Expressions of Inner Freedom

An Experimental Study of the Scattering and Fusion of Nuclei at Energies Spanning the Coulomb Barrier

by

Heiko Timmers

A Thesis
Submitted for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
at
The Australian National University

Canberra, June 1996



Meinen Eltern,

für ihre Liebe und Unterstützung

"It is probably true quite generally that in the history of human thinking, the most fruitful developments frequently take place at those points where two different lines of thought meet." Werner Heisenberg



Abstract

This study investigates the fusion and scattering of nuclei at energies spanning the Coulomb barrier. The coupling of the relative motion of the nuclei to internal degrees of freedom can be thought to give rise to a distribution of potential barriers.

Two new methods to extract representations of these potential barrier distributions are suggested using the eigen-channel model. The new techniques are based on measurements of quasi-elastic and elastic backscattering excitation functions, from which the representations are extracted by differentiation. A third method utilizing transfer excitation functions is introduced using qualitative arguments. The techniques are investigated experimentally for the reactions ¹⁶O + ⁹²Zr, ^{144,154}Sm, ¹⁸⁶W and ²⁰⁸Pb. The results are compared with barrier distribution representations obtained from fusion data. The methods are further explored using the systems ⁴⁰Ca + ^{90,96}Zr and ³²S + ²⁰⁸Pb, for which scattering and fusion excitation functions have been measured. The new barrier distribution representations are consistent with the one from fusion. They are direct evidence of the effects of the internal degrees of freedom on channels other than the fusion channel.

The new representations are, however, less sensitive to the barrier distribution compared to their fusion counterpart. This observation is investigated using coupled-channels calculations. They suggest that residual weak reaction channels, which are not included in the coupling matrix, are responsible for the reduction in sensitivity. In the case of quasi-elastic scattering a distortion of the barrier structure above the average barrier is observed. This effect appears to be due to the de-phasing of the scattering amplitudes contributing to each eigen-channel. Using the heaviest system, $^{32}S + ^{208}Pb$, it is demonstrated that there is no improvement in sensitivity to the barrier distribution for systems with large Sommerfeld parameters. This suggests that diffraction effects are not likely to be the cause of the sensitivity reduction.

The new techniques may be employed successfully in systems with pronounced

barrier structure below the average barrier. This is the case for the reactions ⁴⁰Ca + ^{90,96}Zr. It is shown that for these systems the quasi-elastic scattering and the fusion representations of the barrier distribution contain the same information. The extracted barrier distributions for the two reactions are distinctively different. They are compared to assess the relative importance of collective excitations and neutron transfer in fusion. Exact coupled-channels calculations show that the distribution for ⁴⁰Ca + ⁹⁰Zr arises from coupling of the relative motion to double phonon excitations of ⁹⁰Zr. Further calculations suggest that the reaction ⁴⁰Ca + ⁹⁶Zr involves additional coupling to sequential neutron transfer, which is proposed to be a precursor of neutron-neck formation.

Double phonon excitations are also seen to be important in the system ³²S + ²⁰⁸Pb, for which the barrier distribution representations show in addition signatures of one and two neutron transfer.

iv

A Work Justified by Curiosity

A project as elaborate as that described in this thesis requires a motivation and in these days, which are ruled by the shortage of both time and money, it is also expected to have a justification. Unfortunately, the argument that science is the educated expression of our curiosity about the world surrounding us, does not always find recognition anymore. Increasingly, the term wealth creation is used in connection with research as an allegedly new aspect of it. The advocates of this fashionable slogan seem to forget that the overwhelming part of the materialistic wealth in modern society is based on one or another scientific work of the past¹.

It appears necessary to recall that most of the revolutionary discoveries were not the result of a clever interplay between financial investment and application-oriented technology development. On the contrary, they arose often from an uncoordinated research community of little-recognised individuals, who were motivated by enthusiasm and curiosity. The lack of recognition and interest by the majority of their contemporaries was not necessarily a disadvantage, since it provided these few with the freedom to explore aspects of nature which were often obscure to nearly everyone else at the time². A second, no less important reason for their success was the free exchange of ideas within the research community which was rarely hindered by economical or military interests.

