
$$

## 5-219 Nji-ngutji-n ngaytjburru-ø getjigra, 2MinA.3MinO-name-Fut lAug-Poss anything

ngalngi gobu $\underline{0}$ a-nji mardangaytjiga.
turtle goose or 3I-which snake
(I/113/17)
You'll name anything for us, turtle, geese or any snakes.

## 5-220 Nji-djirritji-nji ngarrkulu ya? <br> 2MinA.3MinO-kindle-Pre $1+2$ Min + Dat $Q u$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\underline{\mathbf{o}} & \text { galu } & \text { gu-mukdji-nji } \\
\text { or } & \text { Neg } & 3 I V S-\text { die-Pre } \tag{V/120/21}
\end{array}
$$

Have you kindled it for us? or no, it's gone out (lit. died).

### 5.5.4. Wulek 'finish', 'enough'.

Used by itself, wulek means something like 'that's all', 'finish(ed)', 'the end', 'enough'; it is often used to end narratives. Within narratives, it is often placed either at the end of one clause or the beginning of the next, to indicate that the action of the first clause is finished, and another activity (often consequent on the first) is begun. It is often translatable as 'then':

| 5-221 Bupurru | ngu-djinji-rri | ngu-bogi-ni |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| flour porridge | IMinA.3MinO-cook-Pre | IMinS-go-Pre |
| wulek ng-gorrma-nay. |  |  |
| finish | IMinA.3MinO-put-Pre | (IV/80/1) |

I went about cooking the flour porridge, then I put it down.

(Wulek is also used within NPs, either medially or finally. Here, it appears to give some emphasis to the identity of the referent:

## 5-223 Maka dji-goni wulek djin-arpurr, wurru djin-mornóngi. FaMo 3II-this finish 3II-little but 3II-big

This grandma is the little one, but (I'm talking about) the big one.

## 5-224 Borr-pu wulek djungdjung abu-numi-rri awurr-murugu. <br> 3Aug-Card finish dog <br> Those dogs smelt their fur. <br> (V/154/12) )

### 5.5.5. Weleng 'then'.

Weleng occurs clause initially, and indicates that the action described by the clause it belongs to is subsequent to the action of the previous clause.
5-225 Djop awu-bu-ni an-maliyirri
soap 3AugA.3IO-hit-Pre 3I-skin
weleng djidji-murrka awu-da-ni.
then Loc3II-sun 3AugA.3IO-spear-Pre
They cleaned (lit. hit) the (crocodile) skins with soap, then they
dried (lit. speared) them in the sun.
(V/93/17)
5-226 A-berriyi-n
3IS-be expelled-Fut 3MinF-Dat
weleng dji-marrman-miyi-n.
then $\quad$ 3IIS-good-Inch-Fut
It (a boil, Cl.I) will be expelled from her, then she'll get better.
5.6. Subordination.
Verbs in subordinate clauses take the same pronominal prefixes and
tense/status suffixes as those in main clauses. The subordinate clause may
be introduced by an indeterminate/interrogative word; linked to the main
clause by a subordinating conjunction; embedded within a nominal
argument of the higher clause; or, perhaps, subordinated by intonation
alone, although the analysis is less certain here. These four indicators of
subordination will now be discussed.

### 5.6.1. Interrogatives/Indeterminates in Subordinate Clauses.

In addition to their functions in main clauses (described above in §3.3 above), interrogative/indeterminate forms also introduce subordinate clauses, as the following examples show. In the examples noted, the subordinate clause functions as the object (as in 5-227), extra object (as in 5-228) and adverbial modifier of the main clause (as in 5-229 and 5-230). Use of the interrogative/indefinite may indicate that a specific entity or location is unknown (as in 5-227 and 5-228), or signal an open choice of place, time or activity (as in 5-229 and 5-230).

## 5-227 Galu njiwu-barrwa-ø

NEG 1AugA.3MinO-know-Irr2
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { yandu arrey } & \text { ngutjuyu } & \text { arrapu } \\ \text { where place of origin } & 3 M i n F+\text { Poss }_{C} & \text { and }\end{array}$
yandu gun-ngidjiyé-pu wipa dji-na-bogi-ya
where 3IV-3MinF-Card home 3IIS-twds-go-Con
arrapu dji-nji djin-alitji
and 3II-what 3II-name
We didn't know where her place of origin was and where her home was (that) she came from, and what her name was. (I/87/4)

| 5-228 | Galu | ng-galiyi- | ngu-ni- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| NEG | IMinS-hear-Irr2 | IMinS-be,sit-Irr2 | ngitju-lorndo |
| 3MinF-Dat |  |  |  |
| yandu | djinj-yita-ga | dji-ne-rre | ngapala. |
| what | 3IIS-do,say this-Con | 3IIS-be,sit-Con | 1Min+Dat |
| I can't hear what she's saying to me. | (I/65/13) |  |  |


| 5-229 Wurru gu-goni | yandu | nji-bo-go | nji-weku-n |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| but | 3IV-here | where | 2MinS-go-Fut | 2MinS-speak-Fut |

But now wherever you go, you'll speak to us, or whatever you do you'll let us know about language.
(I/117/16,22)

## 5-230 Niyé-pu a-garrapu (an-marlpa) a-ne-rre-:, 3MinNf-Card 3I-Anaph 3I-shooting star 3IS-sit-Con-X weleng yandu a-mukdji-ga, a-numi-nga then where ever 3IS-be sick-Con 3MinA.3IO-smell-Con

 ant-gurdól, a-na-bo-giya-:3I-rotten 3IS-twds-go-Con-X
(VIII/45/13)
That shooting star sits on and on, then wherever someone is sick, he smells the rotten smell and he comes.

The clauses introduced by the interrogative/indeterminate forms have no morphosyntactic indication of subordination; they could be used as fully grammatical independent clauses. This does not appear to be their
function here, however, and an interrogative or indefinite reading is not always appropriate in these examples. In 5-229, for instance, interpreting yandu as an interrogative would lead to a translation: 'but now where will you go, you will talk to us, or what will you do, you'll let us know about language'. The first half of this is nonsensical, and while the second half is semantically acceptable, it is not what the speaker intended. An indefinite interpretation is not much better: 'but now you'll go somewhere, you'll speak to us, or you'll do something, you'll let us know about language'. The informant's translation (using 'whenever', 'whatever') clearly indicated that, in each pair of clauses, the yandu clause was semantically subordinated to the other, and indicated that the action described by the second clause would take place in all possible circumstances: now that I was learning Gurr-goni and was part of their clan, I would speak to them wherever I went and whatever I did.

### 5.6.2. Subordinating Conjunctions.

### 5.6.2.1. Ngardawa and warri 'because'.

The word ngardawa is shared with Burarra, and is probably the original Gurr-goni word for 'because'; warri is shared with Kuninjku, and is probably a loan word from that language. There appears to be no difference in meaning: both introduce clauses giving the reason why an event or action occurs. In all examples noted, the subordinate clause follows the main clause.

```
5-231 Njiwu-gegimi-rri njiwurr-ni-ø
1AugA.3MinO-dislike-Pre 1AugS-be,sit-Pre
```


## ngardawa djit-gegu dji-na-ma-nay.

``` because 3II-new 3IIS-twds-go along-Pre (III/3/4)
"We didn't like her because she was too new." (lit. she came new.)
```



### 5.6.2.2. Njan 'if'.

Njan introduces a clause which identifies an event upon which another event, described by the main clause, is conditional.

## 5-233 Njan ng-ngalganmi- $\varnothing+$ rni gulámunnga <br> if IMinA.3MinO-find-Irrl raw <br> ngu-djeki-nga+rni wipa. <br> 1MinS-return-Irr1 home, camp

If I had found it, I would have returned home (with it) raw.

| 5-234 | Njan | gu-rrorrtidi-n | djapu |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| If | gu-goni, |  |  |
| 3IVS-clear up-Fut | like | 3IV-this |  |

If it's clear like this, come (/ you'll come); if not, don't come.

Njan is not necessary in conditional clauses, which may be linked paratactically; see $\S 5.6 .4$ below.

### 5.6.2.3. Yandu ${ }_{2}$ 'so that, with the potential result that'.

Yandu $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ occurs in initial position in a clause following a main clause, which details an event which will make, or could have made, that of the yandu $\mathbf{~ c l a u s e ~ p o s s i b l e . ~ Y a n d u ~}_{2}$ can be consistently glossed 'so that, with the potential result that'. Often, the result is a desired one, and the action described by the main clause is done in order that the action of the second clause can take place. However, 5-237, where the scope of the negative extends to both clauses, shows that this is not always the case.

The realis precontemporary and contemporary tenses cannot occur in yandu clauses; only the future tense, and irrealis precontemporary and non-precontemporary occur. Yandu $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ is homophonous with the interrogative/indeterminate 'an indeterminate place/activity', and it is possible that its meaning 'so that, with the potential result that' may have developed from the 'do what, do something' meaning of the latter.

## 5-235 Wipa njirrbu-gogadarra-n mburrklerrtji country InsA.2nsO-show-Fut children

 yandu awurr-djirrárlmi-rdi awurr-rrirrigi-rdi. so that 3AugS-grow up-Fut 3AugS-walk around-Fut We'll show the children the country, so that they'll grow up and walk around (it).5-236 Bubu-djirritja-ø yandu ngubu-gapi-rdi.

2AugA.3MinO-light fire-Irr2 so that $1+2$ AugA.3MinO-roast-Fut
Imp

You all light a fire, so that we can roast it.

## 5-237 Djalmirri galu njiwu-me-ka+rni <br> stick NEG 1AugA.3MinO-get-Irrl

yandu njibu-wilme-ka+rni.
so that 1AugA.3MinO-pull down with hooked stick-Irr2
We didn't get a stick so we couldn't pull it down. (5.1.91)

### 5.6.2.4. Waypu 'until'.

Waypu 'until' occurs clause initially in a subordinate clause which follows the main clause and indicates the temporal extent of the activity described by that main clause.

| 5-238 Wurru nganaparru | galu awu-na-dji+rni |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| but buffalo | NEG 3AugA.3MinO-see-IrrI |
| gu-garrapu a-yu-y | waypu worrga a-djoka-rday. |
| 3IV-Anaph 3IS-sleep-Pre until grass fire 3MinA.3IO-wake-Pre |  |
| But they didn't see a buffalo who was sleeping there until the fire |  |
| woke him up. |  |
| (III/50/7) |  |

### 5.6.3. Relative Clauses.

Unlike many Australian languages, Gurr-goni does appear to have relative clauses embedded within NPs. The following examples illustrate the NP functions which can be relativised. (Very literal translations are provided.)

A:
5-239 Gun-ngaytjburru-pu bapurr buwu-gorrmi-rdi 3IV-1Aug-Card clan IAugA.2MinO-put-Fut ngardawa niyé-pu at-djapulmu because 3MinNf-Card 3I-short biy-me-ka=nuyu at-bapurr an-dirrdjalaba 3MinA.2MinO-get-Con=3MinNf+Poss ${ }_{C}$ 3I-clan 3I-clan name
.. arrapu ngaytjburru-pu buwu-dima-nga and lAug-Card lAugA.2MinO-hold,keep-Con njiwurr-ne-rre .. njiwurr-bapurr Bobrerre bapurr. 1AugS-be-Con IAugS-clan clan name clan We'll put you in our clan because that short man he got you, his clan is An-dirrdjalaba, and we we are keeping you, our clan is the Bobrerre clan.

S:
5-240 Nguwurr-boy-ø ranba mu-yo-rri
1+2AugS-go-Irr2 beach 3IIIS-lie-Con
nguwu-me-n borr-lorndo yandu
$1+2 A u g A .3 M i n O-g e t-F u t$ 3Aug-Dat so that
arrbu-djinji-rdi djit-bamu borro.
1+2nsA.3nsO-heat-Fut 3II-knee $3 A u g+$ Poss $_{C}$
Let's go and get (the plant) it lies on the beach for them so we can warm their knees.

O:
5-241 Gengurdu, an-ngayi-pu nguwu-gupi-ni
FaFa 3I-1Min-Card 1+2AugA.3MinO-put up, build-Pre
a-wa-yi-ø a-wa-yi-ø a-dje-rre
3IS-throw-Intr-Con 3IS-throw-Intr-Con 3IS-stand-Con
ngapala.
1Min+Dat
Grandpa, my class I thing (tent) we put it up is shaking about on me.
(I/65/22)

Locations, sources, etc, that are part of the thematic structure of the verb:

| 5-242 | Galu | njuwu-barrwa-ø | yandu .. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NEG | gun-ngidjiyé-pu |  |  |
| wipa | dji-na-bo-giya |  |  |
| home,place | $3 I I S$-twds-go-Con | (I/87/7) |  |
| We didn't know where her home was she came (from). |  |  |  |

There are no examples of possessive NPs being relativised; investigation may show that this is possible, however.

As is obvious from these examples, and from the very literal translations, the relative clauses are not marked as subordinate in any way: the verbs are fully inflected, and take pronominal prefixes and tense/status suffixes identical to those in main clauses. They do not have a separate clausal intonation contour, however, but are included within the intonation contour covering the main clause of which they are a constituent. Semantically, their function is to provide additional information about a participant in the higher clause, and to further identify the referent of a nominal or pronominal constituent of the higher clause. 5-241, for example, does not contain two predications of equal status: 'we put my Cl .I thing up, (and) it's shaking about'. Rather, 'we put it up' serves to identify which Cl.I thing of mine is shaking.
Little definitive can be said about the possible configurations of NPs containing relative clauses, pending further research ${ }^{22}$. On the basis of the data shown above, however, we can say that the relative clause may occur after the head nominal in the NP, (whether this is a noun (as in 5-242), an adjective (as in 5-241 and the first relative clause in 5-239), or a pronoun (as in the second relative clause in 5-239)). The relative clause in 5-240, however, has no head noun. Without the relative clause, this example would translate literally 'we will go, we will get it for them', with all

[^87]arguments indexed on the verb and none represented by an independent nominal. The relative clause itself is literally 'it lies on the beach'.

### 5.6.4. Subordination by Parataxis and Intonation.

There are many examples of clauses which appear to be functioning as adverbial modifiers of another clause, but where there is no formal indication of the subordination of one to the other except, perhaps, in the intonation pattern covering both. In the following sentence, for example,

> 5-243 Ngarr-pu njinj-yu-y, (While) you slept, I ate it.

2Min-Card 2MinS-sleep-Pre 1Min-Card 1MinA.3MinO-eat-Pre
the first clause ends with rising intonation, which gradually falls over the second clause. As no systematic study of intonation patterns has yet been carried out in Gurr-goni, I cannot say with any certainty that this identifies the first clause as a modifier of, and hence subordinate to, the other clause; however, this is my impression. In the context in which it was uttered, too, the first clause seemed to be intended to give the temporal setting of the second, rather than to refer to two independent events: while we were sitting talking over tea and biscuits, a small child went to sleep. When she woke up and asked where the food was, her mother replied, 'While you were asleep, I ate it.'