Confining modern scientists to a few research tracks chosen by the political process and transferring those to industry puts in jeopardy both intellectual freedom and the unrestricted exchange of ideas. This will eventually harm the scientific and technological advancement and as a consequence may even lead to the opposite of wealth creation, namely wealth reduction.

The work presented in this thesis is a contribution to our advancement in un-

¹Physics and Industry, Proc. Acad. Sess., XXI Ge. Ass. IUPAP, ed. E. Maruyama, H. Watanabe, Lec. Not. Phys. 435, Springer (1993).

²U. Wengenroth, Historische Aspekte des Forschungs- und Innovationsprozesses, in Von der Hypothese zum Produkt, Stifterverband für die Deutsche Wissenschaft (1994).

derstanding nature and in particular the atomic nucleus and its constituents. It was driven by enthusiasm and curiosity and explores new grounds which have never been sighted before. This is its sole justification. The project brings together two aspects of nuclear physics which have often been pursued as two different lines of thought: nuclear structure and nuclear reactions.

The experimental results demonstrate that nuclear reactions at Coulomb barrier energies sensitively depend on the internal structure of the participating nuclei. These reactions manifest an important special case of the generalized barrier problem, which attempts to describe the motion of a particle coupled to a many-particle environment over a potential barrier. The coupling can hinder or assist this motion depending on the properties of the environment. Nuclear reactions are unique in nature in enabling a comparison of the effects on the barrier problem of different environmental couplings within the same physical system. Thus their study benefits our general understanding of the barrier problem, which is of fundamental importance in nature.

The larger part of the experiments were carried out in collaboration with Dr. J.R. Leigh, Dr. D.J. Hinde, Dr. M. Dasgupta, Prof. J.O. Newton, Dr. C.R. Morton, Mr. J.C. Mein and Dr. R.C. Lemmon at the Nuclear Physics Department of the Research School of Physical Sciences and Engineering of the Australian National University in Canberra. The nuclear reactions ⁴⁰Ca + ^{90,96}Zr were studied in collaboration with Prof. A.M. Stefanini, Dr. L. Corradi, Dr. D. Ackermann, Dr. S. Beghini, Dr. J.H. He, Dr. G. Montagnoli, Dr. F. Scarlassara and Dr. G.F. Segato at the Legnaro Laboratories of the National Italian Nuclear Physics Institute in Padua, Italy. The experiments were set up using existing and modified equipment. The author contributed to the construction of the fission fragment spectrometer which was employed in the measurement of the fusion excitation function for ³²S + ²⁰⁸Pb. Some theoretical aspects of this thesis have been developed together with Dr. N. Rowley of the University of Manchester, England.

The work presented in this thesis has been or will be published in the following papers:

Probing Fusion Barrier Distributions with Quasi-Elastic Scattering,
 H. Timmers, M. Dasgupta, D.J. Hinde, J.R. Leigh, R.C. Lemmon, J.C. Mein,
 C.R. Morton, J.O. Newton, N. Rowley, Nuclear Physics A 584 (1994) 190.

- Nuclear Multi-Particle Systems in Changing Environments,
 H. Timmers, Australian & New Zealand Physicist 32, No. 3 (1995) 39.
- Barrier Distributions from Elastic Scattering,
 N. Rowley, H. Timmers, J.R. Leigh, M. Dasgupta, D.J. Hinde, J.C. Mein,
 C.R. Morton, J.O. Newton, Physics Letters B 373 (1996) 23.
- Strong Transfer Couplings in the Fusion of 40Ca + 96Zr,
 H. Timmers, L. Corradi, A.M. Stefanini, N. Rowley, D. Ackermann, S. Beghini, J.H. He, G. Montagnoli, F. Scarlassara, G.F. Segato, to be submitted to Physics Letters B.
- A Case Study of Collectivity, Transfer and Fusion Enhancement,
 H. Timmers, L. Corradi, A.M. Stefanini, N. Rowley, D. Ackermann, S. Beghini, J.H. He, G. Montagnoli, F. Scarlassara, G.F. Segato, to be submitted to Nuclear Physics A.

The author has received the Award for Excellence in Postgraduate Research 1994 by the New South Wales branch of the Australian Institute of Physics following a lecture about this project at the University of Sydney.

The results of this work have also been reported in seminars at

the Congress of the Association of Asia Pacific Physical Societies, Brisbane,

the Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt,

the Legnaro National Laboratories, Padua,

the Nuclear Science Centre, New Delhi,

the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, East Lansing,

the Argonne National Laboratory, Chicago

and the Nuclear Physics Laboratory of the University of Washington, Seattle.

The contents of this thesis are the original work of the author. No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree to another university.

The thesis is structured as follows:

In Chapter 1, Introduction, the generalized barrier problem is presented. This is followed by a description of the fusion and scattering of the nuclear binary system at Coulomb barrier energies. At the end of the chapter the objectives of this work are stated. Chapter 2, Experimental Methods, illustrates the experimental techniques which have been applied. In Chapter 3, Probing Barrier Distributions with Quasi-Elastic Scattering, a new method to extract information about the distribution of potential barriers from quasi-elastic scattering is introduced and tested. An alternative approach based on elastic scattering is derived and discussed in Chapter 4, Barrier Distributions from Elastic Scattering. In Chapter 5, Collectivity, Transfer and Fusion Enhancement, the relative importance of collective excitations and of neutron transfer for fusion is investigated for the reactions 40 Ca + 90,96 Zr. This investigation includes the search for signatures of neutron-flow. Chapter 6, The Fusion of 32 S + 208 Pb, discusses the importance of double phonon excitations and one and two neutron transfer reactions for the fusion of this system. Finally, the results of this work are summarized in Chapter 7, Summary and Conclusions.

Canberra, 15. June 1996

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to my supervisors Dr. Jack Leigh and Dr. David Hinde that they have given me the opportunity to work with them. Their engaged support and guidance throughout this project contributed greatly to its success. Many thanks also to Dr. Mahananda Dasgupta, Dr. Roy Lemmon, Mr. Jason Mein, Dr. Clyde Morton and Prof. John Newton for their participation in the experiments in Canberra and for countless fruitful discussions.

During the project Dr. Neil Rowley has been a continuing source of inspiration and ideas. For these contributions and for the possibility to use his unpublished coupled-channels code I thank him. I am also grateful to Prof. Alberto Stefanini for inviting me to work in Legnaro and to Dr. Dieter Ackermann, Dr. Silvio Beghini, Dr. Lorenzo Corradi, Mr. Antonio Dal Bello, Dr. Jianhua He, Dr. Giovanna Montagnoli, Dr. Fernando Scarlassara and Dr. Gianfranco Segato for their kind hospitality and support during my sojourn in Italy. Finally, I would like to thank the technical staff of both the Nuclear Physics Department at the Australian National University and at the National Laboratories in Legnaro without whom the experiments discussed in this work would not have been possible.

Greatly acknowledged is the receipt of scholarship grants from University House, the Australian National University, the Department of Employment, Education and Training, and the National Italian Nuclear Physics Institute.

x

Contents

1	Intr	oducti	ion	1
	1.1	Idealia	zation and Environments	3
	1.2	The B	Barrier Problem	4
		1.2.1	Surmounting and Tunnelling	4
		1.2.2	Coupling to Environments	6
		1.2.3	The Uncoupled Barrier Problem	7
		1.2.4	Macroscopic Description	9
		1.2.5	Truncation	11
	1.3	Envir	onmental Coupling in Nuclei	12
		1.3.1	The Nuclear Many-Particle System	13
		1.3.2	The Coulomb Barrier	15
	1.4	Nucle	ar Fusion	18
		1.4.1	Fusion Excitation Functions	20
		1.4.2	The One-Dimensional Model	20
		1.4.3	Sub-Barrier Fusion Enhancement	23
		1.4.4	Fusion Hindrance	27
	1.5	Multi-	-Dimensional Models of Fusion	29
		1.5.1	Geometrical Model	29
		1.5.2	Barrier Distributions	30
		1.5.3	Channel Coupling	31
		1.5.4	Neutron-Flow and Neck-Formation	43
		1.5.5	Dissipation during Fusion	44
	1.6	Reflec	tion at the Barrier	44
		1.6.1	Quasi-Elastic Scattering	45
		1.6.2	Unification of Fusion and Scattering Theory	48
	1.7	Objec	tives of this Study	49