Further examples of clauses functioning as adverbial modifiers of time are:

## 5-244 Njiwurr-warpurr njiwurr-ni-ø, Nangak njiwurr-yu-y. lAugS-little lAugS-be-Pre place name 1AugS-stay-Pre (When) we were little, we stayed at Nangak.

| 5-245 | Burrkarlbabygudjuwórrwu a-yu-ø,outside |  | arritj-bay-ø |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1+2UAfA.3MinO-eat-Irr2 |  |
|  | ngalngi, arrapu | arritj-gotjili | a-ni-ø | burrkarl, |
|  | turtle and | 1+2UAf-belly | 3IS-be-Irr2 | baby |
|  | arritj-bawu-ø | ngalngi. |  |  |
|  | 1+2UAfA.3MinO-le | Irr2 turtle. |  | 44/11-15) |
|  | (When) the baby is b | (lit. lies outsi | we can eat | rtle, and |
|  | (when) the baby is in | ur belly, we leav | turtle alone |  |

As mentioned above, the subordinating conjunction njan 'if' is not necessary in conditional clauses; often the clause identifying the condition simply precedes that describing the outcome:

## 5-246 Maka dji-na-djeki-nga+rni, nguwurr-bogi-ya+rni. FaMo 3IIS-return-Irrl $\quad 1+2$ AugS-go-Irrl

 (If) grandma had come back, we could all have gone.
## 5-247 Wurru mutika njiwu-ngalganmi-rdi njiwu-ga-tjin. but truck lAugA.3MinO-find-Fut lAugA.3MinO-take-Fut "But if we find a truck, we'll take her."

The translation in this last example is as given by the narrator of that story. On the face of it, the first clause could also mean 'but we'll find a truck'; whether the conditional meaning derives from context (trucks being extremely hard to find), or is signalled by a distinct pattern of intonation, is not clear.

### 5.7. Negation.

### 5.7.1. Galu 'NEGative; no'.

One invariant particle, galu, negates all clause types, both verbal and non-verbal. In verbal clauses, galu is placed before the verb, which takes irrealis status tense suffixes. There are thus fewer tenses distinguished in negative clauses than in positive clauses (negative precontemporary and non-precontemporary vs positive precontemporary, contemporary and future; see $\S 4.5$ ). Additionally, there is no distinction between the declarative and imperative moods in the negative. The negative non-precontemporary tense thus corresponds to four tense/status/mood distinctions in positive clauses:

| Precontemporary | Non-Precontemporary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contemporary | Future |
| Positive, Realis |  |  |
| nji-weki-ni <br> 2MinS-talk-Pre <br> you talked (earlier today <br> /before yesterday) | $\begin{gathered} \text { nji-weki-ya } \\ \text { 2MinS-talk-Con } \\ \text { you talked (yesterday) / } \\ \text { you talk/are talking (now) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { nji-weku-n } \\ \text { 2MinS-talk-Fut } \\ \text { you will talk } \end{gathered}$ |
| Positive, Irrealis |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { nji-weki-ya+rni } \\ \text { 2MinS-talk-Irrl } \end{gathered}$ <br> you might have talked | $\begin{gathered} \text { nji-wetji-ø } \\ \text { 2MinS-talk-Irr2 } \\ \text { you might have talked (yesterday) } \\ \text { you might/can talk (now/in the future) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Positive, Imperative ø-wetji-ø <br> 2MinSImp-talk-Irr2 <br> Talk! |  |  |
| Negative, Irrealis |  |  |
| galu nji-weki-ya+rni <br> NEG 2MinS-talk-IrrI <br> you didn't talk |  | i-ø <br> Irr 2 <br> erday) <br> won't/can't talk |

Galu precedes the verb, and is usually immediately adjacent to it, with nominal arguments being placed before or after the galu $\mathbf{V}_{\text {Irrealis }}$ complex:

## 5-248 Wurru nganaparru galu awu-na-dji+rni but buffalo NEG 3AugA.3IO-see-Irrl <br> But they didn't see a buffalo. <br> 5-249 Galu njiwu-bay-ø <br> NEG 2AugA.3MinO-eat-Irr2 <br> 3I-Nom-forehead harden $=$ brolga

Don't eat brolga.
(VII/39/5)

Adverbs are also negated by galu; negation is effected by placing the adverb after galu, usually immediately before the verb:

## 5-250 galu djaluwu ngu-na-djeka-ø <br> NEG again IMinS-twds-return-Irr2 <br> I can't / won't come back again.

If the adverb is placed before the negative particle, it is outside the scope of negation; compare 5-251 and 5-252:

## 5-251 djaluwu galu gun-muka dji-wetji again, still NEG 3IV-many, much 3IIS-talk+Irr2

Still she doesn't / can't talk much (lit. say many Cl. IV things, ie words).

## 5-252 galu djaluwu (gun-muka) dji-wetji <br> NEG again 3IV-many, much 3IIS-talk+Irr2

She can't / won't talk (much) again.

As these examples show, the verb is also formally negated when it follows galu (ie, it must be in irrealis status), even though, as Givón (1984:329-320) has pointed out, the only part of the proposition that is being denied is the optional adverbial. In the next example, for instance, 'we didn't go properly, we didn't go quickly' does not imply 'we didn't go'; only the manner of going is denied.
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { 5-253 Galu } & \text { arrarrka } & \text { njiwurr-bogi-ya+rni, a-njatbu, } \\ & \text { NEG properly } & \text { lAugS-go-Irrl } & \text { 3I-whatsit }\end{array}$
burriyin njiwurr-bogi-ya+rni, galu, njiwurr-dji-ø
fast lAugS-go-Irrl NEG 1AugS-stand-Pre
njiwurr-bogi-ni. Maka dji-goni dji-mukdji-nji.
lAugS-go-Pre FaMo 3II-here,this 3IIS-be sick-Pre
Wulek, njiwurr-bogi-ni...
finish lAugS-go-Pre
We didn't go properly, um, we didn't go fast, no, we went stopping and starting. Grandma here was sick. Anyway, we went ...

5-251 above also shows the negation of a quantifier using galu; as with adverbs, the quantifying word is placed after the negative particle, but may precede the verb, as in 5-251, or follow it, as in 5-254:

## 5-254 Galu a-rruwdjiyi-ø+rni gun-muka, awuni-mukupu NEG 3IS-cry-Irr1 3IV-many,much 3UAnf-two ngirrépu a-rruwdjiyi-ni. <br> one 3IS-cry-Pre <br> He didn't cry much (lit. many Cl.IVs, ie times), three (times) he cried. <br> (IV/38/21)

There are no inherently negative pro-forms. To form the equivalent of 'no-one' 'nothing', etc, galu is placed before the relevant indeterminate. As with negation of adverbs and quantifiers, the verb is also negated:

## 5-255a Ninjokoni a-ni-ø? <br> who 3IS-sit-Pre <br> Who was there?

| 5-255b | galu ninjokoni | a-ne-rre+rni |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $N E G$ who | $3 I S$-sit-IrrI |
|  | No one was there. |  |


| $5-256 \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathbf{a - n j i}$ | awu-me-nji? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3I-what,which | 3AugA.3IO-get-Pre |

What did they get?

| 5-256b | Galu a-nji | awu-me-ka+rni |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | NEG | 3I-what, which $3 A u g A .3 I O$-get-IrrI |
|  | They didn't get anything / any Cl.I thing. |  |

5-257a Yandu nji-bogi-ni?
where 2MinS-go-Pre
Where did you go?

5-257b Galu yandu ngu-bogi-ya+rni
NEG where 1 MinS-go-Irrl
I didn't go anywhere.

In verbal clauses, then, the scope of the negative particle covers the elements that follow it, and at least some of the constituents that precede it are not within its scope, being asserted rather than denied. All verbs
following galu are formally negated, in that they take irrealis mood tense suffixes, although pragmatically speaking, what is denied may be limited to optional constituents such as adverbials and quantifiers. And, as can be seen in example 5-253 above, the scope of negation appears to extend beyond a single clause. Subsequent clauses which have a common argument (or at least, a shared S/A argument), and are in irrealis mood, are intrepreted as having a negative, rather than a potential meaning. As discussed in $\S \S 4.5 .1$ and 4.5.2, an irrealis verb alone has a potential meaning, and it is clear from the following examples that this is not what is intended. The meaning of $5-258$ is not 'she didn't know anything and she might have been watching/awake again', but 'she didn't know anything and wasn't awake/watching again' (the person referred to was in hospital and unconscious). 5-259, said of a dog who had been hit by a truck and had her spine broken, means 'she hasn't eaten anything, she can't shit', not '... she might shit':

| 5-258 Wulek dji-bamabi-ni, | galu | gi-nji |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| finish | 3IIS-forget-Pre | NEG | 3IV-what |
| gu-barrwi-ngi+rni | arrapu | djaluwu |  |
| 3MinA.3IVO-know-Irrl | and | again |  |
| dji-gani-dji+rni gun-yalang. |  |  |  |
| 3IIS-watch-Irrl | 3IV-nothing |  |  |

Then she forgot, she didn't know anything and she wasn't awake, nothing.
(IX/128/20)

## 5-259 Mitja galu m-ba-nga+rni dji-bin.ngarriyi-ø plant food NEG 3MinA.3IIIO-eat-Irr1 3IIS-shit-Irr2

 She hasn't eaten any food, she can't shit.(XI/121)
The use of the coordinating conjunction arrapu 'and' in 5-258 and the different tenses on the two verbs in 5-259 show that we are dealing with two co-ordinated clauses here; the negative force of galu clearly carries across this conjunction of clauses. Whether it would do so if the second clause involved a different subject is not certain. 5-260 shows that galu negates both verbs in a resultative serial construction, where the $S$ of the first verb and the $O$ of the second verb are identical. As we have already discussed ( $\$ 5.3 .4 .2 .3$ above), these verbs may be in a relationship of co-subordination, and thus it still remains to be seen whether the scope
of negation extends over co-ordinated clauses with different arguments (or, indeed, what other constraints may apply).

## 5-260 galu arrapu njirrbu-galtji-ganmi-ø+rni <br> NEG and InsA.3nsO-enter-Trans-Irrl <br> school awurr-bogi-ya+rni <br> " 3AugS-go-Irrl

We couldn't send them to school (lit., we couldn't put them in school they wouldn't go).
(III/17/1)

In non-verbal clauses, galu sometimes precedes and sometimes follows the predicate. The examples below illustrate its use.

## 5-261 Djuka galu lay, nguwurr-djeka-ø, sugar $\quad N E G$ hey $1+2$ AugS-return-Irr 2

baki galu ngaytjbu-lorndo.
tobacco NEG $1+2$ Aug-Dat
Hey, there's no sugar, let's go back, there's no tobacco for us/with us (ie, we haven't got any tobacco).

## 5-262 Galu at-bapa. At-bapa gun-yalang. NEG 3I-Fa(Zi) 3I-Fa(Zi) 3IV-nothing

There's no father (or, you don't have a father anymore). Your father is dead.
(XI/25/17)

## 5-263 Gu-garrapu ay-bu-n 3IV-Anaph $\quad$ I+2MinA.3MinO-hit-Fut close <br> warri galu balay wipa <br> because NEG far camp <br> Let's kill her there close by, because it's not far to the camp (or the camp is not far). <br> (VI/7/21)

## 5-264 A-djini-pu galu ant-gu-galiyi, 3I-AnEmph-pu NEG 3I-Nom-understand a-djini-pu djitjitja, a-djini-pu djitjitja <br> 3I-AnEmph-pu fish 3I-AnEmph-pu fish <br> nji-weki-ya ni-lorndo nji-ne-rre <br> 2MinS-speak-Con 3MinNf-Dat 2MinS-sit-Con

That's not a man, that's a fish, that's a fish you're talking to.

| 5-265 Weleng a-njatbu, dina | galu, wurru a-njatbu |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | 3I-whatsit dinner(time) $N E G$ | but | 3I-whatsit |
| awurr-ni-ø, knock off awurr-ni-ø |  |  |  |
| 3AugS-be-Pre " " | 3AugS-be-Pre | (IV/93-94) |  |

Then um, not dinner time, but they were um, they knocked off.
There are several examples in the texts (two of which are given below, where a verb in realis status precedes the negative particle galu. The effect of these constructions is to deny the proposition expressed by the clause, as if the speaker were saying 'I don't mean to say "X"'. Here the verb is not formally negated.

$$
\begin{array}{llc}
\text { 5-266 } & \text { Dji-garlma-nay } & \text { wulek mu-ba-rri } \\
\text { 3IIS-get up-Pre } & \text { finish 3MinA.3IIIO-eat-Pre } & \text { 3IIS-be,sit-Pre } \\
\text { mu-ba-rri } & \text { galu wurru dji-gani-ni dji-ni-ø. } \\
\text { 3MinA.3IIIO-eat-Pre } & \text { NEG but 3IIS-watch-Pre 3IIS-be,sit-Pre } \\
\text { She (the hospital patient from example 5-258 above) got up, then } \\
\text { she sat eating something, no, I don't mean eating, but she was } \\
\text { awake/alert. } \\
\text { (IX/127/1) }
\end{array}
$$

| 5-267 Djaluwu njiwurr-ni- $\boldsymbol{l}$-:, | an-marrman |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| again IAugS-be,sit-Pre-X | 3I-good |

Again we sat (there), we couldn't see him well still, but we stayed.