2	Exp	erime	ental Methods	53
	2.1	Beam	Production and Acceleration	55
		2.1.1	Negative Ion Sources	55
		2.1.2	Beam Acceleration	56
	2.2	Energ	y and Time Measurements	59
		2.2.1	Energy Loss Measurements	59
		2.2.2	Measurement of the Residual Energy	61
		2.2.3	Measurement of the Time-of-Flight	62
	2.3	Monit	coring of the Projectile-Flux	63
	2.4		tion of Quasi-Elastic Scattering	64
		2.4.1	Detection at Backward Angles	65
		2.4.2	Detection of Recoils at Forward Angles	66
	2.5	Detec	tion of Evaporation Residues	71
		2.5.1	Electrostatic Deflector	71
		2.5.2	Velocity Filter	74
		2.5.3	Identification of Evaporation Residues	74
	2.6	Detec	tion of Fission Fragments	75
3	Pro	bing E	Barrier Distributions with Quasi-Elastic Scattering	79
	3.1		ation of a Barrier Distribution Representation	81
		3.1.1	The Case of a Single Barrier	81
		3.1.2	Extension to Multiple Barriers	83
		3.1.3	Application to Calculated Excitation Functions	84
	3.2	The S	ystems $^{16}\mathrm{O}$ + $^{96}\mathrm{Zr}$, $^{144,154}\mathrm{Sm}$ and $^{186}\mathrm{W}$	87
		3.2.1	Excitation Functions	88
		3.2.2	Comparison of Quasi-Elastic and Fusion Data	89
		3.2.3	Detailed Analysis of ¹⁶ O + ¹⁴⁴ Sm	94
		3.2.4	Evidence for Coupling Effects in Transfer Channels	100
	3.3	Prelin	ninary Summary	102
	3.4	The S	ystems ¹⁶ O, ³² S + ²⁰⁸ Pb	104
	3.5		ystems ⁴⁰ Ca + ^{90,96} Zr	109
		3.5.1	Experimental Data	110
		3.5.2	Empirical Interpretation	113
	3.6	Concl	uding Remarks	115

4	Bar	rier Distributions from Elastic Scattering	117
	4.1	Theoretical Considerations	119
	4.2	Experimental Tests of the Method	122
		4.2.1 The Systems $^{16}O + ^{144,154}Sm$, ^{186}W and ^{208}Pb	122
		4.2.2 Detailed Analysis of ¹⁶ O+ ¹⁴⁴ Sm	123
		4.2.3 The System $^{32}S + ^{208}Pb \dots$	128
	4.3	Concluding Remarks	130
5	Col	lectivity, Transfer and Fusion Enhancement	133
	5.1	The Systems 40 Ca + 90,96 Zr as a Test-Case	135
	5.2	Experimental Details	137
	5.3	Discussion of the Experimental Data	139
	5.4	Comparison with the Neutron-Flow Model	142
	5.5	Coupled-Channels Calculations	142
		5.5.1 Nuclear Structure Considerations	142
		5.5.2 Simplified Coupled-Channels Calculations	145
		5.5.3 Exact Coupled-Channels Calculations	153
	5.6	Concluding Remarks	154
6	The	Fusion of $^{32}S + ^{208}Pb$	157
	6.1	Experimental Details	159
	6.2	Simplified Coupled-Channels Calculations	163
	6.3	Concluding Remarks	168
7	Sun	nmary and Conclusions	171
A	Tab	les of Experimental Data	179
В	Cur	riculum Vitae	107

List of Figures

1.1	The Generalized Barrier Problem
1.2	Three Limits of Environmental Coupling
1.3	Lowest 2^+ States in the Region $70 < A < 110$
1.4	Interaction Potential
1.5	Compound Nucleus Decay
1.6	Angular Momentum Dependence of the Potential
1.7	Sub-Barrier Fusion Enhancement
1.8	Asymptotic Barrier Shifts
1.9	Extra Push
1.10	Experimental Determination of Barrier Structure
1.11	Validity of $D^{fus}(E)$
	Comparison of Coupling Schemes
	Experimental Identification of Coupling Schemes
	Predictions of the Surface Friction Model
	Diffraction Diagram
2.1	Experimental Set-Up to Detect Quasi-Elastic Scattering 65
2.2	Identification of Quasi-Elastic Scattering
2.3	Detection of the Zirconium-like Recoils 67
2.4	Set-Up to Detect Residues and Scattering
2.5	The Electrostatic Deflector
2.6	The Velocity Filter
2.7	Evaporation Residue Identification
3.1	Theoretical Barrier Distribution Representations
3.2	Bad Definition of $D^{fus}(E)$ at Higher Energies
3.3	Scattering Excitation Functions for ¹⁶ O+ ¹⁵⁴ Sm and ¹⁸⁶ W 90
3.4	Scattering Excitation Functions for ¹⁶ O + ⁹² Zr and ¹⁴⁴ Sm 91

3.5	$D^{qel}(E)$ for $^{16}{ m O}$ + $^{92}{ m Zr}$, $^{144,154}{ m Sm}$ and $^{186}{ m W}$	92
3.6	Comparison of $D^{qel}(E)$ and $D^{fus}(E)$	93
3.7	Fits to $D^{qel}(E)$ and $D^{fus}(E)$ for $^{16}\mathrm{O} + ^{144}\mathrm{Sm}$	96
3.8	Exact Coupled-Channels Calculations for ¹⁶ O + ¹⁴⁴ Sm	98
3.9	Contributions to Quasi-Elastic Scattering	99
3.10	Components of $d\sigma^{qel}/d\sigma^R(E)$ for $^{16}{ m O}$ + $^{144}{ m Sm}$	100
3.11	Transfer Reactions and Barrier Distributions	103
3.12	Scattering Excitation Functions for ¹⁶ O, ³² S + ²⁰⁸ Pb	106
3.13	$D^{qel}(E)$ for ¹⁶ O, ³² S + ²⁰⁸ Pb	107
	Comparison of $D^{qel}(E)$ and $D^{fus}(E)$ for ¹⁶ O, ³² S + ²⁰⁸ Pb	108
3.15	Quasi-Elastic Excitation Functions for ⁴⁰ Ca + ^{90,96} Zr	111
3.16	$D^{qel}(E)$ for 40 Ca $+$ 90,96 Zr	112
	Comparison of $D^{qel}(E)$ and $D^{fus}(E)$ for ${}^{40}\mathrm{Ca} + {}^{90,96}\mathrm{Zr}$	113
4.1	Theoretical Evaluation of $D^{el}(E)$	121
4.2	Experimental Distributions $D^{el}(E)$	124
4.3	Fits to $D^{el}(E)$ for 16 O + 144 Sm	125
4.4	Comparison of $D^{el}(E)$ and $D^{fus}(E)$ for ¹⁶ O+ ¹⁴⁴ Sm	127
4.5	Comparison of $D^{qel}(E)$ and $D^{el}(E)$ for ¹⁶ O, ³² S + ²⁰⁸ Pb	128
5.1	Fusion Excitation Functions for ⁴⁰ Ca + ^{90,96} Zr	138
5.2	Barrier Distribution Representations $D^{fus}(E)$ for $^{40}\mathrm{Ca} + ^{90,96}\mathrm{Zr}$	140
5.3	Comparison of $D^{qel}(E)$ and $D^{fus}(E)$ for ${}^{40}\mathrm{Ca} + {}^{90,96}\mathrm{Zr}$	141
5.4	Predictions of the Neutron-Flow Model	143
5.5	Simplified Coupled-Channels Calculations for ${}^{40}\mathrm{Ca} + {}^{90,96}\mathrm{Zr}$	146
5.6	Simplified Coupled-Channels Calculations for 40 Ca + 90,96 Zr	147
5.7	Exact Coupled-Channels Calculations for 40 Ca + 90,96 Zr	154
5.8	Exact Coupled-Channels Calculations for ${}^{40}\mathrm{Ca} + {}^{90,96}\mathrm{Zr} \ldots \ldots$	155
6.1	Angular Distributions of Fission Fragments	161
6.2	Ratio of Fission Fragment Yield and Integrated Cross Section	162
6.3	Fusion Excitation Function for ³² S + ²⁰⁸ Pb	163
6.4	Simplified Coupled-Channels Calculations for $^{32}\mathrm{S} + ^{208}\mathrm{Pb}$	164
6.5	Simplified Coupled-Channels Calculations for $^{32}{ m S}$ + $^{208}{ m Pb}$	167
7.1	Schematic Illustration of the Fusion Barrier Problem	175