### 5.7.2. Mundjarra 'unrealised intention or expectation, mistaken impression'. <br> Mundjarra is a modal particle which may co-occur with a verb in realis or irrealis status; the meaning is slightly different in each case. <br> When the verb is in realis status, or the predicate is non-verbal, mundjarra indicates that the speaker had a mistaken impression of something, or had wrongly identified it, as in the following examples.

| 5-268 | Ngayi-pu | ng-gana-ni, | mundjarra |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMin-Card | IMinS-look-Pre | mistaken impression | matji |
| ngu-na-ni | gu-gabi | a-yu-y. |  |
| IMinA.3MinO-see-Pre | 3IV-there | 3IS-lie-Pre |  |
| I looked, I thought it was a ghost lying there. | (IV/48/10) |  |  |

## 5-269 Njiwurr-yiti-nji "Erriplen a-djini-pu 1AugS-say this-Pre aeroplane 3I-AnEmph-pu a-na-ma-ma", mundjarra erriplen a-garrapu 3IS-twds-go along-Con mistaken impression aeroplane 3I-Anaph wurru a-garrapu an-marlpa a-na-ma-nay. but 3I-Anaph 3I-shooting star 3IS-twds-go along-Pre We said, "That's a plane coming", we thought that was a plane, but it was that shooting star coming along. <br> (LN 2/2/89)

When the verb following mundjarra is in irrealis status, the meaning conveyed is that some event was intended to happen, but did not. When the subject of the verb is animate, mundjarra is often translated by informants as 'tried to'; in other words, someone (or some creature) has attempted to do something and failed. The subject may also be inanimate, however, as in 5-272. Here, the sense appears to be that other people intended that something should happen - we could perhaps translate this as 'it was supposed to V '.

| 5-270 | Mundjarra | ngu-rra-dji+rni | wurru |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| unrealised intention | IMinA.3MinO-shoot-Irrl | but |  |
| warrpura | gu-numi-rri | ngapala. |  |
| underarm sweat | 3MinA.3IVO-smell-Pre | 1Min+Dat |  |

(III/36/20)
I tried to/was going to shoot it, but it smelt my sweat (and ran off).

| 5-271Mundjarra njina-boy-ø $\quad$ ngayi-pu arrapu Daryl |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| unrealised intention | IUAnfS-go-Irr2 | IMin-Card and |

## 5-272 Mundjarra <br> unrealised intention <br> gabi police station mu-yo-rri+rni

It was supposed to lie at the police station (said of a dead body which people had expected would be flown to Maningrida, but which was flown to an outstation instead).

A particle expressing both these meanings (unrealised intention and mistaken impression) is also found in the neighbouring languages Burarra (Glasgow 1984, 1985), Nakkara (Eather 1990) and Ndjébbana (McKay forthcoming), and in a different area of Australia, the Queensland language Pitta Pitta has a word which encompasses a wide range of meanings involving similarity and deception, as well as unfulfilled expectation and mistaken impression (Breen 1984).

### 5.7.3. Wulek VImp 'stop Ving!'

It was noted above that verbs in the imperative mood cannot follow the negative particle galu. They can however follow the particle wulek 'finish', other uses of which were discussed above in §5.5.4. The wulek $V_{\text {Imp }}$ construction is a negative command used to tell someone to stop doing an activity in which they are already engaged:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 5-273 wulek bubu-walkwu-ø } & \text { Nicole. } \\
\text { finish 3AugA.3MinOImp-frighten-Irr2 } & \text { name } \\
\text { Stop frightening Nicole! } & \text { (VIII/65/1a) }
\end{array}
$$

## 5-274 Wulek ø-djigardiwu-ø ø-boy-ø <br> finish 2MinSImp-stretch body-Irr2 2MinSImp-go-Irr2

Stop stretching out!

### 5.7.4. Mangarraka 'must not'.

Mangarraka is a modal particle which is often translated 'don't' when it is used in addressing someone. In fact, it can be used in reference to the actions of all persons, including first and third persons, and expresses the speaker's belief that something should not be done, because bad things will happen in consequence. In 5-275, sitting next to one's brother would break a cultural taboo on brother-sister interaction; if the children being addressed in 5-276 ran, the ghost might get them; in 5277 , the speaker presumably thought that I would not like the child to eat with her fingers.

## 5-275 Mangarraka ngu-djarti bobulu njina-garri-yi-ø must not $\quad$ IMinS-hurry back lUAnfS-put together-Intr-Irr2 njina-ni-ø an-ngayi-pu darrigiy njini-dji-ø. lUAnfS-sit-Irr2 3I-1Min-Card sibling lUAnfS-stand-Pre "I mustn't sit next to my brother." (Lit. I mustn't go quickly (and) we two (who) stood brother and sister sit putting our backs together.) <br> (IV/150/13)

| 5-276 | A-garrapu matji dang dang dang 3I-Anaph ghost (sound) | a-na-ma-nay. <br> 3IS-twds-go along-Pre |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | "Aa, mburrklerriji, mangarraka nj | njina-djartabiyi- $\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime \prime}$, <br> 2UAnfS-go quickly-Irr2 |
|  | ngu-yiti-nji. |  |
|  | IMinS-say like this-Pre |  |
|  | That ghost came along (going) 'dung, dung, run / you mustn't run", I said. | g, dung'. "Oh, kids, don't (IV/42/12) |


| 5-277 | Mangarraka | nji-bay-ø |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | gu-woku, $\quad$ must not $\quad$ 2MinA.3MinO-eat-Irr2 $\quad$ Loc3IV-hand spoon $\varnothing$-ma-ø.

" 2MinA.3MinOImp-get-Irr2
You mustn't/don't eat with your hands, get a spoon.

As these examples show, mangarraka requires a verb in irrealis status, nonprecontemporary tense. It cannot be used to refer to past actions which should not have happened.

### 5.7.5. Wurpu 2 'mustn't, shouldn't'.

$\mathbf{W u r p u}_{\mathbf{2}}$ appears to have a very similar function to mangarraka. It is also often translated by informants as 'don't', 'mustn't', as in :


### 5.8. Questions.

### 5.8.1. Information Questions.

Questions aking who?, what?, where?, etc, have been described and illustrated at $\S 3.3$ above. The interrogative word is usually placed first in the clause, but may follow other focussed constituents:

## 5-279 Djulu dji-goni yandu gu-wa-rri? <br> matches 3II-this where 3MinA.3IVO-throw-Pre <br> Where did this girl throw the matches?

### 5.8.2. Yes/No Questions.

Rising intonation on the phrase or clause signals that the speaker is asking for a yes or no answer to their question:

5-280


| A-nji | ya? | Mitja $\quad$ ngiy-wu-n? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3I-what | $Q U$ | vegetable food IMinA.3MinO-give-Fut |

Ay, borritj ngiy-wu-n nji-ba-rdi?
oh porridge 1 MinA .3 MinO -give-Fut 2MinA.3MinO-eat-Fut
Ay, a-nji, di ngiy-wu-n nji-ba-rdi? oh 3I-what tea 1 MinA.3MinO-give-Fut 2MinA.3MinO-eat-Fut (IV/24/1)
What? Shall I give you vegetable food? Oh, shall I give you porridge to eat? Oh, what, shall I give you tea to drink?

5-281
Nji-galiyi-rri?
2MinS-listen-Con
Are you listening?

### 5.8.3. Tag questions.

5-280 above also shows an example of the tag question particle ya, which may follow a phrase or clause:

5-282 Mutika a-beki-ni ngaytjburru-ø, Alfie ya? galu Alfie. car 3IS-come out-Pre 1 Aug-Poss ${ }_{C}$ name $Q U$ NEG name
A car came out to us, Alfie was it? not Alfie... (IV/56/25)

## 5-283 Ngutju-pu nguburr-yu-ngu ya? <br> here-imm $\quad 1+2 A u g S$-sleep,stay-Fut $Q U$ <br> Let's stay here, shall we?

As in Ndjébbana (McKay forthcoming:4.7.2), ya may also precede a question or statement; here its meaning appears to be 'what do you think?'

> 5-284 Ya, a-goni a-nji a-ba-rri $\quad$ ngapala burrkarl?
> QU 3I-this 3 3I-what 3MinA.3IO-bite-Pre
> $=$ IMappen to

What do you think, what happened to my baby? (VII/44/25)
5-285 Ya, a-goni an-marlpa ant-gi-djidjirru
QU 3I-this 3I-shooting star 3I-Der-daytime
a-y-djarti a-y-ma-nay!
3IS-away-hurry 3IS-away-go along-Pre
What do you think, this shooting star is a daytime one that went rushing along.
(VIII/59/25)
A second tag question particle, yuwa, asks for the addressee's agreement to a proposed course of action:

5-286 Niyi bipinerre gare mokol ngu-na-ma-rdi, yuwa? alright evening must be night 1 MinS-twds-go along-Fut OK (V/42/19)
Alright, this evening or more likely tonight I'll come, OK?

Appendix A - Texts.

Text 1. Hunting story (Joe Inyamul) (IV/1)

| Ditjburrk | ngu-me-nji, | ditjburrk ngu-me-nji, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| axe | IMinA.3MinO-get-Pre | axe | IMinA.3MinO-get-Pre |

I got an axe, I got an axe,
niyé-na baladji a-me-nji. Njina-bogi-ni,
3MinNf-Emph bag 3MinA.3IO-get-Pre 1+2UAnfS-go-Pre
He got a bag. We (the two of us) went,

Waypordo gun-mornóngi njina-bogi-ni.
place name $3 I V$-big $\quad I+2 U A n f S$-go-Pre
we went to the big billabong at Waypordo.

Njina-bogi-ni-: ant-goy njina-na-ni,
1+2UAnfS-go-Pre-X 3I-track I+2UAnfA.3MinO-see-Pre
We went on and on, we saw his (their) tracks,

| njina-na-ni $\quad$ a-dji-ø | djapanji. A-dji-ø, | djapanji. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I+2UAnfA.3MinO-see-Pre | 3IS-stand-Pre | so many | 3IS-stand-Pre |
| we saw so many standing (there). They stood (there), so many of them. |  |  |  |

A-dji-ø, gu-garrapu-kuwa mundjarra ngu-rra-dji+rni, 3IS-stand-Pre 3IV-Anaph-Abl unrealised intention IMinA.3MinO-shoot-Irrl They stood (there), from there/after that I intended to shoot them,
ngu-rra-dji+rni, wurru warrpura, a-yurrburridji-nji djapanji.
IMinA.3MinO-shoot-Irrl but underarm sweat $3 I S$-run-Pre so many
I would have shot them, but (they smelt) my sweat and ran off, so many.

| Gatji | djorro a-djartabiyi-ni a-bogi-ni | Njina-djarti-:, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| low | high | 3IS-hurry-Pre | 3IS-go-Pre | IUAnfS-hurry-X |

Big ones and little ones hurried off. We hurried on and on,

| "Ng-ngek-gorndi-yi-ni, | marrka $\varnothing$-boy-ø | wayi, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IMinS-breath-cut-Intr-Pre | try $\quad$ 2MinSImp-go-Irr2 |  |

"I'm out of breath, you try and go,

| wurru | ngu-bo-go | ngayi-na." |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| but $\quad$ IMinS-go-Fut | IMin-Emph |  |
| but I'll go by myself." |  |  |


| Baladji | nguna-wu-ni, | ditjburrk $\mathbf{n g u}-\mathbf{m e}-\mathbf{n j i}$, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bag | 3MinA.1MinO-give-Pre | axe | IMinA.3MinO-get-Pre |

He gave me the bag, I got the axe,

| ngayi-pu | ngu-dirrpi-ni-pu | ngu-bogi-ni, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1Min-Card | IMinA.3MinO-tuck in belt-Pre-pu | IMinS-go-Pre | I went with it tucked in my belt.



We both went, he was running quickly on,

| a-na-djeki-rri | ngayi-pu | nguna-na-ni, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IS-twds-return-Pre | IMin-Card | 3MinA.lMinO-see-Pre |
| he came back and saw me, |  |  |


| a-djeki-rri | a-na-djarti | nguna-na-ni | ngayi-pu. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IS-return-Pre | 3IS-twds-hurry | 3MinA.1MinO-see-Pre | IMin-Card | he came hurrying back and saw me.


| "Ø-Boy- $\boldsymbol{\square}!$ | Ngika | nguna-na- $\varnothing$ | ngayi-pu! |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2MinSImp-go+lrr2 | NEG | 2MinA.IMinO-see-Irr2 | IMin-Card |
| "Go! Don't look at me! |  |  |  |

Ø-Yurrburridja-ø! Ngika nguna-gaka-djin ngayi-pu,
2MinSImp-run-Irr2 NEG 2MinA.1MinO-follow-Fut IMin-Card Run! Don't follow me!

| Guyuguyu | o-boy-ø! | Nj-djarti | nji-na-n |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ahead | 2MinSImp-go-Irr2 | 2MinS-hurry | 2MinA.3MinO-see-Fut |
| Go ahead! You hurry and see it, |  |  |  |


| njin-da-n, | ng-galiyi-n | m-berriyi-n | gu-garrapu geno. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2MinA.3MinO-shoot-Fut | IMinS-hear-Fu <br> ng off, that's | 3IIIS-go off,o | 3IV-Anaph when |

## Wurru bolubunu ngi-yi-bo-go."

 but slowly IMinS-away-go-Fut
## A-djartabiyi-ni a-djartabiyi-ni

 But I'll go on slowly."
## a-djartabiyi-ni a-djartabiyi-ni a-djartabiyi-ni

3IS-rush, hurry-Pre 3IS-rush, hurry-Pre 3IS-rush, hurry-Pre
He hurried on and on and on
a-djartabiyi-ni a-djartabiyi-ni, djaluwu a-djeki-rri,
3IS-rush, hurry-Pre 3IS-rush, hurry-Pre again 3IS-return-Pre and on and on and on and on, he came back again,

| a-djeki-rri | a-djarti | nguna-na-ni. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IS-return-Pre | 3IS-hurry | 3MinA.1MinO-see-Pre-:, |
| 3IS-sit-Pre-X |  |  |

he hurried back and looked at me. He sat there,
"Marrka nguna-wu-ø ngayi-pu, nguna-wu-ø marrka.
try 2MinA.lMinOImp-give-Irr2 1Min-Card 2MinA.IMinOImp-give-Irr2 try
Try and give it to me, try and give it to me.

Marrka nguna-wu-ø ngayi-pu yandu ngu-bo-go wipa ya.
try 2MinA.IMinOImp-give-Irr2 1Min-Card so 1MinS-go-Fut home $Q u$ Try and give it to me, so I can go home, hey.

| Wurru | nji-dje-rre | ngapala | nji-bogi-ya." |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| but | 2MinS-stand-Con | IMin+Dat | 2MinS-go-Con |

But you're standing about one me."

| Ngayi-pu | ngu-me-nji. | Ngu-me-nji | mut-burdja |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IMin-Card | IMinA.3MinO-get-Pre | IMinA.3MinO-get-Pre | 3III-gun |
| I got it. I got the gun |  |  |  |

wurru baladji ngu-wu-ni. Baladji ngu-wu-ni.
but bag IMinA.3MinO-give-Pre bag IMinA.3MinO-give-Pre but I gave him the bag. I gave him the bag,

| Ditjburrk | ngu-dirrpi-ni-pu | ngu-djarti, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| axe | IMinA.3MinO-tuck in belt-Pre-pu | IMinS-hurry |

I quickly tucked the axe in my belt,

| ngu-dirrpi-ni | ngu-djarti, wurru | a-garrapu | nawu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IMinA.3MinO-tuck in belt-Pre | 1MinS-hurry but | 3I-Anaph ? |  | I quickly tucked it in, but that thing now,


| a-njatbu baladji a-me-nji | a-mukbi-ni | balay. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3I-whatsit bag 3MinA.3IO-get-Pre | 3MinA.3IO-complete-Pre | far | whatsit, the bag, he got it and kept it and was off.