List of Tables

1.1	Barrier Phenomena	4
3.1	Potential Barriers for ¹⁶ O + ¹⁴⁴ Sm	95
3.2	Neutron Pick-Up Q-Values for 40Ca + 90,96Zr	110
3.3	Potential Barriers for ⁴⁰ Ca + ^{90,96} Zr	114
4.1	Fits to $D^{el}(E)$ for $^{16}\mathrm{O}$ + $^{144}\mathrm{Sm}$	126
5.1	Low Energy States of ⁴⁰ Ca and ^{90,96} Zr	144
5.2	Potential Parameters for ⁴⁰ Ca + ^{90,96} Zr	145
5.3	Neutron Pick-Up Q -Values for $^{40}\mathrm{Ca} + ^{90,96}\mathrm{Zr} \dots \dots$	150
6.1	Low Energy States of ³² S and ²⁰⁸ Pb	165
7.1	Barrier Distribution Representations in Comparison	174
A.1	Scattering of $^{16}\text{O} + ^{92}\text{Zr}$ at $\theta_{lab} = 143^{\circ}$	181
A.2	Scattering of $^{16}O + ^{92}Zr$ at $\theta_{lab} = 155^{\circ}$	182
A.3	Scattering of $^{16}\text{O} + ^{144}\text{Sm}$ at $\theta_{lab} = 143^{\circ}$	183
A.4	Scattering of $^{16}\text{O} + ^{144}\text{Sm}$ at $\theta_{lab} = 155^{\circ}$	184
A.5	Scattering of $^{16}\text{O} + ^{144}\text{Sm}$ at $\theta_{lab} = 170^{\circ}$	185
A.6	Scattering of $^{16}\mathrm{O} + ^{154}\mathrm{Sm}$ at $\theta_{lab} = 170^{\circ}$	186
A.7	Scattering of $^{16}\mathrm{O}$ + $^{186}\mathrm{W}$ at $\theta_{lab}=170^{\circ}$	187
A.8	Scattering of ¹⁶ O + ²⁰⁸ Pb at $\theta_{lab} = 170^{\circ}$	188
A.9	Fusion Excitation Functions for ⁴⁰ Ca + ^{90,96} Zr	189
A.10	Quasi-Elastic Scattering of $^{40}\mathrm{Ca} + ^{90,96}\mathrm{Zr}$ at $\theta_{cm} = 136^{\circ}$	191
	Fusion Excitation Function for ³² S + ²⁰⁸ Pb	193
	Scattering of $^{32}S + ^{208}Pb$ at $\theta_{lab} = 170^{\circ} \dots \dots \dots \dots$	194