Ngu-djarti ngu-ni-ø-: Waypordo gun-mornóngi, 1MinS-hurry IMinS-be-Pre-X place name 3IV-big I was hurrying on and on to the big (billabong, Cl.IV) Waypordo wurru djapanji mu-rro-ngu. Njina-djartabiyi-ni-: but so much 3IIIS-burn, be hot-Pre IUAnfS-hurry-Pre-X but it was so hot/the grass was burning so much. We hurried on and on,
woku-woku njina-do-ngu-:, njina-djeki-rri njina-djarti
foot-foot IUAnfS-burn-Pre-X IUAnfS-return-Pre 1UAnfS-hurry our feet were really burning, we hurried back
njina-djedjiyi-ni njina-dji-ø, njina-djeki-rri njina-djarti
1UAnfS-stop still-Pre IUAnfS-stand-Pre 1UAnfS-return-Pre 1UAnfS-hurry we stopped and stood still, we hurried back
njina-dji-ø, djaluwu njina-djeki-rri njina-djarti.
IUAnfS-stand-Pre again IUAnfS-return-Pre IUAnfS-hurry and stood, we hurried back again.
"Yi-gabi, yi-gabi bapa yi-gabi a-djartabiyi-ni nganaparru, away-there away-there dad away-there 3IS-hurry-Pre buffalo "Away there, dad, away over there the buffalo ran,
yi-gabi a-djartabiyi-ni nganaparru."
away-there 3IS-hurry-Pre buffalo
the buffalo ran off over there."

| "Marrka | ø-djarti | ø-na-ø | wayi, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| try | 2MinSImp-hurry | 2MinA.3MinOImp-see-Irr2 $?$ |  |
| You try and hurry and look, |  |  |  |

wurru woku-woku ngu-rro-ka ngu-ne-rre, wurru ø-yurrburridja-ø." but foot-foot 1MinS-burn-Con 1MinS-be-Con, but 2MinSlmp-run-Irr2 but my feet are burning, but you run."
"A-djarti gabi a-goni bapa."
3IS-hurry there 3I-this dad
This one rushed off over there, Dad."

Ma, marrka ngu-bo-go ngayi-pu balay." Ngu-yurrburridji-nji-:, OK try IMinS-go-Fut IMin-Card far IMinS-run-Pre-X "OK, I'll try and go a long way." I ran on and on,
gu-garrapu-kuwa djorro ng-gani-ni ngu-na-ni djapanji
3IV-Anaph-Abl up,high IMinS-look-Pre IMinA.3MinO-see-Pre so many then after that/from there I looked up and saw so many
mu-galabarrbarr a-dji-ø. Gu-garrapu-kuwa
Loc3III-long grass 3IS-stand-Pre 3IV-Anaph-Abl
standing in the long grass. Then after that

| mundjarra | ngu-rra-dji+rni | wurru | djarldjarl a-bu-ni, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| unrealised intention | IMinA.3MinO-shoot-Irrl but | game spirit 3 3MinA.3IO-hit-Pre |  |
| I tried to shoot it, but the game spirit hit it, |  |  |  |

djarldjarl a-bu-ni, Yapurdurrwa a-bu-ni,
game spirit 3MinA.3IO-hit-Pre Yapurdurrwa 3MinA.3IO-hit-Pre
the game spirit hit it, Yapurdurrwa hit it,
ngalmu a-djerre a-rrumi-rri.
leg 3I-Poss 3MinA.3IO-break-Pre
she broke its leg.

| Gu-garrapu-kuwa | ngu-weki-ni | ni-lorndo, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IV-Anaph-Abl | IMinS-speak-Pre | 3MinNf-Dat |

After that I said to him,

| "Marrk $\quad$-djarti | wayi." A-yurrburridji-nji | guyuguyu, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| try | 2MinSImp-hurry ? | 3IS-run-Pre | ahead |


| a-yurrburridji-nji | guyuguyu, wulek a-weki-ni | ngapala, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IS-run-Pre | ahead | finish $3 I S$-speak-Pre | IMin+Dat | he ran ahead, then he said to me,

"Bapa, a-goni a-nji a-ba-rri?"
dad 3I-this 3I-what 3MinA.3IO-eat,bite-Pre
Dad, what ate/bit this? ie, what happened to it?

| "A-djini-pu | djarldjarl | a-bu-ni, | djarldjarl | a-bu-ni. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3I-AnEmph-pu | game spirit | 3MinA.3IO-hit-Pre | game spirit | 3MinA.3IO-hit-Pre |
| It was the game spirit who hit it, the game spirit hit it, |  |  |  |  |

galu yandu arr-yinmi-ø. Wurru wulek an-ngarritj-bu
NEG do what $1+2$ MinS-do thus-Irr2 but finish 3I-1+2Min-Card we can't do anything about it. But anyway, it's ours,

| ay-ba-rdi. | Galu | ninjokoni | ngarrkulu | a-njatbu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1+2 M i n A .3 M i n O-e a t-F u t ~$ | $N E G$ | anyone | $I+2 M i n+$ Dat | $3 I$-what'sit | we'll eat it. There's no one with us, um,


| warrpura | a-bu-ø, | gun-yalang, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| underarm sweat | 3MinA.3IO-hit-Irr2 | 3IV-nothing |

who can hit it with sweat (ie wipe sweat on it), not at all,

| wurru | ay-ba-rdu-pu | waymak." | Wulek. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| but | $1+2$ MinA.3MinO-eat-Fut-pu | anyway | finish |

but we'll just eat it anyway." So that was that.

## Gu-garrapu-kuwa a-na-djeki-rri djaluwu. <br> 3IV-Anaph-Abl 3IS-twds-return-Pre again <br> After that he came back again.

Niyé-pu welenggen a-rra-ni, a-rra-ni.
3MinNf-Card independently 3MinA.3IO-shoot-Pre 3MinA.3IO-shoot-Pre
He shot it himself, he shot it.

```
Waymak djina-gaki-dji, djina-gaki-dji,
for no reason 3MinA.lUAnfO-chase-Pre/Con 3MinA.lUAnfO-chase-Pre/Con For no reason it chased us, it chased us
```

| djina-gaki-dji, | ngayi-pu | ngu-warrtji-nji, | ngu-warrtji-nji |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3MinA.IUAnfO-chase-Pre/Con | IMin-Card | IMinS-climb-Pre | IMinS-climb-Pre |
| and chased us, I climbed up, I climbed up, |  |  |  |


| arrapu niyé-pu | mut-burdja | m-bawu-ni | a-dji-ø |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | 3MinNf-Card | 3III-gun | 3MinA.3IIIO-leave-Pre | 3IS-stand-Pre |
| and he left the gun |  |  |  |  |

## a-warrtji-nji. Mut-gagurru.

3IS-climb-Pre 3III-bad.
and climbed up. It (the tree they climbed) was bad (ie rotten).

Ditjburrk a-warrtji-nji a-dirrpi-ni a-dji-ø djorro.
axe 3IS-climb-Pre 3MinA.3IO-tuck in belt-Pre 3IS-stand-Pre up
He climbed up and stood up above with the axe tucked in his belt.

| Njina-dji-ø, | gu-garrapu-kuwa | ngu-djeki-rri | ngu-djarti |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IUAnfS-stand-Pre | 3IV-Anaph-Abl | IMinS-return-Pre | IMinS-hurry |

We two stood (there) then I went quickly back,

| ngayi-pu | ngu-warrtji-nji | ngu-djarti. Ngu-warrtji-nji | ngu-djarti, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IMin-Card | IMinS-climb-Pre | IMinS-hurry 1 MinS-climb-Pre | IMinS-hurry |

I climbed up quickly, I climbed up quickly,

| mundjarra | ngu-me-ka+rni | mut-burdja, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| unrealised intention | IMinA.3MinO-get-Irrl | 3III-gun |

I intended to get the gun

| mut-burdja | ngu-me-ka+rni | ngu-rra-dji+rni. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3III-gun | IMinA.3MinO-get-IrrI | IMinA.3MinO-shoot-IrrI |
| I would have got the gun and shot it. |  |  |


| Gu-garrapu-kuwa, "Ø-Bupiyi-ø | ø-meme, |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3IV-Anaph-Abl | 2MinSImp-descend-Irr2 | 2MinSImp-go along+Irr2


| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$-bupiyi- $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$-meme, | nji-gorndi-n, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2MinSImp-descend-Irr2 | 2MinSImp-go along+Irr2 | 2MinA.3MinO-cut-Fut |
| go down and cut it, |  |  |

nji-gorndi-n weleng a-yurrburridji-n, a-yurrburridji-n,

2MinA.3MinO-cut-Fut then 3IS-run-Fut 3IS-run-Fut you'll cut it then he'll run, he'll run,

```
a-yurrburridji-n gabi yandu a-yinmi-rdi."
```

3IS-run-Fut there what do 3IS-do what-Fut
he'll run there, what will he do (?)'.

| Gu-garrapu-kuwa | a-bupiyi-ni | a-ma-nay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IV-Anaph-Abl | 3IS-go down-Pre | 3IS-go along-Pre |

After that he got down
a-gorndu-ngu bobulu a-djerre. Wulek a-na-garlma-nay
3MinA.3IO-cut-Pre back 3I-Poss finish 3IS-twds-get up-Pre and cut his (the buffalo's) back. Then he got up
yiguwápu nguna-gaki-dji a-na-djarti, gu-garrapu-kuwa
this way 3MinA.IMinO-chase-Pre 3IS-twds-hurry 3IV-Anaph-Abl
and came rushing after me this way, and after that

| a-bupiyi-ni | mu-me-nji | mut-burdja, mu-me-nji mut-burdja, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IS-go down-Pre | 3MinA.3IIO-get-Pre | 3III-gun | 3MinA.3IIIO-get-Pre |
| 3III-gun |  |  |  | he got down and got the gun, he got the gun,


| weleng | a-rra-ni, | a-rra-ni | wulek | ngu-yiti-nji, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | $3 M i n A .3 I O$-shoot-Pre | $3 M i n A .3 I O$-shoot-Pre finish | IMinS-say this-Pre |  |
| then he shot it, he shot it, then I said, |  |  |  |  |

"Galu njin-da-ø djaluwu wurru nji-bawu-n-pu a-yu-ngu." NEG 2MinA.3MinO-shoot-Irr2 again but 2MinA.3MinO-leave-Fut-pu 3IS-lie-Fut "Don't shoot it again, but just leave it lying there."

| Gonda a-djerre awuni-mukupu | mi-djini | nuyu | a-bogi-ni |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| leg | $3 I$-Poss | $3 U A n f$-two | $3 I I I-A n E m p h$ | $3 M i n N f+$ Poss $_{C}$ | $3 I S$-go-Pre | ?That's the way he went with two of his legs

guwarr a-ma-nay, wulek ngu-weki-ni ni-lorndo "Arr-boy-ø." long ago 3IS-go along-Pre finish 1MinS-speak-Pre 3MinNf-Dat $1+2 M i n S$-go-Irr2 he went along long ago. Then I said to him, "Let's go."

| "Bapa | yandu gu-goni?" |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dad | where $3 I V$-this |

"Dad, where is this?"

| "Bilarrgoy | gu-goni | wulek | gi-dje-rre | gu-ma-ma." |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| place name | $3 I V$-this | finish | $3 I V S$-stand-Con | $3 I V S$-go along-Con |

"This place extending along here is Bilarrgoy."
"Ma, arr-bo-go marrka." Balay njina-bogi-ni, gu-garrapu-kuwa, OK l+2MinS-go-Fut let,try far 1UAnfS-go-Pre 3IV-Anaph-Abl "OK, let's go." We went far,

| "Ganday | dji-djini-pu | o-na-ø | bapa, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| doe kangaroo | 3II-AnEmph-Card | 2MinA.3MinOImp-see-Irr2 dad |  |
| "Look at that doe kangaroo, Dad, |  |  |  |

bapa dji-goni dji-ne-rre dji-ma-ma"
dad 3II-this 3IIS-sit-Con 3IIS-go along-Con
Dad, she's sitting here."

| "Mut-burdja galu mibilu mi-djerre | wurru | arr-boy-ø-pu." |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3III-gun | $N E G$ | eye,seed | 3III-Poss | but |
| "The gun hasn't got any bullets, but (?) we'll go." |  |  |  |  |
| ThenS-go-Irr2-pu |  |  |  |  |



| Njina-garnagarri-ni | njina-ni-ø, | njina-ni-ø | njina-bogi-ni, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IUAnfS-sit down-Pre | IUAnfS-sit,be-Pre | IUAnfS-sit-Pre | IUAnfS-go-Pre |

We were sitting down, we went about sitting down,
wulek djinj-yipdji-nji. Di ngu-djinji-rri gi-dji-ø ngatiyu-ø, finish 3IIS-sun set-Pre tea 1MinA.3MinO-cook-Pre 3IVS-stand-Pre 1UAnf-Poss $C_{C}$ then the sun went down. I made tea, it stood (there) for us,

```
njina-ba-rri njina-bogi-ni
1UAnfA.3MinO-eat-Pre IUAnfS-go-Pre
we set about drinking it,
```

wulek a-weki-ni, niyé-pu a-weki-ni,
finish 3IS-say-Pre 3MinNf-Card 3IS-say-Pre
then he said, he said,

| "Nganaparru | bapa | njina-da-ni | ngatiyu-ø. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| buffalo | dad | IUAnfA.3MinO-shoot-Pre | IUAnf-unm/Poss $C$ |
| "Dad and I shot a buffalo (for ourselves). |  |  |  |

Nugóytjburru-pu, gika ngarr-pu nji-bo-go, nji-bo-go
2Aug-Card mother 2Min-Card 2MinS-go-Fut 2MinS-go-Fut
You all, Mum you go, you go,
mutika rowd nji-ni-ngu, nji-ni-ngu
car road 2MinS-sit-Fut 2MinS-sit-Fut
sit by the road, sit
arrapu gun-yalang mutika a-na-bo-go gabi a-na-n
and 3IV-nothing car 3IS-twds-go-Fut there 3MinA.3IO-see-Fut and maybe (?; lit. nothing) a car will come and see it there

| njan | burdak | nguwu-na-n | nguwurr-ni-ngu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| if | wait | $1+2 A u g A .3 M i n O$-see-Fut | $I+2 A u g S$-sit-Fut |

if wait we sit looking

```
njan a-beku-n ngaytjburru-ø."
if 3IS-arrive, come out-Fut 1+2Aug-Poss 
```

if he comes out to us."

Galu gul ninjokoni gare a-bogi-ni, Chris gare a-beki-ni, NEG school who must be 3IS-go-Pre name must be 3IS-arrive-Pre No, who was it went from the school, must be Chris who arrived,

| Chris | a-beki-ni, | gu-garrapu-kuwa | wulek, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| name | 3IS-arrive-Pre | 3IV-Anaph-Abl | finish |

Chris arrived, after that then
njiwurr-bogi-ni mu-galabarrbarr, njibu-ga-tji mutika 1AugS-go-Pre 3III-long grass IAugA.3MinO-take-Pre/Con car we went through the long grass, we took the car,
njiwurr-bamiyi-ø njiwurr-i-ma-ma, njiwurr-i-ma-ma, IAugS-carry on head-Con 1AugS-away-go along-Con lAugS-away-go along-Con we went along carrying (something) away from here, we went on
njiwurr-i-ma-ma, njiwurr-i-ma-ma, njiwurr-i-ma-ma, 1AugS-away-go along-Con 1AugS-away-go along-Con 1AugS-away-go along-Con and on and on and on,
wulek, "Gu-goni wulek wipa, gu-goni wipa."
finish 3IV-this finish place 3IV-this place
finally, "This is the right place, this is the place."

| Njiwurr-ma-nay | wulek | njiwu-na-ni | a-yu-y. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| lAugS-go along-Pre | finish | lAugA.3MinO-see-Pre | 3IS-lie-Pre |

We went along then we saw it lying there.
"Ma. Mut-burdja ø-(a)rra-ø
OK 3III-gun 2MinA.3MinOlmp-shoot-Irr2 "OK, shoot it with the gun in one foreleg,
gonda a-djerre ngirrépu."
arm 3I-Poss one
?and the other one.

| Njirr-gaki-dji, | njirr-gaki-dji | mutika | wulek, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3MinA.lAugO-chase,follow-Pre | 3MinA.lAugO-chase,follow-Pre | car | finish |
| It followed us, the car followed us |  |  |  |

wulek awurr-djarti awurr-djedjiyi-ni, awurr-djedjiyi-ni mutika...
finish 3AugS-hurry 3AugS-stop-Pre 3AugS-stop-Pre car well, they hurried and stopped, they stopped in the car...
Wulek bitja njirru-na-ni njirru-me-nji awurr-bogi-ni finish picture,photo 3MinA.1AugO-see-Pre 3MinA.1AugO-get-Pre 3AugS-go-Pre Then he took our picture, he (they?) set about getting us (in a photo),
arrapu nganaparru awu-me-nji,

| and | awu-me-nji | awurr-bogi-ni..... |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and they got the buffalo, they set about getting the buffalo. |  |  |


| Njiwurr-bogi-ni | gapu | Nangak, njiwurr-gorrmi-yi-ni. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lAugS-go-Pre | therefar | place name IAugS-put-Intr-Pre |

We went way back there to Nangak, we put ourselves down there.
Gu-garrapu wulek selling a-ni-ø ngutju-pu a-bogi-ni a-ma-nay.
3IV-Anaph finish " 3IS-be-Pre here-pu 3IS-go-Pre $\quad$ 3IS-go along-Pre
That's where he was selling, in fact, he was going along here.

## Ngaytjburru-ø ngubu-gaki-dji ngubu-gaki-dji

$1+2$ Aug-unm $\quad 1+2$ AugA.3MinO-chase,follow-Pre $\quad 1+2$ AugA.3MinO-chase,follow-Pre We all chased it, we all chased it (or perhaps refers to preparing the buffalo for cooking?)
ngubu-gapi-rri gatji djorro. A-yu-y djapanji.

1+2AugA.3MinO-roast-Pre high low 3IS-lie-Pre so much
we roasted it all. There was so much.

| Njiwu-ba-rri. | Njiwu-ba-rri | gatji djorro. Wulek. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IAugS.3MinO-eat-Pre | IAugS.3MinO-eat-Pre | high low finish |  |
| We ate it. We ate it all. The end. |  |  |  |

## Text 2. Gerard's birth and childhood. (Kate Miwulga) (V/91)

| Arrapu | bapa | a-garrapu | a-djorrwi-rri, | an-arpurr a-ni-ø, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | father | 3I-Anaph | 3IS-jump-Pre | 3I-little | 3IS-be-Pre |

And that father of yours was born (lit. jumped), he was little,

```
a-djorrwi-rri wulek a-yu-y
3IS-jump-Pre finish 3IS-lie-Pre
he was born, then he lay (there),
```

a-garrapu Lawrence botiyu-pu, a-yu-y.
3I-Anaph name 3UAnf-Card 3IS-lie-Pre that father of Lawrence's (lit Lawrence they two men), he lay (there).

| Galu balanggit, wurru | manburrba, manburrba | a-bitji-yi-ni, |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NEG | blanket | but | material | material | 3IS-wrap-Intr-Pre |

There was no blanket, but there was material, he was wrapped in material
wurru golku ng-gorrma-nay.
but paperbark 1MinA.3MinO-put down-Pre
but I put him down on paperbark.

Wulek djingirri a-djerre ng-gorndu-ngu,
finish umbilical cord 3I-Poss 1MinA.3MinO-cut-Pre
Then I cut his umbilical cord,
a-gorndu-ngu dji-gabi murla
3MinA.3IO-cut-Pre 3II-there oldestmother
your oldest mother there cut it,
dji-garrapu dji-gabi oval djinj-yo-rri, ng-gorrma-nay.
3II-Anaph 3II-there " 3IIS-lie-Con IMinA.3MinO-put down-Pre the one who lives there by the oval, (and) I put him down.

Wulek, wulek njiwurr-nguna-bogi-ni
finish finish lAugS-twds-go-Pre
Well, that was that, then we came
rdoyrdoy waypu an-ngayi-pu, "Nguwurr-boy la,
woman's child Comit 3I-1Min-Card 1+2AugS-go-Irr2 ?
with my son. "Let's go shall we,
gu-ngar nguwu-me-n ngubu-ga-tjin
Loc3IV-mouth $\quad 1+2 A u g A .3 M i n O-g e t-F u t \quad l+2 A u g A .3 M i n O-t a k e-F u t$ we'll fill up (lit. get it to the mouth) and take it
ngubu-gorrmi-rdi balatji a-njatbu', awurr-yiti-nji.
I+2AugA.3MinO-put down-Fut bag 3I-whatsit 3AugS-say this-Pre we'll put it in a bag or something", they said.

Weleng a-garrapu an-maliyirri njibu-ga-tji
then 3I-Anaph 3I-skin 1AugA.3MinO-take-Pre/Con
njiwurr-nguna-djeki-rri mandunuyu.
lAugS-twds-return-Pre crocodile
Then we came back bringing that crocodile skin.

## Wulek njiwurr-djeki-rri njiwurr-ngun-ma-nay

finish lAugS-return-Pre lAugS-twds-go along-Pre
Then we came back
wulek mawa a-goni a-bogi-ni a-wenjigi-rri
finish FaFa 3I-this 3IS-go-Pre 3MinA.3IO-hang-Pre
then Grandpa here went and hung it up.

## A-bupiyi-ni njina-djarti balanggit a-wu-ni, ... 3IS-go down-Pre 1UAnfS-hurry blanket 3MinA.3IO-give-Pre

 I hurried down with him and they gave him a blanket.
## Wulek njiwurr-ngun-ma-nay njiwurr-bupiyi-ni wotjbil finish lAugS-twds-go along-Pre lAugS-go down-Pre hospital Then we came here and went down to the hospital

| abu-wu-ni | awurr-bogi-ni | djapu | mu-goni | nuyu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3AugA.3IO-give-Pre | 3AugS-go-Pre | like | 3III-this | 3MinNf+Poss $C$ |
| they went about giving him it, like that kind |  |  |  |  |


| abu-wu-ni | a-dji(ni)-pu | a-njatbu | yandu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a-goni, |  |  |  |



Roderick's thing, yes, that's what they gave that father at the hospital, a blanket.

| Abu-wu-ni | awurr-bogi-ni | Kimbies | niyé-pu, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3AugA.3MinO-give-Pre | 3AugS-go-Pre | nappies | 3MinNf-Card |

They set about giving him nappies,
wulek, njina-bogi-ni, ngayi-pu njina-djarti njina-ma-nay
finish IUAnfS-go-Pre IMin-Card IUAnfS-hurry IUAnfS-go along-Pre
then that was that, we went, we hurried along (?I hurried him along)
ranba njina-beki-ni. .. "Aya abu-wu-ni awurr-ni-ø ya?"
beach IUAnfS-arrive-Pre oh 3AugA.3IO-give-Pre 3AugS-sit-Pre Qu and came to the beach. "Oh, they've been giving him things, have they?"

## djongok djinj-yiti-nji, djongok djin-ngarrpu,

Mo-in-law 3IIS-say this-Pre Mo-in-law 3II-2Min+Card
mother-in-law said, your mother-in-law,

# like botiyu-pu gakak awuni-yina-ga, a-yina-ga niyé-pu Gerard. " 3UAnf-Card MoMo 3UAnfS-say this-Con 3IS-say this-Con 3MinNf-Card " 

 like they say to each other 'grannie', Gerard says this (to her).
## Djin-njatbu dji-goni njiwurr-ni-ø <br> 3II-what'shername 3II-this lAugS-sit-Pre

What's the name of this woman we were with (lit. this female one we all sat)

| sister Hammer dji-garrapu djit-bu-guyuguyu, e, Hammer njiwurr-ni-ø, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $"$ | name | 3II-Anaph 3II-Der-ahead yes name lAugS-sit-Pre |

Sister Hammer, she was the first one, yes, we were with Hammer,
dji-garrapu. Wulek njirrinji-ni-ø, njina-ni-ø njina-ni-ø
3II-Anaph finish lUAfS-sit-Pre lUAnfS-sit-Pre IUAnfS-sit-Pre she's the one. Well, we two women stayed, I stayed with him, I stayed with him,
wulek a-garlma-nay. Njina-ma-nay njina-ma-nay
finish 3IS-get up-Pre 1UAnfS-go along-Pre 1UAnfS-go along-Pre then he stood up. We went on and we went on,
a-djirrarlma-nay a-djirrarlma-nay a-djirrarlma-nay a-djarti a-ma-nay 3IS-grow up-Pre 3IS-grow up-Pre 3IS-grow up-Pre 3IS-hurry 3IS-go along-Pre he grew and grew, he grew really fast,
wulek a-njatbu a-ba-rri, a-njatbu
finish 3I-what'sit 3MinA.3IO-eat-Pre 3I-what'sit
then he ate a whatchamacalledit, um,
bapa botiyu-pu awuni-wa-waligiyi-ni awuni-ni-ø
father 3UAnf-Card 3UAnfS-Redup-play-Pre 3UAnfS-sit-Pre the two fathers were playing
wulek gu-goni Gubulyarde getjigra a-ba-rri.
finish 3IV-this placename something 3MinA.3IO-eat-Pre
then at this place Gubulyarde he ate something.

| Wulek drunk | a-ni-ø | gu-goni | Gubulyarde |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| finish " | 3IS-be,sit-Pre | 3IV-this | place name |

Then he was drunk (ie, in a strange state) at this place Gubulyarde

| weleng | ngu-wa-rri | a-bogi-ni | Darwin wotjbil, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | lMinA.3MinO-throw-Pre | $3 I S$-go-Pre | $"$ |

then I sent him (lit. I threw him he went) to hospital in Darwin.

A-njatbu a-goni a-ba-rri, gurtu, gurtu a-ba-rri.
3I-what'sit 3I-this 3MinA.3IO-eat-Pre plant sp plant sp 3MinA.3IO-eat-Pre What's this thing he ate, gurtu (?Diospyros compacta), he ate gurtu.

## Njina-nguna-djeki-rri

IUAnfS-twds-return-Pre
He and I came back here,
wulek gu-wa-rri a-djarti a-ma-nay golidja
finish 3MinA.3IVO-throw-Pre 3IS-hurry 3IS-go along-Pre blood then he was throwing up blood non-stop,
djapu wulek gu-wa-rri a-ma-na-:-y wulek.
like finish 3MinA.3IVO-throw-Pre 3IS-go along-Pre $+X$ finish he went on and on throwing up like anything.

Njina-yu-y gu-na-gepiyi-ni
1UAnfS-lie,sleep-Pre 3IVS-twds-dawn-Pre
We slept, and the next day came
wulek mu-garrapu mi-njatbu m-buki-ni ni-lorndo,
finish 3III-Anaph 3III-what'sit 3IIIS-fall-Pre 3MinNf-Dat
then that what's it called landed (lit. fell) for him,
mi-djini mi-nji yigi-minabami m-buki-ni mu-workiyi-ni?
3III-AnEmph 3III-what Loc3IV-water 3IIIS-fall-Pre 3IIIS-do always-Pre
what's the one that always landed on water?
[Seaplane] E mu-garrapu m-buki-ni nawu balay.
yes 3III-Anaph 3IIIS-fall-Pre ? far
[seaplane] Yes, that's what landed, and he was off.

| Ngu-wa-rri | a-bogi-ni | Darwin a-goni mawa | awuni-bogi-ni. |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| IMinA.3MinO-throw-Pre | 3IS-go-Pre | $"$ | 3I-this | FaFa | 3UAnfS-so-Pre | I sent him to Darwin, this grandpa went with him.


| Gu-gone-pu | njiwurr-garlma-nay | mu-garrapu | mut-bulun-pu rangin |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3IV-this-pu | lAugS-get up,set off-Pre | 3III-Anaph | 3III-old-pu | same | njiwurr-bogi-ni, ......

1AugS-go-Pre
At this time we set off for the same place as before (the same old place)...