List of Symbols

```
diffuseness of the real potential
    a_0
             diffuseness of the transfer coupling strength
   a_{tr}
             diffuseness of the imaginary potential
   a_w
             combined mass number of the system
    A_c
             atomic mass number of the projectile nucleus
   A_p
    A_t
             atomic mass number of the target nucleus
             generalized environmental coordinates
    \alpha_i
    b_k
             eigen-value of eigen-channel k
   B_0
             barrier height in the one-dimensional model
  B_{Bass}
             barrier height predicted by the global model of Bass
   B_{\mathbf{k}}
             barrier height in eigen-channel k
 \beta_{ji}, \beta_{\lambda}
             deformation parameter
    \mathcal{B}
             magnetic field strength
             velocity of light
    c
   d\sigma^{el}
             differential elastic scattering cross section
  d\sigma^{qel}
             differential quasi-elastic scattering cross section
   d\sigma^R
             differential Rutherford scattering cross section
 d\sigma^{trans}
             differential transfer cross section
   d\Omega
             differential solid angle
   D_{\infty}
             asymptotic barrier
D(E, B_k)
             distribution of potential barriers
 D^{el}(E)
             representation of D(E, B_k) extracted from elastic scattering
D^{fus}(E)
             representation of D(E, B_k) extracted from fusion
D^{qel}(E)
             representation of D(E, B_k) extracted from quasi-elastic scattering
D^{trans}(E)
             representation of D(E, B_k) extracted from transfer
    δ
             relative experimental uncertainty
```

```
\delta_{ii}
                  Kronecker symbol
     \Delta B
                  FWHM of a single peak in D^{fus,qel,el}(E)
     \Delta E
                  energy step length
       e
                  electron charge
      E
                  energy
     E_{cm}
                  energy in the centre-of-mass system
     E_{lab}
                  energy in the laboratory system
     E_{res}
                  residual energy of a reaction product
                  excitation energies of internal degrees of freedom
      \epsilon_i
      \mathcal{E}
                 electric field strength
    f_i(r)
                 coupling form factor
   f_i(E,\theta)
                 scattering amplitude in channel j
  f^{qel}(E,\theta)
                  quasi-elastic scattering amplitude
  f^R(E,\theta)
                  Rutherford scattering amplitude
      \overline{F}
                  Fano factor
   F_{ii}, F_0
                 transfer coupling strength
                 functional form of a single peak in D^{el}(E)
 G^{el}(E, B_k)
G^{fus}(E,B_k)
                 functional form of a single peak in D^{fus}(E)
 G^{qel}(E, B_k)
                 functional form of a single peak in D^{qel}(E)
G^{trans}(E, B_k)
                 functional form of a single peak in D^{trans}(E)
     \gamma(r)
                 friction form factor
  h_i(\alpha_i,\Pi_i)
                 environmental Hamiltonians
      \hbar
                 Planck's constant divided by 2\pi
     \hbar\omega_0
                 barrier curvature for \ell = 0
      H
                 Hamiltonian
       \dot{\imath}
                 labels environmental degrees of freedom; also \sqrt{-1}
      I
                 current of incident nuclei
      j
                 labels reaction channels
      J
                 total angular momentum quantum number
      k
                 labels eigen-channels; also wave number
      \ell
                 angular momentum quantum number
     \ell_{qr}\hbar
                 grazing angular momentum
      λ
                 reduced de Broglie wave length
      \lambda_i
                 coupling constants
```

```
\lambda_{ii}
              multipolarity of collective transitions
    m
              mass
              nucleon mass
    m_N
    M_{ii}
              element of coupling matrix
              reduced mass
     \mu
              Sommerfeld parameter
     n
              momentum; also pattern parameter
     p
   \phi_i(r)
              radial wave functions in the reaction channels
    \Pi_{i}
              generalized environmental momenta
 \Psi(r,\alpha_i)
              total radial wave function
              charge state
     q
    Q_{j}
              Q-value in reaction channel j
     r
              inter-nuclear separation
              radius parameter
    r_0
    R_0
              barrier position in the one-dimensional model
    R_C
              Coulomb radius
    R_n
              radius of the nuclear potential
   \mathcal{R}(E)
              reflection coefficient
    S_i^{\ell}
              element of scattering matrix
   \sigma^{fus}
              fusion cross section
   \sigma_j^{reac}
              reaction cross section for channel j
    \sigma^{tot}
              total reaction cross section
     t
              time
     T
              temperature; also kinetic energy operator
   \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{E})
              transmission coefficient
     \theta
              scattering angle
    \theta_{cm}
              scattering angle in the centre-of-mass system
              scattering angle in the laboratory system
    \theta_{lab}
     U
              voltage
              unitary transformation matrix
    U_{ik}
   U(r)
              complex interaction potential
v_i^{coup}(r, \alpha_i)
              coupling potentials
    V_0
              depth of the real potential
   V(r)
              interaction potential
```

$V_{cent}(r,\ell)$	centrifugal potential
$V_C(r)$	Coulomb potential
$V_n(r)$	nuclear potential
W_{0}	depth of the imaginary potential
W_{k}	weights of the eigen-barriers
W(r)	volume imaginary potential
x_e	effective fissility
$\chi_i(lpha_i)$	internal wave functions
$Y_{k}(r)$	eigen-channel wave functions
Z_c	combined nuclear charge number of the system
Z_p	nuclear charge number of the projectile nucleus
Z_t	nuclear charge number of the target nucleus

Units are expressed following the recommendations from 1975 of The Royal Society.