Niyé-pu bapa a-garrapu an-arpurr a-ni-ø
3MinNf-Card father 3I-Anaph 3I-little 3IS-be-Pre
That father was little
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { wurru a-garrapu wurru } & \text { a-gabi oval a-yo-rri } \\ \text { but } & \text { 3I-Anaph but } & \text { 3I-there " } & \text { 3IS-lie,stay-Con }\end{array}$
but that father now, he lives at the oval,
njiwurr-ma-nay a-guybi-ni.
1AugS-go along-Pre 3IS-sink, go underwater-Pre we went along and he went into the water.

| Njiwurr-galidji-nji | njiwurr-ma-nay | niyé-pu | a-weki-ni, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IAugS-paddle-Pre | lAugS-go along-Pre | 3MinNf-Card | 3IS-speak-Pre |

We were paddling along, and he said,
"Yay", niyé-pu mawa a-goni a-yiti-nji ni-lorndo,
hey 3MinNf-Card FaFa 3IS-this 3IS-say this-Pre 3MinNf-Dat
"Hey," he said to this grandfather,
"Bapa ngayi-pu ngu-djeki-rdi ngu-djarti gabi ngu-ni-ngu wulun." father IMin-Card IMinS-return-Fut IMinS-hurry there IMinS-sit-Fut stern "Dad, I'm going back there to sit in the back."
"Galu nji-guybu-ø."
NEG 2MinS-drown, go under water-Irr2
"No, you might drown."

```
Weleng a-djeki-rri a-djarti gabi a-ni-ø wulun awuni-ni-ø, then 3IS-return-Pre 3IS-hury there 3IS-sit-Pre stern 3UAnfS-sit-Pre
``` Then he quickly went back and sat there, they two males sat in the stern,
niyé-pu mawa ngutju a-galidji-nji a-ni-ø,
3MinNf-Card FaFa here 3IS-paddle-Pre 3IS-sit-Pre
Grandpa was sitting here paddling,
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
a-djeki-rri & a-djarti & a-warrtji-nji & gabi & a-galidji-nji \\
3IS-return-Pre & 3IS-hurry & 3IS-climb-Pre & there & 3IS-paddle-Pre
\end{tabular} he went quickly back and climbed up where he was paddling,
a-garlma-nay a-ma-nay-pu yibénapu barlmarrk a-y-wa-rri-pu 3IS-get up-Pre 3IS-go along-Pre that side wind 3MinA.3IO-away-throw-Pre-pu he got up and as soon as he went along the wind suddenly threw him off the far side
yi-garrapu a-y-guybi-ni. "Waa, waa ngapala a-guybi-ni!" away-Anaph 3IS-away-sink-Pre alas,help alas,help IMin+Dat 3IS-sink-Pre and he sank down away from us. "Help, help, he's sunk on me!"
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
A-djorrwi-rri-pu & a-ma-nay & a-rrimi-rri & gakak & a-goni. \\
3IS-jump-Pre-pu & 3IS-go along-Pre & 3MinA.3IO-grab-Pre & MoMo(Bro) & 3I-this \\
This "grannie" jumped in straight away and went and grabbed him. &
\end{tabular}

A-djorrwi-rri mawa arrapu gakak a-garrapu a-djorrwi-rri
3IS-jump-Pre FaFa and MoMo 3I-Anaph 3IS-jump-Pre Grandpa jumped in and that "Grannie" jumped in

\section*{awuni-garlma-nay-pu awuni-ngepi-rri.}

3UAnfS-get up-Pre 3UAnfS-lift-Pre
they came up and lifted him up.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Njiwu-dimi-rri & njiwurr-ni-ø, & ngu-djedjiyi-ni ngu-me-nji \\
IAugA.3MinO-hold-Pre & lAugS-sit-Pre & IMinS-stop-Pre & IMinA.3MinO-get-Pre
\end{tabular}

We sat holding him, I stopped and got him
ngu-djedjiyi-ni-pu ngu-na-ni mibilu a-djerre an-marrman,
1MinS-stop-Pre-pu 1MinA.3MinO-see-Pre eye 3I-Poss 3I-good
as soon as I stopped I saw his eyes were good,
\begin{tabular}{lrll} 
ngu-yiti-nji, \(\quad\) Galu & yama gin-minabámi & ngu-na-dji+rni \\
IMinS-say this-Pre & NEG & ? & 3IV-water \\
I said, "Oh, I thought I might have seen water & & IMinA.3MinO-see-Irrl
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
gu-beki-yi+rni." & An-marrman & djapu & wulek & niyé-pu & lay. \\
3IVS-come out-Irrl & 3I-good & like & finish & 3MinNf-Card & hey
\end{tabular} coming out." He was quite all right, you know.

\section*{A-rruwdjiyi-ni a-rruwdjiyi-ni an-marrman.}

3IS-cry-Pre 3IS-cry-Pre 3I-good
He cried, and he cried, and then he was alright.

\section*{Text 3. Dingo Story. (Leila Nimbadja)}

\section*{A-goni ant-gumúpurda. \\ 3I-this 3I-dingo \\ This is the dingo.}

Ngubu-gorrmi
1+2AugA.3MinO-put down+Irr2 food
We might put down food,
arrapu mu-djungdjung-yerrtji arrbu-gorrmi,
and Coll-dog-mob I+2nsA.3nsO-put down+Irr2
and we might put the dogs,
\(1+2 n s A .3 n s O\)-put down+lrr2 camp 3AugS-stand-Irr2
we'd put them at the camp (lit. we might put them at the camp they might stand),
wurru nguwurr-boy-ø gu-gu-warlpu ngalngi gu-manj,
but \(\quad 1+2 A u g S\)-go-Irr2 Loc3IV-Nom-hunt turtle Loc3IV-taste =purpose of hunt
but we would go hunting for turtle.
arrapu nguwurr-nguna-djeka- \(\varnothing\) mitja nguwu-ma-ø.
and \(\quad 1+2 A u g S\)-twds-return-Irr2 food \(1+2 A u g A .3 M i n O\)-get-Irr 2 and we would come back and get food

Wulek ant-gumúpurda a-boy-ø
finish 3I-dingo 3IS-go-Irr2
Well then the dingo might go
burr-bay-ø mu-djungdjung-yerrtji.
3MinA.3AugO-eat-Irr2 Coll-dog-mob
and eat all the dogs.

Ngaytjburru-ø nguwurr-djeka-ø gu-gu-warlpu-kuwa, \(1+2\) Aug-unm \(\quad 1+2\) AugS-return-Irr2 Loc3IV-Nom-hunt-Abl

We would come back from hunting,
nguwu-na-ø wipa gi-dji-ø.
I+2AugA.3MinO-see-Irr2 camp 3IVS-stand-Irr2
and see the camp standing (there).

Nguwurr-gogidja-ø
\(1+2\) AugS-call-Irr 2
We would call
arrbi-djena-ø mu-djungdjung-yerrtji,
1+2nsA.3nsO-look for-Irr2 Coll-dog-mob
and look for all the dogs
wulek nguwu-barrwa- \(\varnothing\), nguwurr-yita- \(\varnothing\),
finish \(\quad 1+2\) AugA. 3 MinO -think-Irr2 \(\quad 1+2\) AugS-say this-Irr2
then we would think, we might say this,
"Ant-gumúpurda burr-ba-rri
3I-dingo 3MinA.3AugO-eat-Pre
"The dingo ate them
warri arrbu-bawu-ni,
because \(\quad 1+2 n s A .3 n s O\)-leave-Pre
because we left them,

\section*{galu ninjokoni birr-rrimi-nga+rni."}

NEG who, noone 3MinA.3AugO-hold, look after-Irrl no one was looking after them."

Weleng galu awurr-beki-ya+rni
then \(N E G\) 3AugS-arrive,come out-Irrl
Then they didn't come
njiwurr-ni-ø njiwurr-rruwdjiyi-ni.
1AugS-sit-Pre 1AugS-cry-Pre
we sat (and) cried.
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Djungdjung } & \text { njiwu-barrwa-rri } \\ \text { dog } & \text { lAugA.3MinO-think-Pre }\end{array}\)
We thought about the dogs
warri awurr-garpi. Njirrbu-guyu-ga-tji, because 3AugS-good hunter InsA.3nsO-nose-take-Pre/Con =take hunting
because they are/were good hunters. We take/took them hunting
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
abu-numi-ø & gabi & an-mitj. \\
3AugA.3MinO-smell-Irr2 & Loc,there & 3I-burrow
\end{tabular}
(and) they can/might smell the game in its burrow.

\section*{Text 4. Pig Story. (May Miorgar)}

Mutju-pu njiwurr-bogi-ya njiburr-yo-rri giygiy
here-Imm lAugS-go-Con lAugS-lie,stay-Con short time, little while Right here (?) we went and stayed for a while
gi-njatbu Wek a-djerre. Njiburr-yo-rri ngorrungurru ngirrépu, 3IV-whatsit placename lAugS-lie,stay-Con sleep one at what's that place, Wek a-djerre. We stayed one night (lit. we slept one sleep),
gu-na-gepiyi-ø, wulek, njiburr-garlma gu-gu-warlpu 3IVS-twds-dawn-Con finish IAugS-set off+Con Loc3IV-Nom-hunt then the next day we set off hunting,

Leila, Lynn, ngayi-pu njiwurr-djarti njiwurr-i-ma-ma.
name name 1Min-Card 1AugS-hurry IAugS-away-go along-Con
Leila, Lynn and I hurried along away.

Njiwurr-djarti njiwurr-ma-ma, njiwurr-djenjinigi-nga
1AugS-hurry \(\quad\) IAugS-go along-Con \(1 A u g S\)-hunt for turtle with feet-Con We hurried along, we felt for turtles with our feet,
njiwu-ngalganmi-nga ngalngi awuni-mukupu
1AugA.3MinO-find-Con turtle 3UAnf-two we found two turtles,
weleng djaluwu njiwu-djeni-nga-:, njiwurr-djirritbi-rdi-:
then again 1AugA.3MinO-search-Con-X lAugS-go around-Con-X then we searched again for a long time, we went around and around,
njiwu-ngalganmi-nga ngirrépu, ngirrépu njiwu-me-ka
1AugA.3MinO-find-Con one one 1AugA.3MinO-get-Con we found one, we got one
weleng njiwurr-i-ma-ma
then lAugS-away-go along-Con
then we went along further,
nawu pig njiwu-ngalganmi-nga dji-na-ma-ma, dji-na-ma-ma,
? " lAugA.3MinO-find-Con 3IIS-twds-go along-Con
then we found pigs coming along, they came along,
ngaytjburru-ø njiwurr-garlma njiwurr-ma-ma njiwurr-yurrburridji-ga.
lAug-unm lAugS-set off+Con lAugS-go along-Con 1AugS-run-Con we set off and ran.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Ngayi-pu & wulek & ng-ga-tji & ngutjuyu & ngu-ne-rre, \\
\hline 1Min-Card & finish & IMinA.3M & \(3 \mathrm{MinF}+\) Pos & 1MinS-be-Con \\
\hline & & \(=\) take off & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

I just took off,
burrkburrkang ngu-woroworoganmi-nga ngu-djarti ngu-ma-ma
shoulderbag IMinA.3MinO-swing-Con IMinS-hurry 1MinS-go along-Con I rushed along swinging my shoulderbag,
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ngu-wa-nga & ngu-bobulu & a-yo-rri & gi-djel \\
IMinA.3MinO-throw-Con & LoclMin-back & 3IS-lie-Con & Loc3IV-ground
\end{tabular}

I threw it behind me, it lay on the ground,
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ngu-djarti-pu & ngu-ne-rre & ngu-warrtji-ga \\
IMinS-hurry-pu & IMinS-be-Con & IMinS-climb-Con
\end{tabular}

I hurried straight along and climbed up,

Leila, Lynn borritjiyu-na ngardupu awurrinj-yurrburridji-ga, name name 3UAf-Emph alone 3UAfS-run-Con
Leila and Lynn, those two were running by themselves,
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
ngardupu & awurritj-bogi-ya, wulek & njiwurr-ne-rre, pig, & pig, \\
alone & \(3 U A f S-g o-C o n\) & finish 1 AugS-sit-Con & \("\) & \("\)
\end{tabular}
alone 3UAfS-go-Con finish lAugS-sit-Con " "
they two went alone, then we sat, the pigs, the pigs
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { njiwurr-ne-rre } & \text { dji-bogi-ya } & \text { werr } & \text { njirru-me-ka=ngutjuyu } \\ \text { IAugS-sit-Con } & \text { 3IIS-go-Con } & \text { pass } & \text { 3MinA.1AugO-get-Con=3MinF }+ \text { Poss }_{A}\end{array}\) we sat and they went and passed us,
nawu njiwurr-bupiyi-ø njiwurr-ng-ma-ma
? lAugS-go down-Con lAugS-twds-go along-Con
then we came along down
wulek njirrinj-weki-ya, njiwurr-weki-ya ngaytjburru-ø,
finish IUAfS-say-Con lAugS-say-Con 1 Aug-unm/Poss \(C_{C}\)
then we two, we all said to each other,

Then we went along back home
njiwurr-garnagarra-dji njiwurr-ne-rre
1AugS-sit down-Con lAugS-sit-Con
we were sitting down,
wulek njiwur-girdétji-ga njiwurr-djarti njiwurr-ma-ma.
finish lAugS-laugh-Con lAugS-hurry lAugS-go along-Con
then we laughed our heads off.

Wulek njiburr-gorrmi-yi-ø.
finish lAugS-put down-Intr-Con
Then we went to bed.

Appendix B - The Djowunga Dialect of Gurr-goni.
This grammar is based on the dialect of Gurr-goni spoken by clans of the Yirrtjinga moiety. Of the Djowunga variety, I recorded only a small amount of vocabulary, from the senior member of the Gulúmarrarra clan, and I present here the vocabulary items which differ between the dialects. There may be more; these were the words remembered as being distinctive, or revealed as such by asking for the Djowunga equivalents of basic vocabulary and flora and fauna terms. The elicitation was conducted in Gurr-goni, with the help of Leila Nimbadja, and, assuming that the Djowunga man was speaking his own dialect, the Djowunga dialect appears to be otherwise essentially the same as the Yirrtjinga variety: no differences were immediately apparent in free pronouns, demonstratives, pronominal prefixes or verb suffixes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline English & Yirrtjinga Gurr-goni & Djowunga Gurr-goni \\
\hline antbed & moli & gut-balmunapu \\
\hline ashes & gun-darri & burdudjitjitj \\
\hline back & bobulu arr-djerre & buluwak (?-wok?) ngarrku \\
\hline blue tongue lizard & djit-gorlungu dji-ba-nga a-ne-rre & djilili dji-ba-nga a-ne-rre \\
\hline chest & 3II-snail 3MinA.3IIO-eat-Con 3IS-sit murrpu arr-djerre & sit-Con (?same in Djowunga?) djuwupu ngarrku \\
\hline child, baby & burrkarl & \{at-\}-bin.ngarrwulu \\
\hline digging stick & barnaka & PREF-little buluwak \\
\hline fire & gun-ngak & djowuga \\
\hline firewood & gun-ngak & git-djardigirli \\
\hline foot, hand & woku arr-djerre & bumarrk arr-djerre \\
\hline frog & romolo & at-djawuli \\
\hline grass & golborr & mun-molibidji \\
\hline leech & girdíli & bongi \\
\hline man & worri & ant-gudjurdu* \\
\hline python, black-headed & gun-darri dju-guyu & gut-balmunap dji-guyu \\
\hline small & -warpurr & -bin.ngarrwulu \\
\hline snake, common tree & gul & mirnarr \\
\hline throat & \begin{tabular}{l}
orrongurru arr-djerre, \\
mut-burdja ngarrku
\end{tabular} & mut-burdja ngarrku \\
\hline stringybark tree & barndra & gunt-gu-gupi-yi \\
\hline & & 3IV-Nom-put up-Intr \\
\hline water & gin-minabami & djawulgu \\
\hline yam sp & djunja & munt-gu-walaguwu \\
\hline & munt-gadjila & djigórdugu \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(Body part nouns are given with the appropriate possessive marking, inflected for \(1+2\) person minimal; see \(\S 2.5 .1\) and footnote 12 , chapter 3 .)
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ These are described as auxiliary verbs in Djinang (Waters 1989), Ndjébbana (McKay 1984 and forthcoming), Burarra (Glasgow 1984), Nakkara (Eather 1990), and as aspectual verbs in Djapu (Morphy 1983). Heath (1980b:113) also notes a durative use of the verb 'go' in Ritharrngu.

    2 The tense system of Djinang is described in Waters (1989); see pages 178-179, and 185-190.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ This was also used in identifying a Kuninjku dialect or patrilect, in a narrative describing the travels of two dreaming characters:

    Wulek Nu-morrumu a-weki-ni, niyé-pu arrawun, "Galu! Gu-goni finish placename 3IS-speak-Pre 3MinNf-Card name ofdreaming NEG 3IV-this wowunupu arr-yu-ngu. Galu, ngarr-pu gun-arpurr nji-weki-ya Gurr-goni together $\quad 1+2$ MinS-lie-Fut NEG 2Min-Card 3IV-little 2MinS-speak-Con lg name la ngayi-ø ngu-bo-go yi-gabi kun-kadjiwalakwut ngu-weki-ya." and IMin-unm IMinS-go-Fut away-there 3IV-little IMinS-speak-Con Then at Nu-morrumu he spoke, this arrawun dreaming, "No! We'll stay here together. You say gun-arpurr (little) in Gurr-goni and I'll go over there, I say gun-gadjiwalakwut (for 'little').(XI/63)

[^2]:    4 Percentages are based on comparison's of Dixon's 400 word vocabulary lists, including 82 verbs, compiled by me for each of the languages.

[^3]:    5 Butcher notes (ibid) that "it is quite possible that in spontaneous connected speech the tongue tip is more often used."

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ One member of the family I worked with is deaf, and in speaking to her, people tended to speak slowly and forcefully; it was in this context that I heard strongly aspirated stops.
    ${ }^{7}$ Nevertheless, Glasgow (1981) subsequently adopted an orthography based on voiced and voiceless stop symbols, due to the preference expressed by a literacy worker for this means of representing the contrast.
    ${ }^{8}$ Although McKay considers stress to be phonemic in Ndjébbana, it was probably originally placed on the first syllable of the root in Ndjébbana as it is in the other Maningrida language; subsequent loss of the morpheme boundaries has obscured this relationship.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ With one exception: the 1st person minimal oblique form is gabu, rather than the expected *napu. A long stop does occur in the corresponding dative form napala, which appears to be built on the oblique form, so the short stop may be a recent innovation.

[^6]:    1069 , in a vocabulary of approximately 1200 .

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ 1.2.3.2.4 (vowel deletion) also applies here.
    ${ }^{12}$ See also §4.3.1.1.2.1, Allomorphy of the pronominal prefixes.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ McKay (forthcoming: $\S 2, \mathrm{p} 8$ ) describing the phonology of Ndjébbana, excludes from his database for calculating word initial consonants all verb stems and some classes of nominal stems, as "[i]n a prefixing language a significant number of lexical items cannot appear without a prefix". In Gurr-goni, most verbs have the possibility of surfacing as a bare stem in the imperative form, as one of the imperative mood pronominal prefixes is $\varnothing$-. Most adjectives, which take prefixes, also occur without a prefix, as secondary predicates. I have therefore included verb and adjectival stems in calculating frequencies of occurrence of initial and final phonemes.
    14 Somewhat inconsistently, perhaps, I have included the $/ \mathrm{r} /$ initial morphemes among the consonant initial words. As can be seen from the table, their frequency of occurrence in initial position is comparable to other consonants, rather than to vowels, and they are in fact the most common of the apical consonants in this position.

[^9]:    15 These three exceptions (bay 'eat', way 'throw' and boy 'go') all end in a glide.

[^10]:    16 This is found in the frequent contraction of bangazalg 'black cockatoo' to bangralg.
    17 This last occurs in baydia 'stringybark tree', which may perhaps be a contraction of *bandaja , although a medial vowel has never been heard. Similar contractions occur in Ndjébbana; compare the Ndjébbana place name Manayingkarirra, Maningrida in English (McKay forthcoming: §2, p6).

[^11]:    18 The function of -pu is not clear here. It may in fact be that it does mark non-verbal (or non-predicate) status in some way, but as -pu can be added, with variable meaning, to verbs which are clearly functioning as heads of their clause, this is not certain.

[^12]:    ${ }^{19}$ Here, the lengthening is on the penultimate, rather than the final, syllable. The reason for this is not known.

[^13]:    ${ }^{20}$ Morphophonemic rule 1 ( $\S 1.2 .3 .1$ ) applies to -pu here.

[^14]:    2 As they primarily describe humans, this may be because nominals such as 'man' and 'woman' would simply be redundant, since the prefix indicates the sex of the referent.

[^15]:    ${ }^{3}$-gu-galiyi, the word for 'human', and specifically 'Aboriginal person', is derived from the verb galiyi 'to hear, listen, understand, feel'; literally, it is 'the listening / understanding one'. It takes pronominal prefixes indicating the person, number and sex of the referent.
    burrkarl, 'child, baby', is unspecified for sex. For babies in the womb, Cl.I agreement is normal, but once born, the sex of the child determines agreement.
    ${ }^{4}$ This is a loan word from (originally) Warndarang ra-galga, where ra- is the indefinite class prefix, and galga means 'warrior' (Heath 1980a).
    5 Where a female is specifically referred to, a Cl.II prefix can be used: djint-gumúpurda.

[^16]:    ${ }^{6}$ Male genitals are grouped with most body parts in Cl.III; agreement is either with the class of the body part, or with the class of the possessor (see §2.4.1.3). Gurr-goni does not seem to be alone among northern Australian languages in treating the female genitals and reproductive organs differently from other body parts; similar distinctions are made in Murrinh Patha (Walsh forthcoming), Marrithiyel (I. Green forthcoming), Ngan'gityemerri (Reid 1990:301), Nunggubuyu (Heath 1984: 182, 191-2) and Tiwi (Osborne 1974:51); this has been noted also by Evans (forthcoming).

[^17]:    7 There is a noun -goy 'track, road', used of visible paths and tracks (for instance, animal tracks, when it takes a prefix agreeing with the class of the animal (e.g.nganaparru ant-goy, buffalo tracks), and modern roads, where it has a Cl.IV prefix: gut-goy). Gut-goy is also used metaphorically to mean a way of living, but is not used when talking about walking or travelling in a general direction.

[^18]:    * This hypothesis is based on informants' comments that lightning is attracted to things which are bright or colourful, and that metal things are (often) bright.

[^19]:    ${ }^{8}$ The opposite tendency has also been noted. Yams which do not have any overt marking of class within the lexeme usually take Cl .III agreement markers, but some speakers occasionally use Cl.I agreement markers for these yams as well. Both patterns of reclassification act to reunite yams within one noun class.

[^20]:    9 The exact meaning of bayin is not clear. It may only occur immediately after a noun in local case, and could thus be described as a local case postposition, but its presence or absence makes no discernable difference to the meaning of the phrase.

[^21]:    ${ }^{10}$ I have noted rare instances of a Cl.I prefix being used to mark Local case on a Cl.III noun, as in:
    (wupunj) nu-nguw
    canoe Loc3I-backside
    in, at the back of the canoe
    nu-ger gapala a-ni-ngu
    Loc3I-side boat 3IS-sit-Fut
    He'll sit at the side of the boat
    These were from a speaker in her twenties, and I suspect would be rejected by older speakers, but may indicate a trend for the use of Cl.I as a superclass to be extended.

[^22]:    11 Extensions of this use to lower animates or celestial beings do occur, notably where they are protagonists in stories, and thus personified.

[^23]:    12 The numeral 'two' is the only inflecting word which regularly shows number agreement with inanimate nouns. In form it consists of a stem -mukupu (?-muka 'much, lots'+ -pu), which takes unitaugmented pronominal prefixes. The prefix awuni- is used with Class I, III and IV nouns, while the prefix awurrinjin- is used only with nouns in Cl.II. Thus the Unit-Augmented class distinction could be said to be one between feminine (Cl.II) and non-feminine (Cl.I, and less frequently Cl.III and IV also). Awuni-mukupu, 'two' with non-feminine agreement, has also been used for lower animate Cl.II nouns, so it would seem that this numeral can be added to the small list of words with which concordial superclassing is possible.

[^24]:    13 A very similar system determining gender of unit-augmented pronominals has been described for Ndjébbana by McKay (1979). In Ndjébbana, the choice of gender in 1+2 UA pronominals is dependent on whether there are one or two addressees (in other words, whether all of the three people referred to are part of the speech situation, or whether one is absent). This does not appear to be the case in Gurr-goni, whers speakers choose whichofthe two people they regerd as the outressed and which as the "othes', when both are present.

[^25]:    14 The only instance in which pronouns have been found after demonstratives is where a UA number pronoun is used in reference to one person, the parent of a child whose name precedes the pronoun:

    Wulek a-yu-y [a-garrapu Lawrence botiyu-pu]
    finish 3IS-lie-Pre 3I-Anaph name 3UAnf-Card
    Then he lay (there), that father of Lawrence's.

[^26]:    15 Demonstrative adjectives take intransitive verbal prefixes, and the local / non-local distinction possible for regular adjectives is thus not made on demonstrative adjectives. The only case overtly marked is ablative.

[^27]:    ${ }^{16}$ Greenberg (1966:37-38) contrasts the oblique cases (dative, locative, instrumental, genitive, etc) with the direct cases (nominative, accusative, vocative). The 'direct case' is the term generally used in IndoAryan linguistics for the case covering A, S and O (Blake 1994:10, 200), and it has also been used for a similar case in other languages which, like Gurr-goni, are headmarking (for example, the Mayan language Tzutujil (Dayley 1985)).
    17 Some nominal lexemes contain an invariant class prefix - for example, mun-molmu 'heart' with a set Ni prefix (* mu-molmu, with a set Nii prefix, is not possible); and gu-gondu 'billabong', with a set Nii prefix (there is no *gut-gondu with a set Ni prefix).

[^28]:    18 Some speakers use them (sometimes or usually), some speakers never use them.
    19 Nakkara and Ndjébbana both make a similar use of 3rd person possessive (or dative, in the case of Ndjébbana) pronouns to disambiguate 3rd person agents.

    * Histt ( 1965 :47) asyes, in reference to the neighbowring Burarra, "Swearing at a wunan
    

[^29]:    20 'Back' has in fact never been recorded with an agreement class of its own, but other nouns in the possession set to which it belongs have been found with class III agreement.

[^30]:    21 As no pronouns have appeared in NPs in instrument function, it is not clear what case this represents.

[^31]:    22 An equivalent possessive construction, in which the verb 'stand' in its Contemporary tense form follows the noun, exists in Burarra, Nakkara and Ndjébbana also. In all languages, the nouns which occur in the construction are a subset of body part terms. These three other languages also have other possessive constructions similar in structure to those of Gurr-goni; the categorisation is slightly different in each language (see McKayin pufor a discussion of Ndjébbana categorisation). (Even in the Djowunga dialect of Gurr-goni it is slightly different: back, chest and throat are all set $C$ in Djowunga, set $B$ in Yirrtjinga)

[^32]:    23 An-mari 'womb' (compare -mari 'bladder', Set A), bulungun.ngun '1. shoulderbag, 2. "baby bag", i.e. womb', and djin-walirrng, placenta, were all elicited without possessive marking, and no textual examples occur to identify which possessive construction, if any, they take.

[^33]:    24 A-dje-rre '3IS-stand-Con', here, and gi-djerre '3IVS-stand-Con ' in the expressions for 'anus', below, both agree with the body part (Class I and Class IV respectively), not with the possessor. This thus appears to be a different use of -djerre from that described above for the body parts set B possessive construction. The phrase here could perhaps be translated as 'mouth to her it stands' or 'it exists'. All the posture verbs (sit, stand, lie) can be used as existential verbs (see §5.1.2), and the use of -djerre as a possessive marker may well derive from such a use.
    ${ }^{25}$ These nouns occurred in a text in reference to a buffalo. Whether or not they are used of humans remains to be checked.

[^34]:    26 As I said above, prefixed adjectival stems can occur as heads of NPs; some are also lexicalised as specific names (of plants, for example). It may be possible to say that as they occur in a possessive construction here, they are to some extent nominalised, although without showing any overt derivational morphology. On the other hand, I think it is the case that any adjective can occur in a possessive construction: as any adjective with a prefix can be translated as 'a/the X one' (and even more specifically, ' $a /$ the class $n X$ one', where $X=$ the adjective, and $n=I-I V$ ).

[^35]:    27 Anna Wierzbicka has suggested, p.c., that these sets can be defined by the formulae: A, Y is part of X, $X$ can't do what $X$ wants with $Y ; B, Y$ is part of $X, X$ can do what $X$ wants with $Y ; C 2, Y$ is a place in X's body. I have not tested these formulae with Gurr-goni speakers.

[^36]:    28 I also recorded medjimidji mi-djerre (ie set B possessive marking, with a class III possessor), meaning the leaves of a plant, several times, both in texts and in casual speech. Mun-medjimidji also can refer to leaves of a plant.

[^37]:    ${ }^{29}$ Both 2-161 and 2-163 contain apparent instrumental uses of the local case. The only spontaneously occurring instances of the local case marking nouns in instrument function were with body part nouns such as these. In elicitation sessions, the local case prefix was accepted on nouns such as 'spear' and 'stick' with the meaning 'with a spear', 'with a stick', but in spontaneous speech such instrument nouns were always unmarked.

[^38]:    ${ }^{30}$ Compare Wierzbicka's (1988:466-70) distinction between the use of adjectives (such as fat, blond) to describe individual features, and the use of nouns (such as fattie, fatso, blonde) to categorize people into types defined by that feature.

[^39]:    31 A woman's siblings, both male and female, stand in the same relationship to her children as she does; the same thing applies to a man and his siblings in relation to his children. But although they are treated as the same here, and in nominal referential, dyadic and triangular terms, male and female members of these categories are distinguished in the vocative terms.

[^40]:    1 This $-\varnothing$ is not however shown in the remainder of the thesis, nor are the morpheme boundaries within pronouns other than those between the prominence suffixes, the dative suffix and the stems to which these attach.

[^41]:    2 -yi- is found only in the possessive adjectives; see $\S$ 3.1.4.3 below.
    ${ }^{3}$ Including at least one from each of the seven speakers who contributed to the corpus.
    ${ }^{4}$ This number includes pronouns used alone (in 71 instances, $5.7 \%$ ) and within an NP, modifying a noun, adjective or demonstrative ( 24 instances, or $1.9 \%$ ).
    5 I follow Du Bois' (1987:816) definition of GIVEN as including referents present in the speech situation. Very few pronominal mentions fall into his 'accessible' category (those mentioned more than 20 intonation units previously, or "part of a previously evoked entity-based frame .. although previously unmentioned)" (ibid); they have been counted here with GIVEN mentions. In other words, NEW and NON-NEW mentions have been contrasted, as Du Bois himself does in much of his discussion.

[^42]:    ${ }^{6}$ Ia, meaning 'and', is a Kuninjku and Kunparlang word, which some Gurr-goni speakers use in otherwise Gurr-goni utterances.

[^43]:    ${ }^{7}$ See $\S 1.2 .3 .3$ for the final vowel of unsuffixed pronouns.

[^44]:    ${ }^{8}$ The alternation between medial/e/ and final $/ \mathrm{i} /$ in these pronouns is described in $\S 1.2 .3 .3$.

[^45]:    ${ }^{9}$ This demonstrative appears to be made up of the same form as the language name, Gurr-goni, plus a suffix -wu. An apparent suffix -wu also appears in four other forms (three adjectives and an interrogative) known to me: -mugónewu 'right hand side', -muléwu 'left hand side', -gudjélwu 'sandy, full of sand' (cf. djel 'sand, earth') and arr-gi-yita-wu (probably $l+2$ Min-Nom-do like this-wu) 'which part of the body?' Comparing gurrgónewu with the adjective -mugónewu (probably to be analysed, historically at least, as -mu-goni-wu, NOMinaliser-this-??) and with gurr-gabu 'other way/side', suggests a prefix gurr-. With only two known instances of such a prefix (or three, if the language name is included), and only five of the suffix -wu, it is not possible to say anything about the meaning or function of either.

[^46]:    10 Yibénapu 'that side' and yiguwápu 'this side' both appear to contain a stem (béna and wá respectively) of obscure etymology, with a prefix yi- (perhaps originally this 'away' prefix), a suffix -pu, and, in the case of yiguwápu, a prefix -gu- (possibly the derivational prefix (verb to nominal or noun to adjective) of the same form).

[^47]:    ${ }^{11}$ But compare the use of another pro-verb yina 'do, say like this' in gi-yina-ga 3IVS-do like thisCon, the temporal expression referring to time earlier today before now (for which precontemporary tense is used). This not only takes a verbal prefix, but changes to Irrealis 1 tense in referring to events not realised earlier today.

[^48]:    12 Identification of arr-with the $1+2$ person minimal prefix may seem strange, but this is in fact the usual way of referring to body parts; $3-40$ above is another example of this convention, which may perhaps be compared with English nurse or nursery talk.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ I use these terms in the sense of Foley and Van Valin 1984. 'Status' is defined below at $\S 4.5$.
    2 A small number of verbal lexemes consist of an uninflecting verbal particle, or a noun, plus an inflecting verb. An example of the former is werr plus ma 'get', meaning 'pass by ( O )', and of the latter, djama 'work, job' plus dji 'stand' meaning 'work'. In Gurr-goni, unlike some Arnhem Land languages like Mara (Heath 1981) and Mangarayi (Merlan 1982), this type constitutes a small minority, and it is not discussed further here.

[^50]:    3 ie, before all other consonants, as no verb stems begin with vowels.
    ${ }^{4}$ See $\S 1.2 \cdot 3.2 .2$ for $\mathrm{rr} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$.

[^51]:    5 Blake (1987:173-174), noting the same facts in Burarra, cites it as a partial exception to his finding that no Australian languages have ergative marking on bound pronouns, and speculates that "the crossreferencing of the absolutive among inanimates is based on an absolutive versus ergative case opposition now lost ..... This system seems to have survived in the inanimate area where it is not overridden by the pressure of topic-worthy higher animate agents to be given a central unmarked representation, a pressure that induces subject versus object (or oblique) marking." As we will see below, $1+2$ person (minimal) (surely a higher animate agent) also shows an ergative/absolutive pattern of marking: arru- represents $1+2 \mathrm{minS}$ and $3 \mathrm{MinA} .1+2 \mathrm{MinO}$, while ay- encodes $1+2 \mathrm{MinA} .3 \mathrm{MinO}$ (this is the case in Burarra too). It may be that this pattern is simply a historical accident.

[^52]:    6 With, of course, the exception noted above, where neither is overtly marked.

[^53]:    ${ }^{7}$ Probably Discorea bulbifera.

[^54]:    8 Waters (1989:275-289) discusses several features in Djinang and Djinba which appear to have developed under influence from the prefixing languages with which they had most contact, Burarra and Rembarrnga, but does not mention the tense system.

[^55]:    ${ }^{9}$ Nja-Bulanj herself gave different verdicts on the verbs of Conj. 5 on different occasions.

[^56]:    10 Suffixes -dja and -djin do occur in the corresponding conjugation in Burarra (1984:33,36), but their function is not clear.

[^57]:    ${ }^{11}$ Note that in this example, the word gi-yina-ga 'earlier today' (formally, the verb yina 'do thus'(?) in Contemporary tense) itself appears in the Irrealis Precontemporary: 'today that might have been'.

[^58]:    ${ }^{12}$ Butja is the word used of baby's heads hardening when the fontanelle closes over. At-gu-butja, 'brolga', thus means literally 'he with the hardened head'.

[^59]:    13 Discussed by Palmer (1986:214).

[^60]:    14 A small number of verbal lexemes consist of an uninflecting verbal particle, or a noun, plus an inflecting verb. See footnote 2 , this chapter. Also, one verb, djartabiyi 'go quickly, hurry', has an alternative, shortened, form djarti, which takes no tense/status suffixes, but does cross-reference subjects, and can take take the optional directional prefixes.
    ${ }^{15}$ Evidence from current irregularities in verb stems suggests that there may once have been a suffix $/-\mathrm{y} /$ in Irr 2. Several verbs have irregular Irr2 forms (here recognised as the root): boy 'go (stem bo-~ bogV-), bay 'eat' (stem ba-), way 'throw' (stem wa-), meme 'go along' (stem ma), butji 'fall' (stem buku), betji 'come out' (stem beku), and wetji 'speak' (stem weku). The first three of these have a segmentable $-y$ - in Irr 2, and as the other forms involve either fronting of a consonant and/or raising or fronting of a vowel, it seems possible that they may have originally had a suffix $-\mathbf{y}$, which provided the environment for these changes (and then, perhaps, fused with the final vowel). Conj. 2 verbs show an alternation in the final vowel of the stem between/a/ in CON tense and /i/ in Irr 2 . No overt suffix now follows in either of these tenses, but it may be that the $/ \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{in}$ Irr 2 represents an original structure ${ }^{*}$-ma- $y$, with $/ 2 /$ shifting to $/ \mathrm{i} /$ before a suffix $/ \mathrm{y} /$, a process that occurs synchronically when unstressed stem final $/ \mathrm{a} /$, in di- and polysyllabic verb stems, is followed by the intransitivising suffix -yi- ).

[^61]:    ${ }^{16}$ No verb stems have final syllables beginning with a lateral or rhotic.

[^62]:    ${ }^{17}$ Cf also Nakkara wunabbama 'water'

[^63]:    18 This may possibly be a compound of wu 'give' with a verb root dja 'drink'. This verb does not occur in Burarra or Gurr-goni, but is found in Ndjébbana (dji, 'drink') and Nakkara (dji~dja 'eat, drink').

[^64]:    19 On the other hand, Nakkara, with a cognate verb ga 'take' does distinguish precontemporary and contemporary tense: -ya and -njdja respectively. -ya would derive from -dja through a regular shift in Nakkara of $\mathrm{dj}>\mathrm{y} ; * / \mathbf{d j} /$ and $* / \mathbf{n j d j} /$ would both develop here into $/ \mathbf{t} \mathbf{j} /$ in Gurr-goni, through stop lengthening following stress on the monosyllabic verb root ga, and development of a homorganic nasalstop sequence to a long stop. Burarra also has a single non-future suffix -njdja, which must result from a merger of categories, as there is no regular sound change to give $/ \mathrm{nj} \mathrm{dj} /$ from $/ \mathrm{dj} /$.

[^65]:    20 All transitive verbs recorded with this affix involve two singular arguments; it is not known which allomorph would be selected with, for example, a nonsingular A and singular O , or even if the affix can be used following pronominal prefixes such as those.

[^66]:    ${ }^{21}$ The 'away' prefix in UA feminine requires further research.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ Text has a-rrimi- $\quad 3 \mathrm{MinA}$. 31 O -grasp-Irr2, but this is surely wrong.

[^68]:    ${ }^{2}$ In fact, there is a fourth construction, where a prefixed adjectival stem co-occurs with a verbal predicate; this is exemplified in $5-6,5-7$, and $5-8$ above. This construction was not recorded for (-)guwábu, but is presumably possible; the distinction between this and that shown in 5-19 is not yet clear.

[^69]:    ${ }^{3}$ Serial verb constructions (see $\$ 5.3$ below) were counted as one clause, with the transitivity determined by the first verb.

[^70]:    ${ }^{4}$ This table shows the frequency with which NPs appear in those functions which are indexed on the verb: A, S and O. Clauses containing NPs in all other functions, including the XO (extra object) function identified below ( $\$ 5.2 .3$ ), are here included among the 'verb only' clauses.

[^71]:    5 NPs were classified, largely following du Bois 1987, as new mentions; given (mentioned within the previous 20 clauses, or present at the time of the speech act); or accessible (mentioned more than 20 clauses previously, or part of a previously mentioned entity). The figures for NPs are:

    |  | OV | SV | AV | NP V | VO | VS | VA | V NP |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
    |  | 17 | 14 | 4 | 35 | $3-4$ | 0 | 1 | $4-5$ |
    | NEW | 14 | 15 | 11 | 40 | 20 | 5 | $1-2$ | $26-7$ |
    | GIVEN | ACCESSIBLE | 8 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Total | 39 | 33 | 16 | 88 | $23-4$ | 6 | $2-3$ | $31-3$ |

[^72]:    6 ie, ergative, absolutive and dative (Jelinek 1984:51).

[^73]:    ${ }^{7}$ A better example perhaps to compare with $5-65$ in showing the lack of morphological and syntactic coding of grammatical functions is:
    biy-rra-ni minja
    3MinA.2MinO-spear,prick,stab-Pre black plum tree
    The black plum tree stabbed/pricked you.
    where the order of verb and NP, and the verb itself, are identical to those in 5-65, but where the NP is linked to the A argument. I am not certain, however, that minja would be appropriate as an instrument used by a human agent.
    ${ }^{8}$ This use of the direct case is noted in $\S 2.3 .1$ above.

[^74]:    ${ }^{9}$ Some younger speakers also use the locative case prefix optionally on those nouns which can take it; older speakers, however, usually insist that nouns which can inflect for locative case be so inflected when they appear in a local semantic role.

[^75]:    ${ }^{10}$ Ngutja also means 'name'; in this sense, the O is always the person or thing named, and the name, or the word -walitji 'name', is an XO.

[^76]:    ${ }^{11}$ And, presumably, precede it, as they can precede single verbs.

[^77]:    12 Perhaps also dji 'stand', although it is not absolutely clear that this is a serial construction.

[^78]:    13 This is an elicited example, but is supported by the evidence of spontaneously occurring examples, those observed in speech and narration, which indicate that core argument NPs either precede or follow the serial verb complex (or straddle it, in the case of part-whole NPs - see 5-157 below).

[^79]:    14 There are some verbs with which $\mathbf{y u}$ is always used, regardless of the subject's posture. These are djirritbu 'go around' and wenjigi-yi 'hang-Intr', thus for example:

    Dji-djirritbi-ni djinj-yu-y
    3IIS-go around-Pre 3IIS-lie-Pre
    She went round and round (said of a child standing and turning round and round in circles)

[^80]:    15 Here, djama dji is the verbal expression. Dji 'stand' is the main inflecting verb; combined with the noun djama 'work ( $n$ ), job', it means 'work (v)'.

[^81]:    16 There appears to be a similar compound serial verb construction in Nakkara: Eather (1990:415) translates a serial construction comprising the verbs 'talk + go quickly + go' as 'talk too much'.

[^82]:    17 An identical collocation is found in Ndjébbana (McKay 1984:126); it is the only transitive "auxiliary" which appears in McKay's discussion.

[^83]:    18 It has been noted in some languages with switch-reference systems that where the referents of two NPs are not identical, but overlap, speakers have the choice of marking same-subject or different-subject (Roberts 1988, Comrie 1983, Austin 1981a). When 'same-subject' marking is chosen, it is decided on the same basis as that used in forming these serial constructions: identity of some of the referents, rather than identity of marking.

[^84]:    ${ }^{19}$ McKay (1984) also notes the existence in Ndjébbana of constructions like 'we put it it stood, 'we sent them they went', and considers it necessary to distinguish these from the motion and aspect "auxiliary" constructions, apparently because he considers the second verb to be a complement of the first.

[^85]:    20 And, indeed, was rejected in elicited sessions without one, when I offered such constructions as *marrman ni 'be good', *marrman negi 'make be good'.

[^86]:    ${ }^{21}$ As in wurpu ngayi-pu ngu-bo-gini Beki dji-ne-rre-pu, ngu-na-djeki-rri. just IMin-Card IMinS-go-Pre Becky 3IIS-stay-Con-pu IMinS-twds-return-Pre I just went to Becky's place and came back.

[^87]:    22 One example is particularly interesting:
    Ngayi-pu ngu-barrwi-dji a-goni a-yo-rri ant-gu-galiyi.
    IMin-Card IMinA.3MinO-know-CP 3I-this 3IS-sleep-Con 3I-Nom-understand (ie, male person)
    I know this man sleeping here.
    (V/18/25)
    Here there are two nominals, a-goni 'this male one here' and ant-gu-galiyi 'male person'; the verb, a-yo-rri 'he sleeps', comes between these two nominals. It is not at all clear how this is to be analysed - is the head noun ant-gu-galiyi, with two modifiers (a demonstrative and a relative clause) or one (a relative clause with a subject NP a-goni) preceding it, or, following the apparent structure of 5-239 and 5-241-5-242, is the head nominal a-goni 'this male one', with one or two modifiers following it? Alternatively, perhaps the head noun is internal to the relative clause; this analysis would perhaps be valid for the examples given above also. These questions must remain unanswered pending further research.

